| 1927 Fifth Avenue | 2033 East Grant Road | 2027 Preisker Lane, Ste. G |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| San Diego, CA 92101 | Tucson, AZ 85719 | Santa Maria, CA 93454 |
| P 619.308.9333 | P 520.325.9977 | P 805.928 .7907 |
| F 619.308.9334 | F 520.293.3051 | F 805.928 .9334 |

An Employee-Owned Company

October 9, 2014

Ms. Mary Kathryn Kelley<br>Cooley LLP<br>4401 Eastgate Mall<br>San Diego, CA 92121

Reference: Southwest Key's Immigrant Youth Shelter, Escondido - Noise Impact Evaluation (RECON Number 7595)

Dear Ms. Kelley:
As requested, RECON Environmental, Inc. has evaluated the future noise impacts at the proposed Immigrant Youth Shelter located at 1817 Avenida Del Diablo in the City of Escondido. As detailed below, noise levels from operation of the proposed facility would not exceed the Escondido Noise Ordinance or conflict with the policies of the Escondido General Plan Noise Element. Additionally, the project would not result in a substantial change in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the site.

## Project Description

Southwest Key has applied for a conditional use permit from the City of Escondido to convert a vacant skilled nursing facility at 1817 Avenida Del Diablo in Escondido into a temporary housing facility for unaccompanied children. The Escondido Planning Commission denied that application, in part due to the potential for adverse noise impacts on the surrounding community.

## On-site Improvements

Southwest Key will not make any alterations to the building structure or mechanical equipment associated with the existing facility. Southwest Key will erect a fence at the Avenida Del Diablo site.

## Visitors and Parking

It is assumed that visitors for the minors at the Avenida Del Diablo site will be significantly fewer than when it was a nursing home.

The proposed Escondido program will have three shifts and a staggered start time to lessen the traffic and allow for smooth transition at the time clock as well as the parking lot. Thus, there will be adequate parking within the site with a potential for additional overflow parking along the adjacent frontage road.

## Outdoor Recreation

Each minor will be given one hour of large muscle activity during the weekday and three hours on the weekend either within the building or off-site. The only outdoor recreation on-site will be quiet activities on the patio fronting Del Dios Highway.

## Definition of Terms

The impact of noise is not a function of loudness alone. The time of day when noise occurs and the duration of noise are also important. In addition, most noise that lasts for more than a few seconds is variable in its intensity. Consequently, a variety of noise descriptors have been developed. The noise descriptors used for this study are the one-hour equivalent noise level ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ ) and the Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL).

The $L_{\text {eq }}$ is the average $A$-weighted decibel $[\mathrm{dB}(\mathrm{A})]$ sound level over a one-hour period. The CNEL is a 24 -hour $A$-weighted average sound level $\left[\mathrm{dB}(\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{L}_{\text {eq }}\right]$ from midnight to midnight obtained after the addition of 5 decibels (dB) to sound levels occurring between 7:00 PM and 10:00 PM, and 10 dB to sound levels occurring between 10:00 PM and 7:00 AM. A-weighting is a frequency correction that often correlates well with the subjective response of humans to noise. Adding 5 dB and 10 dB to the evening and nighttime hours, respectively, accounts for the added sensitivity of humans to noise during these time periods.

Sound from a small localized source (approximating a point source) radiates uniformly outward as it travels away from the source in a spherical pattern. The sound level decreases or drops off at a rate of $6 \mathrm{~dB}(A)$ for each doubling of the distance.

However, traffic noise is not a single, stationary point source of sound. The movement of vehicles makes the source of the sound appear to emanate from a line (line source) rather than a point when viewed over some time interval. The drop-off rate for a line source is $3 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ for each doubling of distance.

Change in noise levels is perceived as follows: $3 \mathrm{~dB}(A)$ barely perceptible, $5 \mathrm{~dB}(A)$ readily perceptible, and $10 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ perceived as a doubling or halving of noise (California Department of Transportation [Caltrans] 2013).

