AGENDA

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
201 N. Broadway
City Hall Council Chambers
3:00 P.M.
March 8, 2018

A. CALL TO ORDER
B. FLAG SALUTE
C. ROLL CALL
D. REVIEW OF MINUTES: October 19, 2017

The Brown Act provides an opportunity for members of the public to directly address the Commission on any item of interest to the public, before or during the Commission's consideration of the item. If you wish to speak regarding an agenda item, please fill out a speaker’s slip and give it to the minutes clerk who will forward it to the Chairman.

Electronic Media: Electronic media which members of the public wish to be used during any public comment period should be submitted to the Planning Division at least 24 hours prior to the meeting at which it is to be shown.

The electronic media will be subject to a virus scan and must be compatible with the City’s existing system. The media must be labeled with the name of the speaker, the comment period during which the media is to be played and contact information for the person presenting the media.

The time necessary to present any electronic media is considered part of the maximum time limit provided to speakers. City staff will queue the electronic information when the public member is called upon to speak. Materials shown to the Commission during the meeting are part of the public record and may be retained by the City.

The City of Escondido is not responsible for the content of any material presented, and the presentation and content of electronic media shall be subject to the same responsibilities regarding decorum and presentation as are applicable to live presentations.

If you wish to speak concerning an item not on the agenda, you may do so under “Oral Communications” which is listed at the beginning and end of the agenda. All persons addressing the Historic Preservation Commission are asked to state their names for the public record.

Availability of supplemental materials after agenda posting: Any supplemental writings or documents provided to the Historic Preservation Commission regarding any item on this agenda will be made available for public inspection in the Planning Division located at 201 N. Broadway during normal business hours, or in the Council Chambers while the meeting is in session.

The City of Escondido recognizes its obligation to provide equal access to public services to individuals with disabilities. Please contact the A.D.A. Coordinator, (760) 839-4643, with any requests for reasonable accommodation, at least 24 hours prior to the meeting.
E. WRITTEN COMMUNICATION:
"Under State law, all items under Written Communications can have no action and will be referred to the staff for administrative action or scheduled on a subsequent agenda."

F. ORAL COMMUNICATION:
"Under State law, all items under Oral Communications can have no action and will be referred to the staff for administrative action or scheduled on a subsequent agenda." This is the opportunity for members of the public to address the Commission on any item of business within the jurisdiction of the Commission.

G. PUBLIC HEARINGS: None

H. CURRENT BUSINESS:

1. DESIGN REVIEW – Case No. ADM17-0138
   REQUEST: Remodel storefront of historic 1930’s commercial building
   ZONING/LOCATION: Historic Downtown District/125 E. Grand Ave.
   APPLICANT: Wayne Vaughn
   STAFF: Paul Bingham
   STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

2. DESIGN REVIEW – Case No. ADM18-0037
   REQUEST: Relocate Local Register cottage to front of lot and construct 3 apartments in rear
   ZONING/LOCATION: Creekside Neighborhood District (Multi-Family)/340 Waverly Place
   APPLICANT: Larry Pappas, Architect
   STAFF: Paul Bingham
   STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval

3. DISCUSSION OF ANNUAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION AWARDS
   REQUESTED BY: Staff

4. DISCUSSION OF ANNUAL CLG REPORT FOR 2016-2017
   REQUESTED BY: Staff

5. DISCUSSION OF MILLS ACT VISITS
   REQUESTED BY: Staff

6. AD HOC WORK GROUP REPORT ON MID-CENTURY GUIDELINES
   REQUESTED BY: Staff

   Note: Current Business items are those that under state law and local ordinances do not require either public notice or public hearings. Public comments may be limited to a maximum time of three minutes per person.

I. ORAL COMMUNICATION:
"Under State law, all items under Oral Communication can have no action, and will be referred to the staff for administrative action or scheduled on a subsequent agenda." This is the opportunity for members of the public to address the commission on any item of business within the jurisdiction of the Commission.

J. COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

K. ADJOURNMENT TO NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED HPC MEETING ON MAY 17, 2017
The regular meeting of the Historic Preservation Commission was called to order at 3:01 p.m. by Chair Rea in the City Council Chambers, 201 North Broadway, Escondido, California.

**Commissioners present:** Chair Rea, Vice-chair Spann, Commissioner Hanwit, Commissioner Breitenfeld, Commissioner Lee, and Commissioner Correll.

