# City of Escondido PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (PDP) SWQMP

FORMER SEARS REDEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO.

210 EAST VIA RANCHO PARKWAY ESCONDIDO, CA 92025

ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER(S): 271-030-14-00

**ENGINEER OF WORK:** 

#### ERIC ARMSTRONG – RCE 36083

PREPARED FOR:

COSTCO WHOLESALE 999 LAKE DRIVE ISSAQUAH, WA 98027

PDP SWQMP PREPARED BY:

FUSCOE ENGINEERING, INC 6390 GREENWICH DRIVE, STE 170 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122 858-554-1500

> DATE OF SWQMP: SEPTEMBER 6, 2022

> > SWQMP APPROVED BY: [FOR CITY STAFF ONLY]

PLANS PREPARED BY: FUSCOE ENGINEERING, INC 6390 GREENWICH DRIVE SAN DIEGO, CA 92122 858-554-1500

APPROVAL DATE:



This page was left intentionally blank.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF C	ONTENTS	iii
ATTACHMEN	TS	iv
ACRONYMS		iv
PDP SWQMP	PREPARER'S CERTIFICATION PAGE	v
SUBMITTAL I	RECORD	. vii
PROJECT VIO	CINITY MAP	viii
Step 1: Pro	pject type determination (Standard or Priority Development Project) (Form I-2a).	. 1
Step 1.1:	Storm Water Quality Management Plan requirements	. 4
Step 1.2:	Exception to PDP definitions	. 4
Step 2: Co	nstruction Storm Water BMPs	. 5
Step 3: Cit	y of Escondido PDP SWQMP Site Information Checklist (Form I-2a)	. 6
Step 3.1:	Description of Existing Site Condition	. 6
Step 3.2:	Description of Existing Site Drainage Patterns	. 7
Step 3.3:	Description of Proposed Site Development	. 8
Step 3.4:	Description of Proposed Site Drainage Patterns	. 9
Step 3.5:	Potential Pollutant Source Areas	10
Step 3.6:	Identification and Narrative of Receiving Water and Pollutants of Concern	11
Step 3.7:	Hydromodification Management Requirements	12
Step 3.7.1	I: Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas*	13
Step 3.7.2	2: Flow Control for Post-Project Runoff*	14
Step 3.8:	Other Site Requirements and Constraints	15
Step 4: So	urce Control BMP Checklist (Form I-2b)	16
Step 5: Site	e Design BMP Checklist (Form I-2c)	18
Step 6: PD	P Structural BMPs (Form I-3)	20
Step 6.1:	Description of structural BMP strategy	20
Step 6.2:	Structural BMP Checklist	22
Step 6.3:	Offsite Alternative Compliance Participation Form	23

### **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1: Backup for PDP Pollutant Control BMPs Attachment 1a: Storm Water Pollutant Control Worksheet Calculations (Worksheet B.2-1 DCV, Form I-4) Attachment 1b: Form I-5, Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition Attachment 1c: Form I-6, Factor of Safety and Design Infiltration Rate Worksheet Attachment 1d: Drainage Management Area (DMA) Exhibit Attachment 1e: Individual Structural BMP DMA Mapbook Attachment 2: Backup for PDP Hydromodification Control Measures Attachment 2a: Flow Control Facility Design Attachment 2b: Hydromodification Management Exhibit Attachment 2c: Management of Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas Attachment 2d: Geomorphic Assessment of Receiving Channels (optional) Attachment 2e: Vector Control Plan (if applicable) Attachment 3: Structural BMP Maintenance Plan Attachment 3a: Structural BMP Maintenance Thresholds and Actions Attachment 3b: Draft Maintenance Agreements / Notifications (when applicable) Attachment 4: City of Escondido PDP Structural BMP Verification Attachment 5: Copy of Plan Sheets Showing Permanent Storm Water BMPs

# ACRONYMS

ACP	Alternative Compliance Project
APN	Assessor's Parcel Number
BMP	Best Management Practice
DMA	Drainage Management Area
EOW	Engineer of Work
HMP	Hydromodification Management Plan
HSG	Hydrologic Soil Group
MS4	Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System
N/A	Not Applicable
PDP	Priority Development Project
PE	Professional Engineer
SC	Source Control
SD	Site Design
SDRWQCB	San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board
SIC	Standard Industrial Classification
SWDM	Storm Water Design Manual
SWQMP	Storm Water Quality Management Plan
WMAA	Watershed Management Area Analysis
WQIP	Water Quality Improvement Plan

### PDP SWQMP PREPARER'S CERTIFICATION PAGE

Project Name: FORMER SEARS REDEVELOPMENT Permit Application Number:

#### PREPARER'S CERTIFICATION

I hereby declare that I am the Engineer in Responsible Charge of design of storm water best management practices (BMPs) for this project, and that I have exercised responsible charge over the design of the BMPs as defined in Section 6703 of the Business and Professions Code, and that the design is consistent with the PDP requirements of the City of Escondido Storm Water Design Manual, which is a design manual for compliance with the City of Escondido Municipal Code (Chapter 22, Article 2) and regional MS4 Permit (California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Diego Region Order No. R9-2013-0001 as amended by R9-2015-0001 and R9-2015-0100) requirements for storm water management.

I have read and understand that the City of Escondido has adopted minimum requirements for managing urban runoff, including storm water, from land development activities, as described in the Storm Water Design Manual. I certify that this PDP SWQMP has been completed to the best of my ability and accurately reflects the project being proposed and the applicable BMPs proposed to minimize the potentially negative impacts of this project's land development activities on water quality. I understand and acknowledge that the plan check review of this PDP SWQMP by City staff is confined to a review and does not relieve me, as the Engineer in Responsible Charge of design of storm water BMPs for this project, of my responsibilities for project design.

RCE 36083 EXP 06/30/2024

Engineer of Work's Signature, PE Number & Expiration Date

ERIC ARMSTRONG Print Name

FUSCOE ENGINEERING, INC Company

Date

Engineer's Seal:

### SUBMITTAL RECORD

Use this Table to keep a record of submittals of this PDP SWQMP. Each time the PDP SWQMP is re-submitted, provide the date and status of the project. In column 4 summarize the changes that have been made or indicate if response to plancheck comments is included. When applicable, insert response to plancheck comments behind this page.

Submittal	Date	Summary of Changes
Number		
1		Initial Submittal
2		
3		
4		

Preliminary Design / Planning / CEQA

Final Design

Submittal	Date	Summary of Changes
1		Initial Submittal
2		
3		
4		

Plan Changes

Submittal Number	Date	Summary of Changes
1		Initial Submittal
2		
3		
4		

## PROJECT VICINITY MAP

Project Name: FORMER SEARS REDEVELOPMENT Record ID:



# Step 1: Project type determination (Standard or Priority Development Project) (Form I-2a)

Project Summary Information			
Project Name	FORMER SEARS REDEVELOPMENT		
Project Address	210 EAST VIA RANCHO PARKWAY		
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)	271-030-14-00		
Permit Application Number			
Project Watershed (Hydrologic Unit)	Select One:		
	Carlsbad 904		
	🗹 San Dieguito 905		
Parcel Area			
(total area of Assessor's Parcel(s) associated	9.957 Acres ( $433,741$ Square Feet)		
with the project)			
Area to be disturbed by the project			
(Project Area)	<u>13.32</u> Acres ( <u>580,219</u> Square Feet)		
Project Proposed Impervious Area			
(subset of Project Area)	<u>12.31</u> Acres ( <u>536,254</u> Square Feet)		
Project Proposed Pervious Area			
(subset of Project Area)	<u>1.01</u> Acres ( <u>43,965</u> Square Feet)		
Note: Proposed Impervious Area + Proposed Perv	ious Area = Area to be Disturbed by the Project.		
This may be less than the Parcel Area.			
Confirmation of Priority Development Project Determination			
The project is (select one):	Redevelopment <sup>1</sup>		
I the total proposed newly created or replaced impe	1000 area is: <u>530,254</u>		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Redevelopment is defined as: The creation and/or replacement of impervious surface on an already developed site. Examples include the expansion of a building footprint, road widening, the addition to or replacement of a structure, and creation or addition of impervious surfaces. Replacement of impervious surfaces includes any activity that is not part of a routine maintenance activity where impervious material(s) are removed, exposing underlying soil during construction. Redevelopment does not include routine maintenance activities, such as trenching and resurfacing associated with utility work; pavement grinding; resurfacing existing roadways; new sidewalks construction; pedestrian ramps; or bike lanes on existing roads; and routine replacement of damaged pavement, such as pothole repair.