## Applicable Standards

## City of Escondido Municipal Code

## Chapter 17, Article 12, Noise Abatement and Control (Noise Ordinance)

The Noise Ordinance establishes prohibitions for disturbing, excessive, or offensive noise and provisions such as sound level limits for the purpose of securing and promoting public health, comfort, safety, peace, and quiet for its citizens. Table 1 shows the allowable noise levels at any point on or beyond the boundaries of the property on which the sound is produced and corresponding times of day for each zoning designation. These noise standards apply to properties or portions of property of land use type reasonably similar to the land use types shown in Table 1. Where two or more dissimilar land uses occur on a single property, the most restrictive noise limit applies.

Environmental noise is measured by the $L_{e q}$ for the hours as specified in Table 1. If the noise is continuous, the $L_{\text {eq }}$ for any hour will be represented by any lesser time period within that hour. If the noise is intermittent, the $L_{e q}$ for any hour may be represented by a time period typical of the operating cycle, but the measurement period must be 15 minutes or longer. If the measured ambient level exceeds the permissible noise level, the allowable noise exposure standard is the ambient noise level. Noise restrictions are listed in Sections 17-230 through 17-241 of the Noise Ordinance, such as specific regulations pertaining to motor vehicles and burglar alarms. Additional sections of the Noise Ordinance applicable to this analysis are listed below.

TABLE 1 CITY OF ESCONDIDO EXTERIOR SOUND LIMIT LEVELS

| Zone |  | Applicable Limit One- <br> hour Average Sound <br> Level (A-weighted <br> Decibels) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Time | 50 |
| Multi-residential zones | $7: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ to 10:00 PM | 45 |
|  | $10: 00 \mathrm{PM}$. to $7: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 55 |
| Commercial zones | $7: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ to 10:00 PM | 50 |
|  | $10: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ to 7:00 AM | 60 |
| Light industrial/Industrial park zones | $7: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ to 10:00 PM | 55 |
|  | 10:00 PM to 7:00 AM | 70 |
| General industrial zones | Anytime | 75 |

SOURCE: City of Escondido Municipal Code Section 17-229, Sound Level Limits.

## Chapter 33, Article 47, Environmental Quality Regulations

The Environmental Quality Regulations implement the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the CEQA Guidelines by applying the provisions and procedures contained in CEQA to development projects proposed within the City of Escondido. Section (a)(2) pertains to noise impacts, specifically noise impacts related to the widening of Mobility and Infrastructure Element streets. According to this section, the following incremental noise increases are generally not considered significant:

- Short- or long-term increases, regardless of the extent, that do not result in noise increases in excess of general plan standards,
- Short- or long-term increases that result in a $3 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ or less incremental increase in noise beyond the general plan's noise standards;


## Existing Noise Levels

Existing noise levels at the project site were measured on September 12, 2014, using a LarsonDavis Model LxT, Type 1 Integrating Sound Level Meter, serial number 3829. The following parameters were used:

Filter:
Response:
Interval Period
Time History Period:

A-weighted
Slow
1 minute
1 second

The meter was calibrated before and after each measurement. The meter was set five feet above ground level for each measurement.

Noise measurements were taken to obtain typical ambient noise levels at the project site and in the vicinity. The weather was warm and partly cloudy with a slight breeze, two to four miles per hour on average. Two 20 -minute measurements were taken, as described below. The primary source of on-site noise was due to traffic on West Valley Parkway. Secondary sources of noise were due to traffic on Avenida Del Diablo and Del Dios Highway. The locations of the measurements are shown on Figure 1, and the detailed noise measurement data are contained in Attachment 1.


FIGURE 1

Measurement 1 was located southeast of the project site approximately 50 feet from the edge of Del Dios Highway. The main noise source at this location was vehicle traffic on West Valley Parkway. The average measured noise level during Measurement 1 was $50.9 \mathrm{~dB}(A) L_{\text {eq. }}$. The background noise level, as estimated by the noise level exceeding 90 percent of the measurement period $\left(L_{90}\right)$, was $46.0 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{L}_{90}$.

Measurement 2 was located at the northeastern corner of the project site. The measurement was located approximately 50 feet south of Avenida Del Diablo and 70 feet west of Del Dios Highway. The main noise source at this location was vehicle traffic on West Valley Parkway with traffic on Avenida Del Diablo as a significant secondary source. During the measurement period, traffic was moving freely on all roadways. The average measured noise level during Measurement 2 was $53.8 \mathrm{~dB}(A) L_{\text {eq. }}$. The background noise level as estimated by the $L_{90}$ was $49.0 \mathrm{~dB}(A)$.