**Commissioners absent:** Commissioner Danskin.

**Staff present:** Paul Bingham, Assistant Planner II; Mike Strong, Assistant Planning Director; Adam Finestone, Principal Planner; and Ty Paulson, Minutes Clerk.

**MINUTES:**

Moved by Vice-chair Spann, seconded by Commissioner Hanwit, to approve the minutes of the September 21, 2017 meeting. Motion carried unanimously. Commissioner Lee was absent from the vote.

**WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS:** None.

**ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:** None.

**PUBLIC HEARINGS:** None.

**OLD BUSINESS:**

1. **MILLS ACT - Case No. HP 17-0004:**

REQUEST: Mills Act for Local Register Queen Anne Cottage in the OEN

Location: 307 East 6th Avenue

Chair Rea recused herself from this item.
Paul Bingham, Assistant Planner II, provided a brief overview of the property and list of improvements. Staff recommended forwarding a recommendation of approval to City Council for the Mills Act Contract, and consideration of the proposed CEQA exemption for the property located at 307 East 6th Avenue. He also noted that staff recommended that the DPR Form be typed before going before City Council and that Item 9 on the maintenance list be prioritized.

**ACTION:**

Moved by Commissioner Hanwit, seconded by Commissioner Brietenfeld, to approve staff’s recommendation. The motion included prioritizing Item 9 on the maintenance list. Motion carried. Ayes: Spann, Hanwit, Correll, and Breitenfeld. Noes: None. Abstained: None. Recused: Rea. (4-0-0-1) Commissioner Lee was absent from the vote, arriving at 3:08 p.m.

2. **LOCAL REGISTER/MILLS ACT - Case No. HP 17-0009:**

REQUEST: Local Register & Mills Act for California Ranch Adobe

Location: 2360 Las Palmas Avenue

Paul Bingham, Assistant Planner II, provided a brief overview of the property and list of improvements and noted that staff felt the subject property met four criteria of Art. 40, sec. 33-794 and recommended placing it on the Local Register, forwarding a recommendation of approval to City Council for the Mills Act Contract, and consideration of the proposed CEQA exemption for the property located at 2360 Las Palmas Avenue.

**ACTION:**

Moved by Vice-chair Spann, seconded by Commissioner Correll, to approve staff’s recommendation. Motion carried unanimously.

3. **LOCAL REGISTER/MILLS ACT - Case No. HP 17-0006:**

REQUEST: Local Register & Mills Act for Mid-Century Modern “Brothers House” in the OEN

Location: 625 South Juniper Street

Chair Rea recused herself from Item 3.
Paul Bingham, Assistant Planner II, provided a brief overview of the property and revised list of improvements. Staff felt the subject property met two criteria of Art. 40, sec. 33-794 and recommended placing it on the Local Register, forwarding a recommendation of approval to City Council for the Mills Act Contract, and consideration of the proposed CEQA exemption for the property located at 625 South Juniper Street. Staff also recommended prioritizing Items 2, 4, 8, and 9. Commissioner Hanwit and staff discussed the items on the priority list.

Larry Gonzales, Applicant, concurred with staff’s recommendation and noted that his intent was to replace the roof, damaged rafters and eaves, upgrade the electrical, and re-pipe the house.

Vice-chair Spann asked Mr. Gonzales if there were any electrical issues. Mr. Gonzales replied in the negative. Vice-chair Spann asked if the house had copper piping. Mr. Gonzales replied in the affirmative. Vice-chair Spann noted there might not be a need to redo the piping if the copper piping was in good condition.

Commissioner Hanwit expressed her enthusiasm with the interior of the subject residence. Mr. Gonzales stated that he would prioritize staff’s recommendations.

Vice-chair Spann was not in favor of prioritizing the items on the maintenance list on the off-chance that one of the items needed to be done before the other.

**ACTION:**

Moved by Vice-chair Spann, seconded by Commissioner Correll, to approve staff’s recommendation. Motion carried. Ayes: Spann, Lee, Brietenfeld, Correll, and Hanwit. Noes: None. Abstained: None. Recused: Rea. (5-0-0-1)

4. **LOCAL REGISTER/MILLS ACT - Case No. HP 17-0007:**

REQUEST: Local Register & Mills Act for California Bungalow “Rombauer House” in the OEN

Location: 152 East 11th Avenue

Chair Rea recused herself from Item 4.