Solar energy farms that are not also one of the categories listed in Step 2b of Table 1-1. City staff must also determine that appropriate BMPs are provided to mitigate for downstream impacts due to significant changes to the existing hydrology

Is the	projec	t in ar	ny of the following categories, (a) through (f)?
Yes	No M	(a)	New development projects that create 10,000 square feet or more of impervious surfaces (collectively over the entire project site). This includes commercial, industrial, residential, mixed-use, and public development projects on public or private land.
Yes ⊠	No □	(b)	Redevelopment projects that create and/or replace 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface (collectively over the entire project site on an existing site of 10,000 square feet or more of impervious surfaces). This includes commercial, industrial, residential, mixed-use, and public development projects on public or private land.
Yes 2	No	(c)	<ul> <li>New and redevelopment projects that create and/or replace 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface (collectively over the entire project site), and support one or more of the following uses:</li> <li>(i) Restaurants. This category is defined as a facility that sells prepared foods and drinks for consumption, including stationary lunch counters and refreshment stands selling prepared foods and drinks for immediate consumption (Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code 5812).</li> <li>(ii) Hillside development projects. This category includes development on any natural slope that is twenty-five percent or greater.</li> <li>(iii) Parking lots. This category is defined as a land area or facility for the temporary parking or storage of motor vehicles used personally, for business, or for commerce.</li> <li>(iv) Streets, roads, highways, freeways, and driveways. This category is defined as any paved impervious surface used for the transportation of automobiles, trucks, motorcycles, and other vehicles.</li> </ul>
Yes 1	No	(d)	New or redevelopment projects that create and/or replace 2,500 square feet or more of impervious surface (collectively over the entire project site), and discharging directly to an Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA). "Discharging directly to" includes flow that is conveyed overland a distance of 200 feet or less from the project to the ESA, or conveyed in a pipe or open channel any distance as an isolated flow from the project to the ESA (i.e. not commingled with flows from adjacent lands). <i>Note: ESAs are areas that include but are not limited to all Clean Water Act Section 303(d) impaired water bodies; areas designated as Areas of Special Biological Significance by the State Water Board and San Diego Water Board; State Water Quality Protected Areas; water bodies designated with the RARE beneficial use by the State Water Board and San Diego Water Board; and any other equivalent environmentally sensitive areas which have been identified by the Copermittees.</i>
Yes 2	No	(e)	<ul> <li>New development projects, or redevelopment projects that create and/or replace 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface, that support one or more of the following uses:</li> <li>(i) Automotive repair shops. This category is defined as a facility that is categorized in any one of the following SIC codes: 5013, 5014, 5541, 7532-7534, or 7536-7539.</li> <li>(ii) Retail gasoline outlets (RGOs). This category includes RGOs that meet the following criteria: (a) 5,000 square feet or more or (b) a projected Average Daily Traffic (ADT) of 100 or more vehicles per day.</li> </ul>

Yes ⊠	No □	(f)	New or redevelopment projects that result in the disturbance of one or more acres of land and are expected to generate pollutants post construction. <i>Note: See Storm Water Design Manual Section 1.4.2 for additional guidance.</i>	
<ul> <li>Does the project meet the definition of one or more of the Priority Development Project categories (a) through (f) listed above?</li> <li>□ No – the project is <u>not</u> a Priority Development Project (Standard Project).</li> <li>✓ Yes – the project is a Priority Development Project (PDP).</li> </ul>				
Further	guidanc	e may	be found in Chapter 1 and Table 1-2 of the Storm Water Design Manual.	
-		y is io 		
The a The to Perce The p	rea of otal pro ent impo ercent less are OR ØR	existin pose erviou imper than <b>cons</b> ater th	Ing (pre-project) impervious area at the project site is: $561,956$ ft² (A)Id newly created or replaced impervious area is $536,254$ ft² (B)Is surface created or replaced (B/A)*100: $95.4\%$ Invious surface created or replaced is (select one based on the above calculation): $95.4\%$ In equal to fifty percent (50%) - only newly created or replaced impervious areasSidered a PDP and subject to stormwater requirementsIn an fifty percent (50%) - the entire project site is considered a PDP and subject to	
	sto	rmwa	ater requirements	

•		
Step	Answer	Progression
Is the project a Standard Project,	□ Standard	Standard Project requirements apply, including
Priority Development Project (PDP), or	Project	Standard Project SWQMP.
exception to PDP definitions?		Complete Form I-1.
To answer this item, complete Step 1	M PDP	Standard and PDP requirements apply,
Project Type Determination Checklist		including PDP SWQMP.
on Pages 1 and 2, and see PDP		SWQMP Required.
exemption information below.		
For further guidance, see Section 1.4	PDP with	If participating in offsite alternative compliance,
of the Storm Water Design Manual in	ACP	complete Step 6.3 and an ACP SWQMP.
its entirety.		
		Go to Step 1.2 below.
	Exemption	

## **Step 1.1:** Storm Water Quality Management Plan requirements

### Step 1.2: Exemption to PDP definitions

Is the project exempt from PDP definitions based on either of the following:	If so:
<ul> <li>Projects that are only new or retrofit paved sidewalks, bicycle lanes, or trails that meet the following criteria:         <ul> <li>(i) Designed and constructed to direct storm water runoff to adjacent vegetated areas, or other non-erodible permeable areas; OR</li> <li>(ii) Designed and constructed to be hydraulically disconnected from paved streets or roads [i.e., runoff from the new improvement does not drain directly onto paved streets or roads]; OR</li> <li>(iii) Designed and constructed with permeable pavements or surfaces in accordance with County of San Diego Green Streets Infrastructure;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Standard Project requirements apply, AND any additional requirements specific to the type of project. City concurrence with the exemption is required. Provide discussion and list any additional requirements below in this form.
Projects that are only retrofitting or redeveloping existing paved alleys, streets or roads that are designed and constructed in accordance with the City of Escondido Guidance on Green Infrastructure.	PDP Exempt.
Discussion / justification, and additional requirements for exceptions to PDP	definitions, if applicable:

# Step 2: Construction Storm Water BMPs

Construction storm water BMPs shall be shown on the Grading Plan and (if applicable) included in the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).

# Step 3: City of Escondido PDP SWQMP Site Information Checklist (Form I-2a)

Step 3.1:	Description	of Existing	Site	Condition

Current Status of the Site (select all that apply):
Existing development
□Previously graded but not built out
Demolition completed without new construction
□Agricultural or other non-impervious use
□Vacant, undeveloped/natural
Description / Additional Information: Former Sears building connected to Westfield Mall
Eviating Land Cover Includes (select all that apply and provide each area on site):
We and Cover includes (select all that apply and provide each area on site).
$\square$ Non Vegetated Pervious Areas ( Acros ( Square Feet)
Mon-vegetated Fervious AreasAcres (Square Feet)
Acres (301,930 Square Feet)
Description / Additional Information: Parking lots, roof top, walkways, and planters
Underlying Soil belongs to Hydrologic Soil Group (select all that apply):
□NRCS Type A
□NRCS Type B
□NRCS Type C
MRCS Type D
Approximate Depth to Groundwater (GW) (or N/A for no infiltration BMPs):
□GW Depth < 5 feet
$\Box$ 5 feet < GW Depth < 10 feet
✓10 feet < GW Depth < 20 feet
□GW Depth > 20 feet
Existing Natural Hydrologic Features (select all that apply):
□Watercourses
□Seeps
□Springs
□Wetlands
Mone
□Other
Description / Additional Information:

### Step 3.2: Description of Existing Site Drainage Patterns

How is storm water runoff conveyed from the site? At a minimum, this description should answer:

(1) Whether existing drainage conveyance is natural or urban;

(2) Is runoff from offsite conveyed through the site? if yes, quantify all offsite drainage areas, design flows, and locations where offsite flows enter the project site, and summarize how such flows are conveyed through the site;

(3) Provide details regarding existing project site drainage conveyance network, including any existing storm drains, concrete channels, swales, detention facilities, storm water treatment facilities, natural or constructed channels; and

(4) Identify all discharge locations from the existing project site along with a summary of conveyance system size and capacity for each of the discharge locations. Provide summary of the pre-project drainage areas and design flows to each of the existing runoff discharge locations.