## Traffic Parameters

Existing traffic volumes and speeds were obtained from Chen Ryan Associates, who conducted traffic counts in September 2014. We understand that a site-specific analysis shows the proposed project would generate approximately 204 trips per day. However, if the proposed project generated the same number of trips as the San Diego Association of Governments' trip generation rates for a convalescent care facility (three trips per bed), the project would generate 288 daily trips. To ensure that all project traffic is accounted for, an increased rate of five trips per bed was also analyzed. Assuming the increased trip rate, the project would generate 480 daily trips.

Project trip distribution on area roadways was based upon distribution assumptions made by Chen Ryan and submitted to the City of Escondido for review. That information assumes 30 percent of the total daily trips would use Del Dios Highway, 60 percent of the trips would continue north onto West Valley Parkway, and 10 percent of the trips would go south to West Valley Parkway. Additionally, to be conservative for noise analysis purposes, 100 percent of daily trips were assumed to use Avenida Del Diablo.

The traffic volumes, vehicle mix, and speeds for surrounding roadways are shown in Table 2.

## On-site Noise Sources

On-site noise sources would include the all mechanical equipment associated with the existing facility, parking, as well as residents and employees of the facility. However, the proposed use would not alter the existing facility or any of the mechanical equipment associated with it. Therefore, the primary on-site noise sources would be activity due to parking and facility residents using the patio fronting Del Dios Highway.

## Methodology

Noise generated by traffic and on-site noise sources were modeled using a three-dimensional noise modeling software package, SoundPLAN Essential, version 2.1 (SoundPLAN). All modeling was conservatively based on flat topographic conditions and acoustically reflective ground, i.e., an acoustically hard site, which results in the highest noise levels at the surrounding receivers. Traffic noise levels were modeled using the Federal Highway Administration's Traffic Noise Model vehicle noise algorithms.

TABLE 2
ROADWAY TRAFFIC PARAMETERS

| Roadway | Segment | Existing ADT | Existing + Project ADT - 3 Trips per Bed | Existing + Project ADT - 5 Trips per Bed | Existing + Project ADT 204 Trips | Percent Autos | Percent Medium Trucks | Percent Heavy Trucks | Speed (mph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W. Valley | North of Avenida Del Diablo | 19,869 | 20,042 | 2,0157 | 20,164 | 97\% | 3\% | 2\% | 45 |
| Parkway | South of Avenida Del Diablo | 19,563 | 19,592 | 1,9611 | 19,583 | 97\% | 3\% | 2\% | 45 |
| Avenida Del | W. Valley Parkway to Del Dios Highway | 1,867 | 2,155 | 2,347 | 2,071 | 97\% | 2\% | 1\% | 35 |
| Diablo | West of Valley Parkway | 2,352 | 2,352 | 2,352 | 2,352 | 97\% | 2\% | 1\% | 35 |
| Del Dios | North of Avenida Del Diablo | 1,870 | 1,956 | 2,014 | 1,931 | 97\% | 2\% | 1\% | 35 |
| Highway | South of Avenida Del Diablo | 80 | 166 | 224 | 141 | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 35 |

ADT = average daily traffic.
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## Analysis

## Traffic Noise

Noise generated by vehicle traffic was modeled for the existing condition and for the existing plus project condition using the parameters shown in Table 2. Noise contours were calculated and noise levels were modeled at a series of 20 receivers located at residential receptors in the vicinity of the project. Modeled receiver locations are shown in Figure 1. Table 3 summarizes the vehicle traffic noise levels at the modeled receivers.

TABLE 3
VEHICLE TRAFFIC NOISE LEVELS
(CNEL)
$\left.\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|ccc}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { Existing } \\ \text { Noise } \\ \text { Level }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Existing Plus } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Noise Level } \\ \text { 3 Trips per } \\ \text { Bed }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Change in } \\ \text { dB over } \\ \text { Existing }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Existing Plus } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Noise Level } \\ \text { 2 Trips per } \\ \text { Bed }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Change in } \\ \text { dB over } \\ \text { Existing }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Existing } \\ \text { Plus Project } \\ \text { Noise Level } \\ -204 \text { Trips }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Change in } \\ \text { dB over } \\ \text { Existing }\end{array}\right]$

As shown, the project would result in traffic noise increases ranging from 0 to 1 dB . Increases would be less than 3 dB and would not be audible. Traffic noise impacts due to the project would be less than significant.