Paul Bingham, Assistant Planner II, provided a brief overview of the property and list of improvements, stating that staff believed #3, 5 and 6 were initially the most important. Staff felt the subject property met two criteria of Art. 40, sec. 33-794 and recommended placing it on the Local Register, forwarding a recommendation of
approval to City Council for the Mills Act Contract, and consideration of the proposed CEQA exemption for the property located at 152 East 11th Avenue.

Commissioner Brietenfeld asked if the electrical had been redone.

**Tony Maccianti, Applicant** replied in the affirmative.

Commissioner Hanwit and Mr. Maccianti discussed the condition of the windows as well as the location for the gate that was to be replaced.

**ACTION:**

Moved by Commissioner Hanwit, seconded by Commissioner Brietenfeld, to approve staff’s recommendation. Motion carried. Ayes: Spann, Hanwit Danskin, Lee, and Brietenfeld. Noes: None. Abstained: None. Recused: Rea. (5-0-0-1)

5. **LOCAL REGISTER/MILLS ACT - Case No. HP 17-0008:**

REQUEST: Local Register & Mills Act for Colonial Revival “Webb House” in the OEN

Location: 514 East 6th Avenue

Chair Rea recused herself from Item 5.

Paul Bingham, Assistant Planner II, provided a brief overview of the property and list of improvements and noted that staff felt the subject property met two criteria of Art. 40, sec. 33-794 and recommended placing it on the Local Register, forwarding a recommendation of approval to City Council for the Mills Act Contract, and consideration of the proposed CEQA exemption for the property located at 514 East 6th Avenue.

**ACTION:**

Moved by Commissioner Correll, seconded by Commissioner Hanwit, to approve staff’s recommendation. Motion carried. Ayes: Spann, Hanwit, Lee, Danskin, and Brietenfeld. Noes: None. Abstained: None. Recused: Rea. (5-0-0-1)
6. **LOCAL REGISTER/MILLS ACT - Case No. HP 17-0010:**

REQUEST: Local Register & Mills Act for Suburban Mid-Century Ranch

Location: 323 East 10th Avenue

Paul Bingham, Assistant Planner II, provided a brief overview of the property and a revised list of improvements. Staff felt the subject property met three criteria of Art. 40, sec. 33-794 and recommended placing it on the Local Register, forwarding a recommendation of approval to City Council for the Mills Act Contract, and consideration of the proposed CEQA exemption for the property located at 323 East 10th Avenue.

**ACTION:**

Moved by Vice-chair Spann, seconded by Commissioner Correll, to approve staff’s recommendation. Motion carried unanimously. (6-0)

7. **ZONING CODE AMENDMENT TO REQUIRE SEVEN HPC MEMBERS INSTEAD OF 9**

Adam Finestone, Principal Planner, referenced the staff report and noted that staff recommended that the Commission approve staff’s recommendation to reduce the number of commissioners from nine to seven.

**ACTION:**

Moved by Vice-chair Spann, seconded by Chair Rea, to approve staff’s recommendation. Motion carried unanimously. (6-0)

8. **MILLS ACT VISITS**

Adam Finestone, Principal Planner, noted that staff recommended that the Mills Act visits continue while staff continued investigating how to ensure Mills Act contracts were being adhered to.

Chair Rea asked when the City Attorney would have a finding regarding not being granted access to the interior of Mills Act properties. Mr. Finestone noted that he did not have a time frame.
Vice-chair Spann felt that Mills Act owners should allow access when there were items on the maintenance list that included the interior. He also stated that it was rare when individuals did not allow interior access.

Commissioner Rea expressed her concern with Mills Act property owners not allowing interior access when receiving the benefits from the Mills Act tax breaks. She suggested inviting the City Attorney to the next meeting in order to provide more input.

Commissioner Hanwit felt the language was clear with regard to Mills Act inspection being allowed for interior and exterior items.

Discussion ensued regarding ways of terminating Mills Act Contracts. Staff noted they would provide information regarding terminating a Mills Act Contract to the Commission.

Vice-chair Spann felt it would be appropriate to obtain pictures and look at building permits for interior items.

Mr. Finestone noted one option could be that going forward only exterior items be put on the maintenance list.

Chair Rea felt it would be inappropriate to continue to conduct Mills Act property visits until the Mills Act letters and brochure was modified or the City Attorney could speak to the issue of not being granted interior access. Commissioner Brietenfeld felt the Mills Act subcommittee needed be in agreement before continuing to visit Mills Act properties.

This item was tabled until receiving direction from the City Attorney’s Office.