Describe existing site drainage patterns:

Existing drainage is urban and conveyed in surface gutters to inlets. Then conveyed in underground storm drains to outlet into a natural channel (Kit Carson Creek).

Step 3.3: Description of Proposed Site Development
<i>Project Description / Proposed Land Use and/or Activities:</i> Demolition of the former Sears building and construction of a new 1-story Retail building.
<i>List/describe proposed impervious features of the project (e.g., buildings, roadways, parking lots, courtyards, athletic courts, other impervious features):</i> Impervious features include buildings, roadways, parking lots, and walkways.
<i>List/describe proposed pervious features of the project (e.g., landscape areas):</i> Perimeter landscaping and landscape islands within the parking lot.
Does the project include grading and changes to site topography?
<i>Description / Additional Information:</i> Large infill of bottom floor of existing Sears building with retaining wall on south and east sides. Slight grading modifications to site parking lots for drainage.

Insert acreage or square feet for the different land cover types in the table below:

Change in Land Cover Type Summary				
Land Cover Type Existing		Proposed	Percent	
	$(acres or ft^2)$ $(acres or ft^2)$ $(acres or ft^2)$		Change	
Vegetation	18,263	43,965	240.7%	
Pervious (non-vegetated)	0	0	0	
Impervious	561,956	536,254	95.4%	

### Step 3.4: Description of Proposed Site Drainage Patterns

Does the project include changes to site drainage (e.g., installation of new storm water conveyance systems)?

I Yes ■No

If yes, provide details regarding the proposed project site drainage conveyance network, including storm drains, concrete channels, swales, detention facilities, storm water treatment facilities, natural or constructed channels, and the method for conveying offsite flows through or around the proposed project site. Identify all discharge locations from the proposed project site along with a summary of the conveyance system size and capacity for each of the discharge locations. Provide a summary of pre- and post-project drainage areas and design flows to each of the runoff discharge locations. Reference the drainage study for detailed calculations.

Describe proposed site drainage patterns:

Existing on-site storm drain will be partially demolished and replaced with new storm drain pipes that will convey storm water off site. The western parking lots will sheet flow into Modular Wetland Units via curb inlets and conveyed partially by a ribbon gutter to the north. Similarly to the east, storm water will sheet flow across the parking lot into curb inlets and ultimately into MWS treatment systems. Treated water will then enter holding tanks to reduce storm event flows from entering the downstream storm drain systems.

### Step 3.5: Potential Pollutant Source Areas

Identify whether any of the following features, activities, and/or pollutant source areas will be present (select all that apply).

- Mon-site storm drain inlets ☐
- □Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps
- □Interior parking garages
- □Need for future indoor & structural pest control
- ☑Landscape/Outdoor Pesticide Use
- $\Box$ Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features
- ✓Food service
- ✓Refuse areas
- □Industrial processes
- Outdoor storage of equipment or materials
- <sup>™</sup>Vehicle and Equipment Cleaning
- ✓Vehicle/Equipment Repair and Maintenance
- ✓Fuel Dispensing Areas
- □Loading Docks
- ✓Fire Sprinkler Test Water
- Miscellaneous Drain or Wash Water
- ✓Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots
- □Other (provide description)
- Description / Additional Information:

# Step 3.6: Identification and Narrative of Receiving Water and Pollutants of Concern

Describe flow path of storm water from the project site discharge location(s), through urban storm conveyance systems as applicable, to receiving creeks, rivers, and lagoons as applicable, and ultimate discharge to the Pacific Ocean (or bay, lagoon, lake or reservoir, as applicable): The site is tributary to Kit Carson Creek which is a tributary to the San Dieguito River. The San Dieguito River outlets to the Pacific Ocean. The storm water will flow through Lake Hodges, which is an artificial lake/reservoir formed by a dam on the San Dieguito River.

List any 303(d) impaired water bodies<sup>2</sup> within the path of storm water from the project site to the Pacific Ocean (or bay, lagoon, lake or reservoir, as applicable), identify the pollutant(s)/stressor(s) causing impairment, and identify any TMDLs and/or Highest Priority Pollutants from the WQIP for the impaired water bodies:

		TMDLs / WQIP Highest
303(d) Impaired Water Body	Pollutant(s)/Stressor(s)	Priority Pollutant
Kit Carson Creek		
Lake Hodges		
San Dieguito River		

Identification of Project Site Pollutants\*

\*Identification of project site pollutants below is only required if flow-thru treatment BMPs are implemented onsite in lieu of retention or biofiltration BMPs. Note the project must also participate in an alternative compliance program (unless prior lawful approval to meet earlier PDP requirements is demonstrated).

Identify pollutants expected from the project site based on all proposed use(s) of the site (see Storm Water Design Manual Appendix B.6):

Pollutant	Not Applicable to the Project Site	Anticipated from the Project Site	Also a Receiving Water Pollutant of Concern
Sediment		Х	Х
Nutrients		Х	Х
Heavy Metals	х		
Organic Compounds	Х		
Trash & Debris		X	Х
Oxygen Demanding Substances	х		
Oil & Grease		X	
Bacteria & Viruses	Х		
Pesticides	х		

<sup>2</sup> The current list of Section 303(d) impaired water bodies can be found at <u>http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\_issues/programs/water\_quality\_assessment/#impaired</u>

### Step 3.7: Hydromodification Management Requirements

Do hydromodification management requirements apply (see Section 1.6 of the Storm Water Design Manual)?

- ■Yes, hydromodification management requirements for flow control and preservation of critical coarse sediment yield areas are applicable.
- □No, the project will discharge runoff directly to existing underground storm drains discharging directly to water storage reservoirs, lakes, enclosed embayments, or the Pacific Ocean.
- □No, the project will discharge runoff directly to conveyance channels whose bed and bank are concrete-lined all the way from the point of discharge to water storage reservoirs, lakes, enclosed embayments, or the Pacific Ocean.
- $\Box$  No, the project will discharge runoff directly to an area identified as appropriate for an exemption by the WMAA<sup>3</sup> for the watershed in which the project resides.

Description / Additional Information (to be provided if a 'No' answer has been selected above):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The Watershed Management Area Analysis (WMAA) is an optional element for inclusion in the Water Quality Improvement Plans (WQIPs) described in the 2013 MS4 Permit [Provision B.3.b.(4)]. It is available online at the Project Clean Water website: http://www.projectcleanwater.org/index.php?option=com\_content&view=article&id=248

#### Step 3.7.1: Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas\*

#### \*This Section only required if hydromodification management requirements apply Based on the maps provided within the WMAA, do potential critical coarse sediment yield areas exist within the project drainage boundaries?

Yes

✓ No, no critical coarse sediment yield areas to be protected based on WMAA maps

If yes, have any of the optional analyses presented in Section 6.2 of the manual been performed?

6.2.1 Verification of GLUs (classification that provides an estimate of sediment yield based on geology, hillslope, and land cover) Onsite

6.2.2 Downstream Systems Sensitivity to Coarse Sediment

6.2.3 Optional Additional Analysis of Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas Onsite No optional analyses performed, the project will avoid critical coarse sediment yield areas identified based on WMAA maps

If optional analyses were performed, what is the final result?

No critical coarse sediment yield areas to be protected based on verification of GLUs onsite. Critical coarse sediment yield areas exist but additional analysis has determined that protection is not required. Documentation attached in Attachment 8 of the SWQMP. Critical coarse sediment yield areas exist and require protection. The project will implement management measures described in Sections 6.2.4 and 6.2.5 as applicable, and the areas are identified on the SWQMP Exhibit.