## On-site Noise

On-site noise sources would include parking and facility residents using the patio fronting Del Dios Highway. Sound power levels for these activities were obtained from the SoundPLAN database. Vehicles arriving and parking, doors opening and closing, and vehicles starting and leaving generate an aggregate sound power level of 97.1 dB . The parking lots on the west and north sides of the building and along the frontage road were modeled as area sources, each of which generates a sound power level of 97.1 dB .

The SoundPLAN database contains sound power levels for people speaking at various levels and for people laughing. Of these sound power levels, the loudest is associated with people laughing and is 87.9 dB . To be conservative, noise generated by residents using the patio was assumed to be equivalent to the sound power level generated by laughter. This noise would be intermittent. Using this sound power level, it was calculated that residents on the patio would generate an
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average hourly sound power level of 77.1 dB . This noise level was modeled as a point source centered at seven tables located on the patio.

Table 4 summarizes the on-site generated noise levels at the 20 modeled receivers shown in Figure 1.

TABLE 4
ON-SITE GENERATED NOISE LEVELS

| Receiver | Noise Level Due to On-Site Sources |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 54 |
| 2 | 53 |
| 3 | 52 |
| 4 | 45 |
| 5 | 52 |
| 6 | 51 |
| 7 | 53 |
| 8 | 51 |
| 9 | 50 |
| 10 | 50 |
| 11 | 52 |
| 12 | 50 |
| 13 | 48 |
| 14 | 51 |
| 15 | 44 |
| 16 | 48 |
| 17 | 43 |
| 18 | 46 |
| 19 | 48 |
| 20 | 47 |

As shown, noise levels would range from 43 to $53 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eq}}$. If the measured ambient level exceeds the permissible noise level, the allowable noise exposure standard is the ambient noise level. The existing ambient noise levels due to traffic noise at each of the modeled receivers are shown in Table 3. Noise levels due to on-site noise sources would be less than these existing ambient noise levels for all modeled receivers. Thus, noise impacts due to on-site sources would be less than significant.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (619) 308-9333 x124.


William Maddux
Senior Noise Specialist
WAM:jg
Attachment

## Reference Cited

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)
2013 Technical Noise Supplement. November.

## ATTACHMENT 1

Existing Traffic


| Contributions.txt |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level | W/O NP | Level | W. NP |
| Sour | urce name $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } \\ & \\ & d B(A)\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & L(A e q 1 h) \\ & d B(A) \end{aligned}$ |  | $L(A e q 1 h)$ |
|  | 1 1.Fl | 60.3 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 55. 5 | 0.0 |
|  | Del Dios Highway |  | 31.6 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 56.4 | 0.0 |
|  | Del Dios Highway |  | 31.4 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 50.8 | 0.0 |
|  | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 51.7 | 0.0 |
|  | 2 1.Fl | 58.0 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 55.4 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 35.9 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 50.2 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 35.8 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 44.2 | 0.0 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avenida Del Diablo } \\ & 3 \end{aligned} \quad \text { 1.F! }$ | 52.0 | 51.6 | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 28.0 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 36.6 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 49.3 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 34.8 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 48.1 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 28.5 | 0.0 |
|  | 4 1.Fl | 48.2 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 39.4 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 40.3 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 43.5 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 38.4 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 38.5 | 0.0 |
| WB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avenida Del Diablo } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 67.8 | 40.0 | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 43.1 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 24.3 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 61.2 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 24.7 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 66.7 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo | 7.4 | 43.9 | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 47.2 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 26. 8 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 60.9 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 27.0 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 66.2 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 48.9 | 0.0 |
|  | 7 1.Fl | 68.0 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 40.2 | 0.0 |
|  | Del Dios Highway |  | 22.0 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 61.2 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 22.3 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 67.0 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 40.8 | 0.0 |
|  | 8 1.Fl | 62.2 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 40.6 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 22.6 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 57.8 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 22.9 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 60.2 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 41.3 | 0.0 |
|  | 9 1.Fl | 62.4 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 43.2 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 24.5 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 58.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | Page 1 |