9. **SOUTH CENTRE CITY SPECIFIC PLAN**

Mike Strong, Assistant Planning Director, provided an overview of the proposed plan and requested input.

Chair Rea was in favor of the plan with regard to reducing the building height along the east side of Escondido Boulevard to two stories. She expressed her concern with some properties pulling out of the OEN and asked whether some motor courts were also coming out. Mr. Strong asked for specific addresses and noted staff would look into said address.
10. DISCUSSION OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE RELATED TO HISTORIC RESOURCES DEMOLITION

Adam Finestone, Principal Planner, provided the staff report and requested input.

Commissioner Brietenfeld expressed her concern with properties being missed that were not on the Local Register.

Chair Rea felt demolitions occurring on structures over 50 years old should be brought to the Commission. Mr. Finestone stated that simply being 50 years old would not necessarily cause a property to be historically significant. Chair Rea disagreed.

Commissioner Hanwit felt that the historian should be independently employed and not one that the developer had selected. Mr. Finestone noted that staff had the ability to require an independent historian be obtained if deemed necessary. He also noted that the commissioners could send him an email requesting they be included on items coming before the Planning Commission.

11. AD HOC WORK GROUP REPORT ON UPDATING THE CITY’S HISTORIC GUIDELINES

Chair Rea noted they were looking at the end 2017 or first of 2018 for completion.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS - None.

COMMISSIONER COMMENTS:

Chair Rea noted that she was looking forward to the Aspire project and hoped adherence to the Downtown Specific Plan standards would be followed.

ADJOURNMENT:

The meeting was adjourned at 4:10 pm. The next regular meeting was scheduled for November 16, 2017 at 3:00 p.m.

Adam Finestone, Principal Planner

Ty Paulson, Minutes Clerk
TO: Historic Preservation Commission

FROM: Adam Finestone, Principal Planner

SUBJECT: Draft CLG Annual Report 2016-2017

Attached is the draft Certified Local Government (CLG) annual report for the period of October 1, 2016 – September 30, 2017. Any comments and revisions by the Commission will be addressed at the March 8, 2018, HPC meeting.

In particular, please review Section II where commissioner information, attendance and training is recorded, Section IV where our public education efforts are highlighted, and Section VII where the Commission’s goals and accomplishments are provided. Staff has tried to include all of the information they are aware of, but please review the document for completeness and accuracy. For instance, if you attended conferences, seminars or web-based training opportunities during the reporting period which are not shown, please let staff know so it can be added to the report.
Certified Local Government Program -- 2016-2017 Annual Report
(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

INSTRUCTIONS: This a Word form with expanding text fields and check boxes. It will probably open as Read-Only. Save it to your computer before you begin entering data. This form can be saved and reopened. Because this is a WORD form, it will behave generally like a regular Word document except that the font, size, and color are set by the text field.

- Start typing where indicated to provide the requested information.
- Click on the check box to mark either yes or no.
- To enter more than one item in a particular text box, just insert an extra line (Enter) between the items.

Save completed form and email as an attachment to Lucinda.Woodward@parks.ca.gov. You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select Create and Attach to Email. You can then attach the required documents to that email. If the attachments are too large (greater than 10mb total), you will need to send them in a second or third email.

Name of CLG
City of Escondido

Report Prepared by: Adam Finestone, Principal Planner; Paul Bingham, Assistant Planner II

Date of commission/board review: March 8, 2018

Minimum Requirements for Certification

I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

A. Preservation Laws

1. What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals.

   REMINDER: Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status. The required membership on the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) will be reduced from nine (9) to seven (7) members. This change is a clean-up item identified by the city clerk’s office to bring the code in-line with the current commission makeup. Due to the City Clerk’s desire to have the amendment approved processed prior to commencement of recruitment for HPC commissioners in early 2018, this
change was not presented to the OHP prior to adoption, however staff verified that a commission composed of seven members exceeds the minimum membership required for CLG eligibility. The proposed ordinance was presented to the HPC for recommendation on October 19, 2017, and to the Planning Commission on November 17, 2017. Both bodies recommended approval of the code amendment. The City Council adopted Ordinance No. 2017-12, approving the code amendment, on December 20, 2017. A copy of the adopted ordinance can be found at the following link:

https://www.escondido.org/Data/Sites/1/media/Ordinances/2017/ORD2017-12DEC202017.pdf

2. Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal/zoning code.

http://www.qcode.us/codes/escondido/view.php?topic=33-40&frames=on

B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated under local ordinance, HPOZ, etc.)