Discussion / Additional Information:

Flow Control for Post-Project Runoff\*

*This Section only required if hydromodification management requirements apply
List and describe point(s) of compliance (POCs) for flow control for hydromodification
management (see Section 6.3.1). For each POC, provide a POC identification name or number
correlating to the project's HMP Exhibit and a receiving channel identification name or number
correlating to the project's HMP Exhibit and a receiving channel actuation name of named
Has a geomorphic assessment been performed for the receiving channel(s)?
Mo, the low flow threshold is 0.1Q2 (default low flow threshold)
$\Box$ Yes the result is the low flow threshold is 0 102
$\Box$ Yee, the result is the low flow threshold is 0.202
$\Box$ Yes, the result is the low flow threshold is 0.5Q2
If a geomorphic assessment has been performed, provide title, date, and preparer:
Discussion / Additional Information: (optional)

#### Step 3.8: Other Site Requirements and Constraints

When applicable, list other site requirements or constraints that will influence storm water management design, such as zoning requirements including setbacks and open space, or local codes governing minimum street width, sidewalk construction, allowable pavement types, and drainage requirements.

#### **Optional Additional Information or Continuation of Previous Sections As Needed**

This space provided for additional information or continuation of information from previous sections as needed.

# Step 4: Source Control BMP Checklist (Form I-2b)

Source Control BMPs				
All development projects must implement source control BMPs 4.2.1 through 4.2.6 where				
applicable and feasible. See Chapter 4.2 and Appendix E of the City Storm Water Design				
Manual for information to implement source control BMPs shown in this checklist. The following				
checklists serve as guides only. Mark what elements are included	in your pr	oject. See	e Storm	
Water Design Manual Chapter 4 and Appendix E for more informa	tion on de	termining		
appropriate BMPs for your project.				
Answer each category below pursuant to the following:				
<ul> <li>"Yes" means the project will implement the source control I</li> </ul>	BMP as de	escribed in	Chapter	
4.2 and/or Appendix E of the City Storm Water Design Mar is not required.	nual. Discu	ission / jus	tification	
<ul> <li>"No" means the BMP is applicable to the project but it is no Discussion / justification must be provided</li> </ul>	ot feasible	to impleme	ent.	
<ul> <li>"N/A" means the BMP is not applicable at the project site b</li> </ul>	ecause the	e proiect d	oes not	
include the feature that is addressed by the BMP (e.g. the	project ha	s no outdo	or	
materials storage areas). Discussion / justification must be	provided.	o no outue		
Source Control Requirement	F	SpeilagA	•	
<b>SC-1</b> Prevention of Illicit Discharges into the MS4	MYes	□No	□N/A	
<ul> <li>Direct irrigation water away from impervious surfaces</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Direct vehicle wash water away from impervious surfaces</li> </ul>				
□ Other:				
Discussion / justification if SC-1 not implemented:				
SC-2 Storm Drain Stenciling or Signage	MYes	□No	□N/A	
Stencil or stamp storm drains with anti-dumping message				
Post signs prohibiting illegal dumping				
Discussion / justification if SC-2 not implemented:				
SC-3 Protect Outdoor Materials Storage Areas from Rainfall,	₩Yes	□No	□N/A	
Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal				
Store materials inside a covered enclosure				
Direct runoff from downspouts and roots away from storage Other	e areas			
Discussion / justification if SC-3 not implemented:				

SC-4 Protect Materials Stored in Outdoor Work Areas from	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A	
Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal				
Locate work area away from storm drains or catch basins				
Work over impermeable surfaces where spills and pollutants can be captured and				
Discussion / justification if SC-4 not implemented:				
SC-5 Protect Trash Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run-On,	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A	
Runon, and wind Dispersal				
$\square$ Locate trash containers away from storm drains				
Discussion / justification if SC-5 not implemented:				
CC C Additional DMDa Dagad on Detantial Sources of Dunoff	<u>г</u>			
Pollutants (must answer for each source listed below):				
□ A. On-site storm drain inlets	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A	
□ B. Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps	□Yes	□No	⊠N/A	
□ C. Interior parking garages	□Yes	□No	⊠N/A	
D. Need for future indoor & structural pest control	□Yes	□No	⊠N/A	
□ E. Landscape/outdoor pesticide use	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A	
☐ F. Pools, spas, ponds, fountains, and other water	□Yes	□No	⊠N/A	
features				
□ G. Food service	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A	
☐ H. Refuse areas	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A	
I. Industrial processes	□Yes	□No	MN/A ⊠	
J. Outdoor storage of equipment or materials	□Yes	□No	⊠N/A	
K. Vehicle and equipment cleaning	□Yes	□No	MM/A	
L. Vehicle/equipment repair and maintenance	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A	
M. Fuel dispensing areas	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A	
□ N. Loading docks	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A	
O. Fire sprinkler test water	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A	
P. Miscellaneous drain or wash water	⊡Yes	□No	□N/A	
Q. Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A	
Discussion (in this stice if 00.0 and invested of 01 and identify				

Discussion / justification if SC-6 not implemented. Clearly identify which sources of runoff pollutants are discussed. Justification must be provided for <u>all</u> "No" answers shown above.

Note: Show all source control measures described above that are included in design capture volume calculations in the plan sheets of Attachment 5.

# Step 5: Site Design BMP Checklist (Form I-2c)

Site Design BMPs			
All development projects must implement site design BMPs SD-A through SD-H where applicable and feasible. See Chapter 4.3 and Appendix E of the City Storm Water Design Manual for information to implement site design BMPs shown in this checklist. The following checklists serve as guides only. Mark what elements are included in your project. See Storm Water Design Manual Chapter 4 and Appendix E for more information on determining appropriate BMPs for your project.			
<ul> <li>Answer each category below pursuant to the following:</li> <li>"Yes" means the project will implement the site design BMP as described in Chapter 4.3 and/or Appendix E of the City Storm Water Design Manual. Discussion / justification is not required.</li> <li>"No" means the BMP is applicable to the project but it is not feasible to implement. Discussion / justification must be provided.</li> <li>"N/A" means the BMP is not applicable at the project site because the project does not include the feature that is addressed by the BMP (e.g., the project site has no existing natural areas to conserve). Discussion / justification must be provided.</li> </ul>			
Site Design Requirement		Applied	
SD-1 Maintain Natural Drainage Pathways and Hydrologic	MYes	∐No	∐N/A
Maintain existing drainage patterns			
Discussion / justification if SD-1 not implemented:         SD-2 Conserve Natural Areas, Soils, and Vegetation         Preserve trees (see Zoning Code Art. 55 Grading & Erosion Regulations)         Avoid sensitive areas such as wetlands and waterways         Discussion / justification if SD-2 not implemented:	TYes Control; /	⊡No Art. 62 Lar	□N/A ndscape
SD 3 Minimize Impensious Area	- Vee		
D-5 Winning end driving aisles to minimum width required	to meet a		LIN/A
	i to meet a	stanuarus	
<i>Discussion / justification if SD-3 not implemented:</i>			