SB Del Dios Highway
SB W Valley Parkway
WB Avenida Del Diablo
EB IG
EB Avenida Del Diablo
NB Del Dios Highway
NB W Valley Parkway
SB Del Dios Highway
SB W Valley Parkway
WB Avenida Del Diablo
20


Road.txt
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3-Trips Per Bed


|  | Level | w/ O NP | Contributions.txt |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sou | urce name $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } \\ & \\ & d B(A)\end{aligned}$ | $L(A e g 1 h)$ $d B(A)$ |  | $\mathrm{L}($ Aeqlh) |
|  | 1 1.Fl | 60.5 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 56.0 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 31.7 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 56.5 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 31.5 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 50.8 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 52.2 | 0.0 |
|  | 2 1.Fl | 58.3 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 55.8 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 36.1 | 0.0 |
|  | W Vall ey Parkway |  | 50.3 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 36.1 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 44.2 | 0.0 |
|  | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 52.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 3 1.Fl | 52.2 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 28.4 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 39.6 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 49.3 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 37.8 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 48.1 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 28.9 | 0.0 |
|  | 4 1.Fl | 48.8 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 39.8 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 42.0 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 43.5 | 0.0 |
|  | Del Dios Highway |  | 39.9 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 38.5 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo 5 1. Fl | 67.8 | 40.4 | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 43.6 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 24.7 | 0.0 |
|  | W Vall ey Parkway |  | 61.2 | 0.0 |
|  | Del Dios Highway |  | 25.1 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 66.7 | 0.0 |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Avenida Del }_{6} \text { Diablo } \\ \text { 1.Fi } \end{array}$ | 67.5 | 44.4 | 0.00 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 47.8 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 26.9 | 0.0 |
|  | W Vall ey Parkway |  | 61.0 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 27.2 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 66.2 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 49.5 | 0.0 |
|  | 7 1.FI | 68.0 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 40.7 | 0.0 |
|  | Del Dios Highway |  | 23.0 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 61.2 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 23.3 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 67.0 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 41.3 | 0.0 |
|  | 8 1.F! | 62.2 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 41.1 | 0.0 |
|  | Del Dios Highway |  | 23.3 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 57.8 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 23.6 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 60.2 | 0.0 |
|  | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 41.8 | 0.0 |
|  | 9 1.Fl | 62.4 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 43.7 | 0.0 |
|  | Del Dios Highway |  | 24.8 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 58.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | Page 1 |



| S B | Del Dios Highway | Contributions.txt |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S B | W Valley Parkway |  | 45.8 | 0.0 |  |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 40.1 | 0.0 |  |
|  | 19 1.Fl | 60.5 |  | 0.0 |  |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 46.1 | 0.0 |  |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 28.3 | 0.0 |  |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 59.3 | 0.0 |  |
| S B | Del Dios Highway |  | 28.1 | 0.0 |  |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 53.1 | 0.0 |  |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 45.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | 20 1.Fl | 59.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 45.1 | 0.0 |  |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 28.0 | 0.0 |  |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 57.6 | 0.0 |  |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 27.8 | 0.0 |  |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 51.9 | 0.0 |  |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 44.2 | 0.0 |  |
|  | 21 1.Fl | 37. 5 |  | 0.0 |  |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 23.0 | 0.0 |  |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 28.0 | 0.0 |  |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 34.6 | 0.0 |  |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 27.7 | 0.0 |  |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 30.3 | 0.0 |  |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 22.7 | 0.0 |  |