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2016 – September 30, 2017, what properties/districts have been locally designated?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name/Address</th>
<th>Date Designated</th>
<th>If a district, number of contributors</th>
<th>Date Recorded by County Recorder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REMINDER: Pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, “the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof.”

2. What properties/districts have been de-designated this past year? For districts, include the total number of resource contributors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name/Address</th>
<th>Date Removed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Historic Preservation Element/Plan

1. Do you address historic preservation in your general plan? ☐ No ☒ Yes, in a separate historic preservation element. ☒ Yes, it is included in another element.

Provide an electronic link to the historic preservation section(s) of the General Plan. https://www.escondido.org/Data/Sites/1/media/PDFs/Planning/GPUpdate/GeneralPlanChapterVII.pdf

2. Have you made any updates to your historic preservation plan or historic preservation element in your community’s general plan? ☐ Yes ☒ No If you have, provide an electronic link. Type here.

3. When will your next General Plan update occur? 2030

D. Review Responsibilities

1. Who takes responsibility for design review or Certificates of Appropriateness?

☐ All projects subject to design review go the commission.

☒ Some projects are reviewed at the staff level without commission review. What is the threshold between staff-only review and full-commission review? Major projects involving Register Listed properties or properties within the Old Escondido Historic District are reviewed by the HPC. Minor projects are reviewed by Planning Division staff for conformance with Article 40, Section 33-798(b) of the Escondido Zoning Code. Staff can referee projects to the HPC. Projects not reviewed by staff or the HPC are reviewed by the Planning Commission.

2. California Environmental Quality Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in providing input to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government? Environmental documents are typically prepared by an environmental consultant with review and input by staff.

- What is the role of the staff and commission in reviewing CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? Staff reviews CEQA documents. The HPC reviews projects that may...
have a significant impact on an historic resource. The final approval body certifies the project’s environmental document(s) prior to taking action on the project.

3. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in providing input to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local government? *The City’s Housing Division and Planning Division staff provide input to draft Section 106 and NEPA documents.*

- What is the role of the staff and commission in reviewing Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? *City staff reviews the Section 106 and NEPA documents prior to the HPC’s review of projects that may have a significant impact on an historic resource.*

II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation

A. Commission Membership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Professional Discipline</th>
<th>Date Appointed</th>
<th>Date Term Ends</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carol Breitenfeld</td>
<td>Owns historic home</td>
<td>4-23-08</td>
<td>3-31-2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marc Correll</td>
<td>Owns historic home</td>
<td>5-6-15</td>
<td>3-31-2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Danskin</td>
<td>Architect, former member of historic downtown district Design Advisory Committee</td>
<td>6-25-14</td>
<td>3-31-2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Lee</td>
<td>History professional</td>
<td>2-13-02</td>
<td>3-31-2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesse Hanwit</td>
<td>Grant writer, owns historic home, previously restored 4 historic homes</td>
<td>3-23-2016</td>
<td>3-31-2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Rea</td>
<td>Owns historic home, OEN Historic District President</td>
<td>9-22-10</td>
<td>3-31-2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Spann</td>
<td>Planning Commissioner, interior designer, owns historic home</td>
<td>10-8-08</td>
<td>3-31-2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all members.

1. If you do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, explain why the professional qualifications not been met and how professional expertise is otherwise being provided. N/A

2. If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position will be filled? N/A

B. Staff to the Commission/CLG staff

1. Is the staff to your commission the same as your CLG coordinator? ☒ Yes ☐ No

2. If the position(s) is not currently filled, why is there a vacancy? N/A

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for staff.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name/Title</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Dept. Affiliation</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adam Finestone, AICP</td>
<td>Planning and Public Administration</td>
<td>Community Development Department, Planning Division</td>
<td><a href="mailto:afinestone@escondido.org">afinestone@escondido.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Planner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Bingham</td>
<td>Planning and Landscape Architecture</td>
<td>Community Development Department, Planning Division</td>
<td><a href="mailto:pbingham@escondido.org">pbingham@escondido.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Planner II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Attendance Record

Please complete attendance chart for each commissioner and staff member. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum. If you haven’t met at least four times, explain why not.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commissioner/Staff</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carol Breitenfeld</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marc Correll</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### D. Training Received