SD-4 Minimize Soil Compaction	∕ďYes	□No	□N/A
Avoid compaction in planned landscaped spaces			
Till and amend soil for improved infiltration capacity			
Discussion / justification if SD-4 not implemented:			
SD-5 Impervious Area Dispersion	Ves		
Drain rooffons, roads or sidewalks into adjacent landscape			
<ul> <li>Drain roomops, roads of sidewarks into adjacent landscape</li> <li>Drain impervious surfaces through pervious areas</li> </ul>	aleas		
Discussion / justification if SD-5 not implemented:			
SD-6 Runoff Collection		Mes	
<b>SD-6</b> Runoff Collection Discussion / justification if SD-6 not implemented:	⊷Yes	Mes ⊡No	□N/A
<b>SD-6</b> Runoff Collection Discussion / justification if SD-6 not implemented:	₽Yes	In Section 2017 Yes ⊡No	□N/A
<b>SD-6</b> Runoff Collection Discussion / justification if SD-6 not implemented:	₽Yes	MYes ⊡No	□N/A
<b>SD-6</b> Runoff Collection Discussion / justification if SD-6 not implemented:	₽Yes	In Section 2017 Yes ⊡No	□N/A
SD-6 Runoff Collection         Discussion / justification if SD-6 not implemented:         SD-7 Landscaping with Native or Drought Tolerant Species	₽Yes	In No	□N/A
SD-6 Runoff Collection         Discussion / justification if SD-6 not implemented:         SD-7 Landscaping with Native or Drought Tolerant Species         Discussion / justification if SD-7 not implemented:	✓Yes ✓Yes	Invesion of the second	□N/A □N/A
SD-6 Runoff Collection         Discussion / justification if SD-6 not implemented:         SD-7 Landscaping with Native or Drought Tolerant Species         Discussion / justification if SD-7 not implemented:	✓Yes	<pre> Yes No No </pre>	□N/A
SD-6 Runoff Collection         Discussion / justification if SD-6 not implemented:         SD-7 Landscaping with Native or Drought Tolerant Species         Discussion / justification if SD-7 not implemented:	✓Yes	<pre> Yes No No </pre>	□N/A □N/A
SD-6 Runoff Collection         Discussion / justification if SD-6 not implemented:         SD-7 Landscaping with Native or Drought Tolerant Species         Discussion / justification if SD-7 not implemented:         SD-8 Harvesting and Using Precipitation	✓Yes	<pre> Yes No No </pre>	□N/A □N/A
SD-6 Runoff Collection         Discussion / justification if SD-6 not implemented:         SD-7 Landscaping with Native or Drought Tolerant Species         Discussion / justification if SD-7 not implemented:         SD-8 Harvesting and Using Precipitation         Discussion / justification if SD-8 not implemented:	✓Yes ✓Yes	Yes     No     No	□N/A □N/A
SD-6 Runoff CollectionDiscussion / justification if SD-6 not implemented:SD-7 Landscaping with Native or Drought Tolerant SpeciesDiscussion / justification if SD-7 not implemented:SD-8 Harvesting and Using PrecipitationDiscussion / justification if SD-8 not implemented:	✓Yes ✓Yes	<pre> Yes No No No </pre>	□N/A □N/A
SD-6 Runoff Collection         Discussion / justification if SD-6 not implemented:         SD-7 Landscaping with Native or Drought Tolerant Species         Discussion / justification if SD-7 not implemented:         SD-8 Harvesting and Using Precipitation         Discussion / justification if SD-8 not implemented:	✓Yes ✓Yes	Yes     No     No     No	□N/A □N/A

Note: Show all site design measures described above that are included in design capture volume calculations in the plan sheets of Attachment 5.

# Step 6: PDP Structural BMPs (Form I-3)

All PDPs must implement structural BMPs for storm water pollutant control (see Chapter 5 of the Storm Water Design Manual). Selection of PDP structural BMPs for storm water pollutant control must be based on the selection process described in Chapter 5. PDPs subject to hydromodification management requirements must also implement structural BMPs for flow control for hydromodification management (see Chapter 6 of the Storm Water Design Manual). Both storm water pollutant control and flow control for hydromodification management can be achieved within the same structural BMP(s).

PDP structural BMPs must be verified by the City at the completion of construction. This may include requiring the project owner or project owner's representative and engineer of record to certify construction of the structural BMPs (see Section 8.2.3.2 of the Storm Water Design Manual). PDP structural BMPs must be maintained into perpetuity, and the City must confirm the maintenance (see Section 7 of the Storm Water Design Manual).

Use this section to provide narrative description of the general strategy for structural BMP implementation at the project site in the box below. Then complete the PDP structural BMP summary information sheet (Step 6.2) for each structural BMP within the project (copy the BMP summary information sheet [Step 6.2] as many times as needed to provide summary information for each individual structural BMP).

# Step 6.1: Description of structural BMP strategy

Describe the general strategy for structural BMP implementation at the site. This information must describe how the steps for selecting and designing storm water pollutant control BMPs presented in Section 5.1 of the Storm Water Design Manual were followed, and the results (type of BMPs selected). For projects requiring hydromodification flow control BMPs, indicate whether pollutant control and flow control BMPs are integrated or separate. At the end of this discussion provide a summary of all the structural BMPs within the project including the type and number.

Due to a lack of pervious space available on site, proprietary biofiltration units (Modular Wetland Systems – MWS) are being proposed for pollutant control.

The selected biofiltration units have small footprints and meet the requirements per Section B.6.3. Runoff will be collected and conveyed to the biofiltration unit before flowing offsite via storm drain system.

The Modular Wetland Units will be maintained in accordance with manufacturer guidelines and conditions.

# Step 6.2: Structural BMP Checklist

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)			
Structural BMP ID No. BMP-1			
Construction Plan Sheet No.			
Type of structural BMP:			
Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)			
$\Box$ Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)			
$\Box$ Retention by bioretention (INF-2)			
$\Box$ Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)			
□Partial retention by biofiltration with partial rete	ention (PR-1)		
□Biofiltration (BF-1)			
Biofiltration with Nutrient Sensitive Media Des	ign (BF-2)		
Proprietary Biofiltration (BF-3) meeting all requ	uirements of Appendix F		
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful ap	proval to meet earlier PDP requirements		
(provide BMP type/description in discussion s	ection below)		
□Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-tre	eatment/forebay for an onsite retention or		
biofiltration BMP (provide BMP type/description	on and indicate which onsite retention or		
biofiltration BMP it serves in discussion section	on below)		
Flow-thru treatment control with alternative co	mpliance (provide BMP type/description in		
discussion section below)			
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification	management		
Purpose:			
Pollutant control only			
□Hydromodification control only			
Combined pollutant control and hydromodifica	tion control		
□Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural B	MP		
$\Box$ Other (describe in discussion section below)			
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Eric Armstrong, PE 36083		
Provide name and contact information for the	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc		
party responsible to sign BMP verification	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170		
forms (See Section 8.2.3.2 of the Storm Water	San Diego, CA 92122		
Design Manual)			
	□ ΠUA MProperty Owner □ Uity		
Who will maintain this DMD into nornatuity?			
	□ HUA Property Owner □ City		
Discussion (as neeaea):			
(Continue on subsequent pages as necessary)			

(Copy this page as needed to provide in structu	nformation for each individual proposed ral BMP)		
Structural BMP ID No. BMP-2			
Construction Plan Sheet No.			
Type of structural BMP:			
□Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)			
□Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)			
□Retention by bioretention (INF-2)			
$\Box$ Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)			
□Partial retention by biofiltration with partial rete	ention (PR-1)		
□Biofiltration (BF-1)			
☐ Biofiltration with Nutrient Sensitive Media Des	ign (BF-2)		
Proprietary Biofiltration (BF-3) meeting all req	uirements of Appendix F		
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful a	oproval to meet earlier PDP requirements		
(provide BMP type/description in discussion s	section below)		
□ Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-tre	eatment/forebay for an onsite retention or		
biofiltration BMP (provide BMP type/description	on and indicate which onsite retention or		
Elow-thru treatment control with alternative co	mpliance (provide BMP type/description in		
discussion section below)			
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification	management		
$\Box$ Other (describe in discussion section below)			
Purpose:			
Pollutant control only			
□Hydromodification control only			
□Combined pollutant control and hydromodifica	ation control		
□Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural B	MP		
$\Box$ Other (describe in discussion section below)			
Provide name and contact information for the	Eric Armstrong, PE 36083		
party responsible to sign BMP verification	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc		
forms (See Section 8.2.3.2 of the Storm Water	San Diego, CA 92122		
Design Manual)	San Diego, CA 32122		
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?	□HOA I Property Owner □City		
	□Other (describe)		
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	□HOA I Property Owner □City		
	□Other (describe)		
Discussion (as needed):			
(Continue on subsequent pages as necessary)			