Road.txt

| Traffic values Control Constr. Affe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gradient |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stationi | n g | ADT Vehic | cles | type |  |  | n a me | day | Spee | device |  |
| Speed | veh. | Road surface | M | Mi n I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| k m | Veh/ 24 h |  |  | Veh/h |  | $\mathrm{km} /$ |  | k m/ h | \% |  | \% |
| NB W | Valley | Parkway T | Traff | ic d | directis |  | 1 n | entry |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 22152 | Total |  | 923 |  |  | none |  |  | Average | (of |
| DGAC and | PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 22152 | Automobiles |  |  |  | 878 | 2 | none | - | - |  |
| Average | ( of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 22152 | Medium trucks |  |  |  | 27 | 2 | none | - | - |  |
| Average | ( of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 22152 | Heavy trucks |  |  |  | 18 | 2 | none | - | - |  |
| Average | ( of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 22152 | Buses | . |  |  |  | none | - | - | Average | (of |
| DGAC and | PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 22152 | Motorcycles |  |  |  |  |  | none | - | - |  |
| Average | ( of DGAC | C and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 22152 | Auxiliary Veh | hicle |  |  |  |  | - | none | - | - |
| Average | 1 of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+262$ | 21480 | Total - |  | 895 |  | - | none | - | - | Average | (of |
| DGAC and | PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+262$ | 21480 | Aut omobiles |  |  |  | 850 | 2 | none | - | - |  |
| Average | $($ of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+262$ | 21480 | Mediumtrucks |  |  |  | 27 | 2 | none | - | - |  |
| Average | 1 of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+262$ | 21480 | Heavy trucks |  |  |  | 18 | 2 | none | - | - |  |
| Average | ( of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+262$ | 21480 | Buses | - |  | - |  | none | - | - | Average | (of |
| DGAC and | PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+262$ | 21480 | Motorcycles |  |  |  |  |  | none | - | - |  |
| Average | 1 of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+262$ | 21480 | Auxiliary Veh | hicle |  | - | - |  | - | none | - | - |
| Average | ( of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+459$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| SB W | Valley Pa | Parkway T | Traff |  | directiol |  | 1 n | entry | tion |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 21480 | Total | 8 | 895 |  |  | none |  |  | Average | (of |
| DGAC and | PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 21480 | Aut omobil es |  |  |  | 850 | 72 | none | - | - |  |
| Average | ( of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 21480 | Medium trucks |  |  |  | 27 | 72 | none | - | - |  |
| Average | ( of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 21480 | Heavy trucks |  |  |  | 18 | 72 | none | - | - |  |
| Average | 1 of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 21480 | Buses | - | - | - |  | none | - | - | Average | (of |
| DGAC and | P(C) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 21480 | Motorcycles |  |  | - |  |  | none | - | - |  |
| Average | $($ of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 21480 | Auxiliary Veh | hicle |  | - |  |  | - | none | - | - |
| Average | 1 of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+198$ | 22152 | Total - |  | 923 | - |  | none | - | - | Average | (of |
| DGAC and | PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+198$ | 22152 | Aut omobil es |  |  |  | 878 | 72 | none | - | - |  |
| Average | 1 of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+198$ | 22152 | Mediumtrucks |  |  |  | 27 | 72 | none | - | - |  |
| Average | ( of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+198$ | 22152 | Heavy trucks |  |  |  | 18 | 72 | none | - | - |  |
| Average | 1 of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+198$ | 22152 | Buses |  |  |  |  | none | - | - | Average | 10 f |
| DGAC and | PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+198$ | 22152 | Motorcycles |  |  | - |  |  | none | - | - |  |
| Average | 1 of DGAC | $C$ and PCC) | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+198$ | 22152 | Auxiliary Veh | hicle |  | - |  |  | - | none | - | - |
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5-Trips per Bed