Indicate what training each commissioner and staff member has received. Remember it is a CLG requirement is that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commissioner/Staff Name</th>
<th>Training Title &amp; Description (including method presentation, e.g., webinar, workshop)</th>
<th>Duration of Training</th>
<th>Training Provider</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carol Breitenfeld</td>
<td>Teardowns to Mansionaization - Preserving Community Character; webinar</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>California Preservation Foundation</td>
<td>3/8/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Historic Designation; workshop</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>La Jolla Historic Society</td>
<td>3/18/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Designing Escondido: The Past, Present, and Future of Our City; workshop</td>
<td>0.5 hours</td>
<td>City staff</td>
<td>7/20/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Event Title</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marc Correll</td>
<td>CHRIS Webinar</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>Office of Historic Preservation</td>
<td>9/20/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Danskin</td>
<td>CHRIS Webinar</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>Office of Historic Preservation</td>
<td>3/6/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teardowns to Mansidaization - Preserving Community Character; webinar</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>California Preservation Foundation</td>
<td>3/8/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesse Hanwit</td>
<td>Teardowns to Mansidaization - Preserving Community Character; webinar</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>California Preservation Foundation</td>
<td>3/8/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Historic Designation; workshop</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>La Jolla Historic Society</td>
<td>3/18/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Designing Escondido: The Past, Present, and Future of Our City; workshop</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>City of Escondido</td>
<td>7/18/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Lee</td>
<td>Teardowns to Mansidaization - Preserving Community Character; webinar</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>California Preservation Foundation</td>
<td>3/8/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Rea</td>
<td>Teardowns to Mansidaization - Preserving Community Character; webinar</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>California Preservation Foundation</td>
<td>3/8/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Designing Escondido: The Past, Present, and Future of Our City; workshop</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>City of Escondido</td>
<td>7/18/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>James Spann</td>
<td>'Teardowns to Mansionaization - Preserving Community Character; webinar</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>California Preservation Foundation</td>
<td>3/8/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Designing Escondido: The Past, Present, and Future of Our City; workshop summary presented by City staff at HPC meeting</td>
<td>0.5 hours</td>
<td>City staff</td>
<td>7/20/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Bingham (staff)</td>
<td>'Teardowns to Mansionaization - Preserving Community Character; webinar</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>California Preservation Foundation</td>
<td>3/8/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Designing Escondido: The Past, Present, and Future of Our City; workshop (presenter)</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>City of Escondido</td>
<td>7/18/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rozanne Cherry (staff)</td>
<td>'Teardowns to Mansionaization - Preserving Community Character; webinar</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>California Preservation Foundation</td>
<td>3/8/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Finestone (staff)</td>
<td>Voices from the Past: Organizing and Engaging with Historic Preservation Groups; session at conference</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>American Planning Association</td>
<td>10/23/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old Escondido Historic District walking tour</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>City of Escondido (Paul Bingham)</td>
<td>11/29/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>'Teardowns to Mansionaization - Preserving Community Character; webinar</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>California Preservation Foundation</td>
<td>3/8/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Designing Escondido: The Past, Present, and Future of Our City; workshop (presenter)</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>City of Escondido</td>
<td>7/18/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bill Martin (staff)  |  Old Escondido Historic District walking tour  |  1.5 hours  |  City of Escondido (Paul Bingham)  |  11/29/16  
Mike Strong (staff)  |  Old Escondido Historic District walking tour  |  1.5 hours  |  City of Escondido (Paul Bingham)  |  11/29/16  
Designing Escondido: The Past, Present, and Future of Our City; workshop summary presented by City staff at HPC meeting  |  0.5 hours  |  City staff  |  7/20/17  

III. Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic Preservation Act

A. Historical Contexts: initiated, researched, or developed in the reporting year (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results, including historic contexts, to OHP. If you have not done so, submit a copy (PDF or link if available online) with this report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>How it is Being Used</th>
<th>Date Submitted to OHP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. New Surveys or Survey Updates (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: The evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey, is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.
How are you using the survey data?  *N/A*

### C. Corrections or changes to Historic Property Inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name/Address</th>
<th>Additions/Deletions to Inventory</th>
<th>Status Code Change From <em>To</em></th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Date of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