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)			
Structural BMP ID No. BMP-3			
Construction Plan Sheet No.			
Type of structural BMP:			
Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)			
□Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)			
□Retention by bioretention (INF-2)			
□Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)			
□Partial retention by biofiltration with partial rete	ention (PR-1)		
□Biofiltration (BF-1)			
□Biofiltration with Nutrient Sensitive Media Des	ign (BF-2)		
Proprietary Biofiltration (BF-3) meeting all requ	uirements of Appendix F		
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful a	oproval to meet earlier PDP requirements		
(provide BMP type/description in discussion s	section below)		
□Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-tre	eatment/forebay for an onsite retention or		
biofiltration BMP (provide BMP type/description	on and indicate which onsite retention or		
biofiltration BMP it serves in discussion section	on below)		
Flow-thru treatment control with alternative co	mpliance (provide BMP type/description in		
discussion section below)	management		
$\Box$ Detention poind of value for hydromodification	management		
Purpose:			
Pollutant control only			
□ Hydromodification control only			
Combined pollutant control and hydromodifica	ation control		
Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural B	MP		
$\Box$ Other (describe in discussion section below)			
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Eric Armstrong, PE 36083		
Provide name and contact information for the	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc		
party responsible to sign BMP verification	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170		
forms (See Section 8.2.3.2 of the Storm Water	San Diego, CA 92122		
Design Manual)			
	□HUA MProperty Owner □City		
M/ha will maintain this DMD into a smartait O			
vvno will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	□HOA MProperty Owner □City		
	□Other (describe)		
Discussion (as needed):			
(Continue on subsequent pages as pecessory)			

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)			
Structural BMP ID No. BMP-4			
Construction Plan Sheet No.			
Type of structural BMP:			
Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)			
□Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)			
□Retention by bioretention (INF-2)			
Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)			
□Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention (PR-1)			
Biofiltration (BF-1)			
Biofiltration with Nutrient Sensitive Media Design (BF-2)			
Proprietary Biofiltration (BF-3) meeting all requirements of Appendix F			
□ Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful approval to meet earlier PDP requirements			
(provide BMP type/description in discussion section below)			
□Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-treatment/forebay for an onsite retention or			
biofiltration BMP (provide BMP type/description	biofiltration BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate which onsite retention or		
biofiltration BMP it serves in discussion section	on below)		
□ Flow-thru treatment control with alternative co	mpliance (provide BMP type/description in		
discussion section below)			
	management		
Purpose:			
MPollutant control only			
$\Box$ Hydromodification control only			
Combined pollutant control and hydromodification	ation control		
$\square$ Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural B	MP		
$\Box$ Other (describe in discussion section below)			
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Eric Armstrong, PE 36083		
Provide name and contact information for the	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc		
party responsible to sign BMP verification	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170		
forms (See Section 8.2.3.2 of the Storm Water	San Diego, CA 92122		
Design Manual)			
vvno will be the final owner of this BMP?	□HOA MProperty Owner □City		
who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	□HOA MProperty Owner □City		
	□Other (describe)		
Discussion (as needed):			
(Continue on subsequent pages as pagesery)			

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)		
Structural BMP ID No. BMP-5		
Construction Plan Sheet No.		
Type of structural BMP:		
□Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
$\Box$ Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
$\Box$ Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
□Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
$\Box$ Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention (PR-1)		
□Biofiltration (BF-1)		
□Biofiltration with Nutrient Sensitive Media Design (BF-2)		
Proprietary Biofiltration (BF-3) meeting all requirements of Appendix F		
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful approval to meet earlier PDP requirements		
(provide BMP type/description in discussion section below)		
□ Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-tre	eatment/forebay for an onsite retention or	
biofiltration BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate which onsite retention or		
Flow-thru treatment control with alternative co	mpliance (provide BMP type/description in	
discussion section below)		
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification	management	
$\Box$ Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Purpose:		
Pollutant control only		
□Hydromodification control only		
Combined pollutant control and hydromodification	ition control	
□ Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural B	MP	
$\Box$ Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Provide name and contact information for the	Eric Armstrong, PE 36083	
party responsible to sign BMP verification	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc	
forms (See Section 8.2.3.2 of the Storm Water	San Diago, CA 02122	
Design Manual)	Sall Diego, CA 92122	
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?	□HOA I Property Owner □City	
	□Other (describe)	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	□HOA I Property Owner □City	
	□Other (describe)	
Discussion (as needed):		
(Continue on subsequent pages as necessary)		

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)		
Structural BMP ID No. BMP-6		
Construction Plan Sheet No.		
Type of structural BMP:		
Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
□Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
□Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
□Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
□Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention (PR-1)		
□Biofiltration (BF-1)		
□Biofiltration with Nutrient Sensitive Media Design (BF-2)		
Proprietary Biofiltration (BF-3) meeting all requ	uirements of Appendix F	
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful approval to meet earlier PDP requirements		
(provide BMP type/description in discussion s	section below)	
□Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-tre	eatment/forebay for an onsite retention or	
biofiltration BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate which onsite retention or		
biofiltration BMP it serves in discussion section below)		
	impliance (provide BMP type/description in	
Detention need or yoult for hydromodification	managamant	
$\Box$ Determining poind of value for hydromodification $\Box$	management	
Purpose:		
Pollutant control only		
□Hydromodification control only		
Combined pollutant control and hydromodifica	ation control	
□Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural B	MP	
$\Box$ Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Eric Armstrong, PE 36083	
Provide name and contact information for the	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc	
party responsible to sign BMP verification	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170	
forms (See Section 8.2.3.2 of the Storm Water	San Diego, CA 92122	
Who will be the final owner of this RMP?		
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?		
Discussion (as needed):		
(Continue on subsequent pages as necessary)		

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)		
Structural BMP ID No. BMP-7		
Construction Plan Sheet No.		
Type of structural BMP:		
□Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
$\Box$ Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
$\Box$ Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
□Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
□Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention (PR-1)		
□Biofiltration (BF-1)		
□Biofiltration with Nutrient Sensitive Media Design (BF-2)		
Proprietary Biofiltration (BF-3) meeting all req	uirements of Appendix F	
□ Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful approval to meet earlier PDP requirements		
(provide BMP type/description in discussion section below)		
□ Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-tre	eatment/forebay for an onsite retention or	
biofiltration BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate which onsite retention or		
Elow-thru treatment control with alternative co	mpliance (provide BMP type/description in	
discussion section below)		
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification	management	
$\Box$ Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Purpose:		
Pollutant control only		
□Hydromodification control only		
□Combined pollutant control and hydromodifica	ation control	
□Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural B	MP	
$\Box$ Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Provide name and contact information for the	Eric Armstrong, PE 36083	
party responsible to sign BMP verification	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc	
forms (See Section 8.2.3.2 of the Storm Water	Can Diogo, CA 02122	
Design Manual)	Sali Diego, CA 92122	
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?	□HOA MProperty Owner □City	
	□Other (describe)	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	□HOA I Property Owner □City	
	□Other (describe)	
Discussion (as needed):		
(Continue on subsequent pages as necessary)		

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)		
Structural BMP ID No. BMP-8		
Construction Plan Sheet No.		
Type of structural BMP:		
Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
$\Box$ Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
□Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
□Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention (PR-1)		
Biofiltration (BF-1)		
☐Biofiltration with Nutrient Sensitive Media Design (BF-2)		
Proprietary Biofiltration (BF-3) meeting all requirements of Appendix F		
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful approval to meet earlier PDP requirements		
(provide BMP type/description in discussion section below)		
□Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-tre	eatment/forebay for an onsite retention or	
biofiltration BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate which onsite retention or		
biofiltration BMP it serves in discussion section	on below)	
Flow-thru treatment control with alternative co	mpliance (provide BMP type/description in	
discussion section below)	management	
$\Box$ Detention poind of value for hydromodification	management	
Purpose:		
Pollutant control only		
□ Hydromodification control only		
Combined pollutant control and hydromodifica	ation control	
Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural B	MP	
□Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Eric Armstrong, PE 36083	
Provide name and contact information for the	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc	
party responsible to sign BMP verification	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170	
forms (See Section 8.2.3.2 of the Storm Water	San Diego, CA 92122	
Design Manual)		
	□HUA MProperty Owner □City	
M/ha will maintain this DMD into a smartait O		
vvno will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	□HOA MProperty Owner □City	
	□Other (describe)	
Discussion (as needed):		
(Continue on subsequent pages as pecessory)		

# **Step 6.3: Offsite Alternative Compliance Participation Form**

THIS FORM IS NOT APPLICABLE AT THIS TIME <sup>:</sup> An Alternative Compliance Program is		
under consideration by the City of Escondido.		
PDP INFORMATION		
Assessor's Parcel Number(s) [APN(s)]		
What are your PDP Pollutant Control Debits? *See Attachment 1 of the PDP SWQMP		
What are your PDP HMP Debits? (if applicable) *See Attachment 2 of the PDP SWQMP		
ACP Information		
Record ID:		
Assessor's Parcel Number(s) [APN(s)]		
Project Owner/Address		
What are your ACP Pollutant Control Credits? *See Attachment 1 of the ACP SWQMP		
What are your ACP HMP Debits? (if applicable) *See Attachment 2 of the ACP SWQMP		
Is your ACP in the same watershed as your PDP? Yes No	Will your ACP project be completed prior to the completion of the PDP? □Yes □No	
Does your ACP account for all Deficits generated by the PDP? Yes No (PDP and/or ACP must be redesigned to account for all deficits generated by the PDP.)	What is the difference between your PDP debits and ACP Credits? *(ACP Credits -Total PDP Debits = Total Earned Credits)	
### **ATTACHMENT 1**

#### **BACKUP FOR PDP POLLUTANT CONTROL BMPS**

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 1.