| Contributions.txt |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level | w/ O NP | Level | W. NP |
| Sour | urce name $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } \\ & \\ & d B(A)\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & L(A e q 1 h) \\ & d B(A) \end{aligned}$ |  | $L(A e q 1 h)$ |
|  | 1 1.Fl | 60.8 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 56. 5 | 0.0 |
|  | Del Dios Highway |  | 31.8 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 56.5 | 0.0 |
|  | Del Dios Highway |  | 31.6 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 50.8 | 0.0 |
|  | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 52.7 | 0.0 |
|  | 2 1.Fl | 58.8 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 56.3 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 36.2 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 50.3 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 36.2 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 44.3 | 0.0 |
|  | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 52.5 | 0.0 |
|  | 3 1.Fl | 52.3 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 28.7 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 40.4 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 49.3 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 38.5 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 48.1 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 29.2 | 0.0 |
|  | 4 1.Fl | 49.1 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 40.3 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 42.6 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 43.6 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 40.4 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 38.5 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo | 67.9 | 40.9 | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 43.9 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 24.9 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 61.3 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 25.2 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 66.8 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 44.7 | 0.0 |
|  | 6 1.Fl | 67.5 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 48.0 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 27.0 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 61.0 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 27. 3 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 66.2 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 49.7 | 0.0 |
|  | 7 1.F\| | 68.1 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 40.9 | 0.0 |
|  | Del Dios Highway |  | 23.4 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 61.2 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 23.7 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 67.1 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 41.6 | 0.0 |
|  | 8 1.Fl | 62.3 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 41.3 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 23.6 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 57.8 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 23.9 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 60.2 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 42.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 9 1.Fl | 62.5 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 43.9 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 58.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | Page 1 |
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204 Trips per Chen Ryan


| Contributions.txt |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level | w/ O NP | Level | W. NP |
| Sour | urce name $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } \\ & \\ & d B(A)\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & L(A e q 1 h) \\ & d B(A) \end{aligned}$ |  | $L(A e q 1 h)$ |
|  | 1 1.Fl | 60.8 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 56. 5 | 0.0 |
|  | Del Dios Highway |  | 31.8 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 56.5 | 0.0 |
|  | Del Dios Highway |  | 31.6 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 50.8 | 0.0 |
|  | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 52.7 | 0.0 |
|  | 2 1.Fl | 58.8 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 56.3 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 36.2 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 50.3 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 36.2 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 44.3 | 0.0 |
|  | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 52.5 | 0.0 |
|  | 3 1.Fl | 52.3 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 28.7 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 40.4 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 49.3 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 38.5 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 48.1 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 29.2 | 0.0 |
|  | 4 1.Fl | 49.1 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 40.3 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 42.6 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 43.6 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 40.4 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 38.5 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo | 67.9 | 40.9 | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 43.9 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 24.9 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 61.3 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 25.2 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 66.8 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 44.7 | 0.0 |
|  | 6 1.Fl | 67.5 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 48.0 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 27.0 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 61.0 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 27. 3 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 66.2 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 49.7 | 0.0 |
|  | 7 1.F\| | 68.1 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 40.9 | 0.0 |
|  | Del Dios Highway |  | 23.4 | 0.0 |
|  | W Valley Parkway |  | 61.2 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 23.7 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 67.1 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 41.6 | 0.0 |
|  | 8 1.Fl | 62.3 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 41.3 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 23.6 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 57.8 | 0.0 |
| SB | Del Dios Highway |  | 23.9 | 0.0 |
| SB | W Valley Parkway |  | 60.2 | 0.0 |
| WB | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 42.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 9 1.Fl | 62.5 |  | 0.0 |
| E B | Avenida Del Diablo |  | 43.9 | 0.0 |
| NB | Del Dios Highway |  | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| NB | W Valley Parkway |  | 58.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | Page 1 |
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|  |  |  | Road.txt |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0+185$ Average | 2424 Automobiles |  | 98 | 56 | none |  |  |
| Average $0+185$ | ( of DGAC and PCC) 2424 Mediumtrucks | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0+185 \\ & \text { Average } \end{aligned}$ |  | $0.0$ | 2 | 56 | none |  | - |
| $0+185$ | 2424 Heavy trucks |  | 1 | 56 | none |  |  |
| Average | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+185$ | 2424 Buses . | - | - | none | - |  | Average (of |
| DGAC and | d PCC) 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0+185 2 | 2424 Motorcycles |  | - | - | none | - | - |
| Average | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+185$ | 2424 Auxiliary Vehicl |  | - |  | - | none | - |
| Average | (of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+471$ | dol |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| EB Ave |  | raffic | direction: | 1 n | entry | direction |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0+000 \\ & D G A C \text { and } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2856 \\ \text { dPCC) } & \text { Total } \\ \text { 0.0 } \end{array}$ | 119 |  | none |  |  | Average (of |
| $0+000$ | 2856 Automobiles |  | 115 | 56 | none | - | - |
| Average | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+0002$ | 2856 Mediumtrucks |  | 3 | 56 | none | - | - |
| Average | (of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0+000 2 | 2856 Heavy trucks |  | 1 | 56 | none | - | - |
| Average | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+0002$ | 2856 Buses |  |  | none | - |  | Average ( of |
| DGAC and | d PCC) 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+0002$ | 2856 Motorcycles | - | - | - | none | - | - |
| Average | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+0002$ | 2856 Auxiliary Vehicl |  | - | . | - | none | - - |
| Average | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+1643$ | 3000 Total | 125 | - | none | - | - | Average ( of |
| DGAC and | d P(C) 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+1643$ | 3000 Automobiles |  | 121 | 56 | none | - | - |
| Average | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+1643$ | 3000 Mediumtrucks |  | 3 | 56 | none |  |  |
| Average | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+1643$ | 3000 Heavy trucks |  | 1 | 56 | none | - | - |
| Average | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0+164 \\ & D G A C \text { and } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 3000 & \text { Buses } \\ d P(C) & 0.0 \end{array}$ |  | - | none | - | - | Average ( of |
| $0+164$ | 3000 Motorcycles |  | - | - | none |  | - |
| Average | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+1643$ | 3000 Auxiliary Vehicl | 1 e | - | - | - | none | - - |
| Average ( | (of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+345$ WB Ave |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| 0+000 Ave | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { venida Del Diablo. } \\ 3000 & \text { Total }\end{array}$ | raffic | direction: | 1 n | entry | direction |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0+000 \\ & D G A C \text { and } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 3000 & \text { Total } \\ d P C() & 0.0 \end{array}$ | 125 |  | none |  |  | Average (of |
| $0+0003$ | 3000 Automobiles |  | 121 | 56 | none | - | - |
| Average ( | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 3000 Mediumtrucks |  | 3 | 56 | none | - | - |
| Average ! | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+0003$ | 3000 Heavy trucks |  | 1 | 56 | none |  |  |
| Average | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 3000 Buses . |  | - | none | $\cdot$ | - | Average ( of |
| DGAC and | d PCC) 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+0003$ | 3000 Motorcycles |  | - |  | none |  | - |
| Average | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+000$ | 3000 Auxiliary Vehicl |  | - | - | - | none | - - |
| Average ${ }^{+179}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { of } \\ 2856 \\ \text { DGAC and }\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 119 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| DGAC and | d P C C $) ~ 0.0 ~$ |  |  | none | $\cdot$ |  | Average (of |
| $0+1792$ | 2856 Automobiles |  | 115 | 56 | none |  | - |
| Average | ( of DGAC and PCC) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0+179$ | 2856 Mediumtrucks |  |  | 56 | none | - | - |