#### A. Public Education
What public outreach, training, or publications programs has the CLG undertaken? How were the commissioners and staff involved? Please provide copy of (or an electronic link) to all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item or Event</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historic Preservation Commission Meetings</td>
<td>All Historic Preservation Commission meetings are open to the public and often contain agenda items providing training about historic preservation topics, legislation updates, trends and community news related to historic preservation. <a href="http://www.escondido.org/HPC-agendas.aspx">http://www.escondido.org/HPC-agendas.aspx</a></td>
<td>Held every other month in City Hall’s Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Item or Event

| **Historic Escondido Walking Tours** | Members of the Escondido History Center and Escondido Citizens Ecology Committee host free City walking tours. | 2nd Saturday of the month, excluding December |
| **Annual Mother’s Day Home Tour** | Now in its twentieth year, the Old Escondido Neighborhood Historic District continues to host this annual event where five historic homes are open to the public for tours. | May 14, 2017 |
| **Historic Preservation on City’s Website** | Provides information on historic preservation in the City’s historic districts, announcements for residents within the Old Escondido Neighborhood (residential) district, links to historic preservation resources and to the City’s website regarding applications and City codes. [http://www.escondido.org/historic-preservation.aspx](http://www.escondido.org/historic-preservation.aspx) Presentation on Tax Incentives-[https://www.escondido.org/Data/Sites/1/media/pdfs/Planning/OE N_Mills_Act_Presentation_for_Website.pdf](https://www.escondido.org/Data/Sites/1/media/pdfs/Planning/OE N_Mills_Act_Presentation_for_Website.pdf) | On-going |
| **Historic Awards Program** | The Historic Preservation Commission and City Council present awards to City residents and business owners whose efforts or projects best exemplify preservation, rehabilitation, restoration, reconstruction, adaptive reuse, research, overall appearance, historic signs, landscaping and/or special merit. 2016 presentation-[https://www.escondido.org/Data/Sites/1/media/docs/2017PreservationAwards.pptx](https://www.escondido.org/Data/Sites/1/media/docs/2017PreservationAwards.pptx) | In May every year; May 2017 awards honored the 25th anniversary of the Old Escondido Neighborhood |
| **Annual Adobe Home Tour** | The Escondido History Center continues to host this annual event where historic adobe homes are open to the public for tours. | March 19, 2017 |
| **Mills Act Visits** | Members of the Historic Preservation Commission continue to visit with property owners working under a recorded Mills Act Contract to discuss their completed and planned projects, and provide additional information on rehabilitation, preservation and available resources. | On-going throughout the year |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item or Event</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Designing Escondido: The Past, Present, and Future of Our City.</td>
<td>City staff from the Planning Division and the Escondido Library’s Pioneer Room provided an informative presentation on Escondido’s history, how it shaped where we are today, and where we are going in the future. <a href="https://library.escondido.org/Data/Sites/2/media/DesigningEscondido_merged_final.pdf">https://library.escondido.org/Data/Sites/2/media/DesigningEscondido_merged_final.pdf</a></td>
<td>July 18, 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. National Park Service Baseline Questionnaire for new CLGs (certified after September 30, 2016). N/A


A. CLG Inventory Program

1. What is the net cumulative number of historic properties in your inventory at the time of your certification? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) in your inventory from all programs, local, state, and Federal. Type here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Area</th>
<th>Number of Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type here.</td>
<td>Type here.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

1. As of September 30, 2016, did your local government have a local register program to create local landmarks/local historic districts (or a similar list of designations created by local law? ☐ Yes ☐ No

2. If the answer is yes, what is the net cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties (i.e., contributing properties) locally registered/designated as of September 30, 2016.? Type here.
C. Local Tax Incentives Program

1. As of September 30, 2016, did your local government have a local historic preservation tax incentives program (e.g. Mills Act)?  □ Yes  □ No

2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties whose owners have taken advantage of those incentives as of September 30, 2016? Type here.

D. Local “Bricks and Mortar” Grants/Loans Program

1. As of September 30, 2016 did your local government have a locally-funded, historic preservation grants/loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? Type here.

2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties assisted by these grants or loans as of September 30, 2016? Type here.

E. Local Design Review/Regulatory Program

1. As of September 30, 2016, did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance requiring Commission/staff review of 1) local government undertakings and/or 2) changes to or impacts on properties with a historic district?  □ Yes  □ No

2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties that your local government has reviewed under that process as of September 30, 2016? Type here.