#### Indicate which Items are Included behind this cover sheet:

Attachment	Contents	Checklist
Sequence		
Attachment 1a	Storm Water Pollutant Control Worksheet Calculations -Worksheet B.2-1 (Required) -Worksheet B.3-1 (Form I-4; Required) -Worksheet B.4-1 (if applicable) -Worksheet B.5-1 (if applicable) -Worksheet B.5-2 (if applicable) -Worksheet B.5-3 (if applicable) -Worksheet B.6-1 (if applicable) -Summary Worksheet (optional)	Mncluded
Attachment 1b	Form I-5, Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition (Required unless the project will use harvest and use BMPs) Refer to Appendices C and D of the Storm Water Design Manual to complete Form I-5.	✓Included □ Not included because the entire project will use harvest and use BMPs
Attachment 1c	Form I-6, Factor of Safety and Design Infiltration Rate Worksheet (Required unless the project will use harvest and use BMPs) Refer to Appendices C and D of the Storm Water Design Manual to complete Form I-6.	<ul> <li>✓Included</li> <li>□ Not included because the entire project will use harvest and use BMPs</li> </ul>
Attachment 1d	DMA Exhibit (Required) See DMA Exhibit Checklist on the back of this Attachment cover sheet.	⊠Included
Attachment 1e	Individual Structural BMP DMA Mapbook (Required) -Place each map on 8.5"x11" paper. -Show at a minimum the DMA, Structural BMP, and any existing hydrologic features within the DMA.	MIncluded

This page was left intentionally blank.

# Use this checklist to ensure the required information has been included on the DMA Exhibit:

The DMA Exhibit must identify:

Underlying hydrologic soil group
Approximate depth to groundwater
Existing natural hydrologic features (watercourses, seeps, springs, wetlands)
Critical coarse sediment yield areas to be protected
Existing topography and impervious areas
Existing and proposed site drainage network and connections to drainage offsite
Proposed demolition
Proposed grading
Proposed design features and surface treatments used to minimize imperviousness
Drainage management area (DMA) boundaries, DMA ID numbers, and DMA areas (square footage or acreage), and DMA type (i.e., drains to BMP, self-retaining, or self-mitigating)
Potential pollutant source areas and corresponding required source controls (see Chapter 4,

Appendix E.1, and Step 3.5) Structural BMP ID#, type of BMP, and size/detail)

	Design Capture Volume – BMP-1	V	/orksheet I	3-2.1
1	85 <sup>th</sup> percentile 24-hr storm depth from Figure B.1-1	d=	0.60	inches
2	Area tributary to BMP (s)	A=	1.34	acres
3	Area weighted runoff factor (estimate using Appendix	C=	0.90	unitless
4	Street trees volume reduction		0	cubic-
4			0	cubic-
5	Rain barrels volume reduction	RCV=		feet
6	Calculate DCV = (3630 x C x d x A) – TCV - RCV	DCV=	2,627	cubic- feet
	Design Capture Volume – BMP-2	V	/orksheet l	3-2.1
1	85 <sup>th</sup> percentile 24-hr storm depth from Figure B.1-1	d=	0.60	inches
2	Area tributary to BMP (s)	A=	0.98	acres
3	Area weighted runoff factor (estimate using Appendix B.1.1 and B.2.1)	C=	0.90	unitless
Δ	Street trees volume reduction	TCV=	0	cubic-
-			0	cubic-
5	Rain barrels volume reduction	RCV=	4.004	Teet
6	$(3630 \times C \times d \times A) - TCV - RCV$	DCV=	1,921	feet
	Design Capture Volume – BMP-3	V	/orksheet l	3-2.1
1	85 <sup>th</sup> percentile 24-hr storm depth from Figure B.1-1	d=	0.60	inches
2	Area tributary to BMP (s)	A=	2.06	acres
3	Area weighted runoff factor (estimate using Appendix B.1.1 and B.2.1)	C=	0.90	unitless
_			0	cubic-
4	Street trees volume reduction	TCV=		feet
5	Rain barrels volume reduction	RCV=	0	cubic- feet
	Calculate DCV =		4,039	cubic-
6	(3630 x C x d x A) – TCV - RCV	DCV=		feet

### Worksheet B.2-1. DCV

	Design Capture Volume – BMP-4	V	Worksheet B-2.1			
1	85 <sup>th</sup> percentile 24-hr storm depth from Figure B.1-1	d=	0.60	inches		
2	Area tributary to BMP (s)	A=	1.93	acres		
3	Area weighted runoff factor (estimate using Appendix B.1.1 and B.2.1)	C=	0.90	unitless		
4	Street trees volume reduction	TCV=	0	cubic- feet		
5	Rain barrels volume reduction	RCV=	0	cubic- feet		
6	Calculate DCV = (3630 x C x d x A) – TCV - RCV	DCV=	3,784	cubic- feet		
	Design Capture Volume – BMP-5	V	Vorksheet E	3-2.1		
1	85 <sup>th</sup> percentile 24-hr storm depth from Figure B.1-1	d=	0.60	inches		
2	Area tributary to BMP (s)	A=	1.91	acres		
3	Area weighted runoff factor (estimate using Appendix B.1.1 and B.2.1)	C=	0.90	unitless		
4	Street trees volume reduction	TCV=	0	cubic- feet		
5	Rain barrels volume reduction	RCV=	0	cubic- feet		
6	Calculate DCV = (3630 x C x d x A) – TCV - RCV	DCV=	3,744	cubic- feet		
	Design Capture Volume – BMP-6	V	/orksheet E	3-2.1		
1	85 <sup>th</sup> percentile 24-hr storm depth from Figure B.1-1	d=	0.60	inches		
2	Area tributary to BMP (s)	A=	1.37	acres		
3	Area weighted runoff factor (estimate using Appendix B.1.1 and B.2.1)	C=	0.90	unitless		
4	Street trees volume reduction	TCV=	0	cubic- feet		
5	Rain barrels volume reduction	RCV=	0	cubic- feet		
6	Calculate DCV = (3630 x C x d x A) – TCV - RCV	DCV=	2,686	cubic- feet		

	Design Capture Volume – BMP-7	V	Worksheet B-2.1			
1	85 <sup>th</sup> percentile 24-hr storm depth from Figure B.1-1	d=	0.60	inches		
2	Area tributary to BMP (s)	A=	1.97	acres		
3	Area weighted runoff factor (estimate using Appendix B.1.1 and B.2.1)	C=	0.90	unitless		
4	Street trees volume reduction	TCV=	0	cubic- feet		
5	Rain barrels volume reduction	RCV=	0	cubic- feet		
6	Calculate DCV = (3630 x C x d x A) – TCV - RCV	DCV=	3,862	cubic- feet		
	Design Capture Volume – BMP-8	V	/orksheet E	3-2.1		
1	Design Capture Volume – BMP-8 85 <sup>th</sup> percentile 24-hr storm depth from Figure B.1-1	v d=	/orksheet E 0.60	3-2.1 inches		
1	Design Capture Volume – BMP-885 <sup>th</sup> percentile 24-hr storm depth from Figure B.1-1Area tributary to BMP (s)	d= A=	/orksheet E 0.60 1.76	3-2.1 inches acres		
1 2 3	Design Capture Volume – BMP-885th percentile 24-hr storm depth from Figure B.1-1Area tributary to BMP (s)Area weighted runoff factor (estimate using Appendix B.1.1 and B.2.1)	d=           A=           C=	/orksheet E 0.60 1.76 0.90	3-2.1 inches acres unitless		
1 2 3 4	Design Capture Volume – BMP-885 <sup>th</sup> percentile 24-hr storm depth from Figure B.1-1Area tributary to BMP (s)Area weighted runoff factor (estimate using Appendix B.1.1 and B.2.1)Street trees volume reduction	d=           A=           C=           TCV=	Vorksheet E 0.60 1.76 0.90 0	3-2.1 inches acres unitless cubic- feet		
1 2 3 4 5	Design Capture Volume – BMP-885th percentile 24-hr storm depth from Figure B.1-1Area tributary to BMP (s)Area weighted runoff factor (estimate using Appendix B.1.1 and B.2.1)Street trees volume reductionRain barrels volume reduction	d= A= C= TCV= RCV=	Vorksheet E 0.60 1.76 0.90 0 0	3-2.1 inches acres unitless cubic- feet cubic- feet		