Stationary


| Receivers.txt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 19 |  | 1. FI | - | - 71 | - | - | 47.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -47.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | . |
| 20 | 20 |  | 1. FI | - | - | - | - | 46.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -46.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - |






| Industry.txt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | n a me | Leq1 | Leq2 | Leq 3 | $L$ max | Reference | Kwal | Cl | CT |
|  | $d B(A)$ | dB ( A$)$ | d B ( A ) | d B ( A ) |  | $d B(A) \quad d B(A)$ | dB ( A) |  |  |
| Out door | Seating | 1 | 77.1 | -1000.0 | $-1000.0$ | $0.0 \quad$ Unit | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Out door | Seating | 2 | 77.1 | - 1000.0 | -1000.0 | 0.0 Unit | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Out door | Seating | 3 | 77.1 | -1000.0 | -1000.0 | $0.0 \quad$ Unit | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Out door | Seating | 4 | 77.1 | -1000.0 | -1000.0 | 0.0 Unit | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Out door | Seating | 5 | 77.1 | -1000.0 | -1000.0 | 0.0 Unit | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Out door | Seating | 6 | 77.1 | -1000.0 | -1000.0 | 0.0 Unit | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Out door | Seating | 7 | 77.1 | $-1000.0$ | $-1000.0$ | 0.0 Unit | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Parking | 1 | 97.1 | -1000. | -1000.0 | 0.0 | Unit 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Parking | 2 | 97.1 | -1000. | $-1000.0$ | 0.0 | Unit 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