F. Local Property Acquisition Program

1. As of September 30, 2016, did your local government by purchase, donation, condemnation, or other means help to acquire or acquire itself some degree of title (e.g., fee simple interest or an easement) in historic properties?  □ Yes  □ No

2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties with a property interest acquisition assisted or carried out by your local government as of September 30, 2015? Type here.
VI. Additional Information for National Park Service Annual Products Report for CLGs (certified before October 1, 2016)


A. CLG Inventory Program

During the reporting period (October 1, 2016-September 30, 2017) how many historic properties did your local government add to the CLG inventory? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory from all programs, local, state, and Federal, during the reporting year. These might include National Register, California Register, California Historic Landmarks, locally funded surveys, CLG surveys, and local designations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program area</th>
<th>Number of Properties added</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Designation</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2016-September 30, 2017) did you have a local register program to create local landmarks and/or local districts (or a similar list of designations) created by local law? ☒ Yes ☐ No

2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been added to your register or designated from October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017? Five

C. Local Tax Incentives Program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2016-September 30, 2017) did you have a Local Tax Incentives Program, such as the Mills Act? ☒ Yes ☐ No

2. If the answer is yes, how many properties have been added to this program from October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017?
Certified Local Government Program -- 2016-2017 Annual Report
(Reporting period is from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Program</th>
<th>Number of Properties Added During 2016-2017</th>
<th>Total Number of Properties Benefiting From Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mills Act contracts</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Local “bricks and mortar” grants/loan program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2016-September 30, 2017) did you have a local government historic preservation grant and/or loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? ☒Yes ☐No

2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017? None

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Program</th>
<th>Number of Properties that have Benefited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facade &amp; Property Improvement Program (FPIP)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. Design Review/Local Regulatory Program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2016-September 30, 2017) did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance) authorizing Commission and/or staff review of local government projects or impacts on historic properties? ☒Yes ☐No

2. If the answer is yes, how many historic properties did your local government review for compliance with your local government’s historic preservation regulatory law(s) from October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017? HPC reviewed 10 projects; Staff reviewed 55 projects.

F. Local Property Acquisition Program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2016-September 30, 2017) did you have a local program to acquire (or help to acquire) historic properties in whole or in part through purchase, donation, or other means? ☐Yes ☒No
2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017? Type here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Program</th>
<th>Number of Properties that have Benefited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. In addition to the minimum CLG requirements, OHP is interested in a Summary of Local Preservation Programs

A. What are your most critical preservation planning issues? The City of Escondido needs to conduct another historic survey.

B. What is the single accomplishment of your local government this year that has done the most to further preservation in your community? The Escondido City Council adopted an ordinance that streamlined the process for listing of properties on the local register and approval of Mills Act contracts. Listing on the local register and approval of Mills Act contracts used to require public hearings before both the HPC and City Council. Now, local register listings are handled as current business items by the HPC, and Mills Act contracts are handled as consent items by the City Council based on the recommendation of a current business item by the HPC.

C. What recognition are you providing for successful preservation projects or programs? Recognition awards of preservation efforts presented to property and business owners by the HPC and Mayor every May. In 2017, the City honored the 25th anniversary of the Old Escondido Neighborhood, Escondido’s only historic district. Each PowerPoint awards presentation is posted on the city’s website for public access.

D. How did you meet or not meet the goals identified in your annual report for last year? Mid-century design guidelines are still being drafted. Mills Act visits continued for most of the 2016 – 2017 reporting period. Staff and
the HPC have been considering the best way(s) to conduct an historic resources survey, however due to limited financial resources, moving forward with a survey has proved challenging.

E. What are your local historic preservation goals for 2017-2018? Top priorities remain the drafting of mid-century design guidelines and conducting a new historic resources survey. Top priorities remain the drafting of mid-century design guidelines and conducting a new historic resources survey.

F. So that we may better serve you in the future, are there specific areas and/or issues with which you could use technical assistance from OHP? Impacts of various senate and assembly bills on local historic preservation efforts. (Accessory Dwelling Units, SB 35 – Streamlined approval of affordable housing projects, wireless communication facilities, etc.)

G. In what subject areas would you like to see training provided by the OHP? How you like would to see the training delivered (workshops, online, technical assistance bulletins, etc.)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Needed or Desired</th>
<th>Desired Delivery Format</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. How to maintain integrity of historic properties/districts in relation to new development in the area.</td>
<td>Webinars and informational handouts/web pages. For number 2, a checklist would be helpful.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. What to look for in archaeological and cultural resources reports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H. Would you be willing to host a training working workshop in cooperation with OHP? ☐ Yes ☐ No

G. Is there anything else you would like to share with OHP?

XII Attachments

☒ Resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all commission members/alternatives and staff
Minutes from commission meetings

☑ Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance

☐ Drafts of proposed changes to the General Plan

☐ Public outreach publications

Email to Lucinda.Woodward@parks.ca.gov