#### ATTACHMENT 1a

Category	#	Description	i	ii	iii	iv	v	vi	vii	viii	Units
	1	Drainage Basin ID or Name	AREA GP	AREA HL	AREA A	AREA B	AREA F	AREA E	AREA J	AREA CDK	unitless
	2	85th Percentile 24-hr Storm Depth	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	inches
	3	Impervious Surfaces Not Directed to Dispersion Area (C=0.90)	49,956	36,711	76,359	84,071	75,248	58,015	81,860	74,036	sq-ft
Standard	4	Semi-Pervious Surfaces Not Serving as Dispersion Area (C=0.30)									sq-ft
Drainage Basin	5	Engineered Pervious Surfaces Not Serving as Dispersion Area (C=0.10)									sq-ft
Inputs	6	Natural Type A Soil Not Serving as Dispersion Area (C=0.10)									sq-ft
	7	Natural Type B Soil Not Serving as Dispersion Area (C=0.14)									sq-ft
	8	Natural Type C Soil Not Serving as Dispersion Area (C=0.23)									sq-ft
	9	Natural Type D Soil Not Serving as Dispersion Area (C=0.30)									sq-ft
	10	Does Tributary Incorporate Dispersion, Tree Wells, and/or Rain Barrels?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes/no
	11	Impervious Surfaces Directed to Dispersion Area per SD-B (Ci=0.90)									sq-ft
	12	Semi-Pervious Surfaces Serving as Dispersion Area per SD-B (Ci=0.30)									sq-ft
Diamanian	13	Engineered Pervious Surfaces Serving as Dispersion Area per SD-B (Ci=0.10)									sq-ft
Dispersion	14	Natural Type A Soil Serving as Dispersion Area per SD-B (Ci=0.10)									sq-ft
& Rain Barrel	15	Natural Type B Soil Serving as Dispersion Area per SD-B (Ci=0.14)									sq-ft
Inputs	16	Natural Type C Soil Serving as Dispersion Area per SD-B (Ci=0.23)									sq-ft
(Optional)	17	Natural Type D Soil Serving as Dispersion Area per SD-B (Ci=0.30)	8,415	5,978	13,375		75,248	1,662	3,953	2,630	sq-ft
(0 ])	18	Number of Tree Wells Proposed per SD-A									#
	19	Average Mature Tree Canopy Diameter									ft
	20	Number of Rain Barrels Proposed per SD-E									#
	21	Average Rain Barrel Size									gal
	22	Total Tributary Area	58,371	42,689	89,734	84,071	150,496	59,677	85,813	76,666	sq-ft
Initial Runoff	23	Initial Runoff Factor for Standard Drainage Areas	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	unitless
Factor	24	Initial Runoff Factor for Dispersed & Dispersion Areas	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.00	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	unitless
Calculation	25	Initial Weighted Runoff Factor	0.81	0.82	0.81	0.90	0.60	0.88	0.87	0.88	unitless
	26	Initial Design Capture Volume	2,364	1,750	3,634	3,783	4,515	2,626	3,733	3,373	cubic-feet
	27	Total Impervious Area Dispersed to Pervious Surface	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	sq-ft
Dispersion	28	Total Pervious Dispersion Area	8,415	5,978	13,375	0	75,248	1,662	3,953	2,630	sq-ft
Area	29	Ratio of Dispersed Impervious Area to Pervious Dispersion Area	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	ratio
Adjustments	30	Adjustment Factor for Dispersed & Dispersion Areas	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	ratio
	31	Runoff Factor After Dispersion Techniques	0.81	0.82	0.81	0.90	0.60	0.88	0.87	0.88	unitless
	32	Design Capture Volume After Dispersion Techniques	2,364	1,750	3,634	3,783	4,515	2,626	3,733	3,373	cubic-feet
Tree & Barrel	33	Total Tree Well Volume Reduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	cubic-feet
Adjustments	34	Total Rain Barrel Volume Reduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	cubic-feet
	35	Final Adjusted Runoff Factor	0.81	0.82	0.81	0.90	0.60	0.88	0.87	0.88	unitless
Results	36	Final Effective Tributary Area	47,281	35,005	72,685	75,664	90,298	52,516	74,657	67,466	sq-ft
	37	Initial Design Capture Volume Retained by Site Design Elements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	cubic-feet
	38	Final Design Capture Volume Tributary to BMP	2,364	1,750	3,634	3,783	4,515	2,626	3,733	3,373	cubic-feet
INo Warning Me	essage	s									

#### Automated Worksheet B.1: Calculation of Design Capture Volume (V2.0)

Automated Worksheet B.2: Retention Requirements (V2.0)											
Category	#	Description	i	ü	iii	iv	v	vi	vii	viii	Units
	1	Drainage Basin ID or Name	AREA GP	AREA HL	AREA A	AREA B	AREA F	AREA E	AREA J	AREA CDK	unitless
	2	85th Percentile Rainfall Depth	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	inches
	3	Predominant NRCS Soil Type Within BMP Location	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	unitless
<b>Basic Analysis</b>	4	Is proposed BMP location Restricted or Unrestricted for Infiltration Activities?	Restricted	unitless							
	5	Nature of Restriction	Groundwater	unitless							
	6	Do Minimum Retention Requirements Apply to this Project?	Yes	yes/no							
	7	Are Habitable Structures Greater than 9 Stories Proposed?	No	yes/no							
Advanced	8	Has Geotechnical Engineer Performed an Infiltration Analysis?	Yes	yes/no							
Analysis	9	Design Infiltration Rate Recommended by Geotechnical Engineer	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	in/hr
	10	Design Infiltration Rate Used To Determine Retention Requirements	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	in/hr
Popult	11	Percent of Average Annual Runoff that Must be Retained within DMA	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	percentage
Result	12	Fraction of DCV Requiring Retention	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	ratio
	13	Required Retention Volume	47	35	73	76	90	53	75	67	cubic-feet
No Warning M	essage	<u></u>									

	DMA					POLLUTANT CONTROL					
DMA	TOTAL AREA (AC)	TOTAL AREA (SF)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA - ROOFS, CONCRETE, ASPHALT (SF)	WATER QUALITY WEIGHTED AREA (SF)	METHOD OF TREATMENT	Weighted C Factor	DCV (CF)	MWS TREATMENT FLOWRATE REQUIRED (CFS)	MWS TREATMENT FLOWRATE PROVIDED (CFS)	MWS Model#
G	1.26	54,886	8,415	46,471	42,665	RMD-1 (M/M/S)	0 90	2627	0.362	0.462	8116
Р	0.08	3,485	0	3,485	3,136	BINF-1 (101003)	0.90	2027	0.302	0.402	0/10
А	2.06	89,734	13,375	76,359	70,060	BMP-3 (MWS)	0.90	4039	0.557	0.693	8X24
Н	0.68	29,621	4,400	25,221	23,139	BMD-2 (MMS)	0.90	1021	0.265	0.346	8V12
L	0.30	13,068	1,578	11,490	10,499	BINF-2 (INIW3)	0.90	1921	0.205	0.340	0/12
В	1.93	84,071	0	84,071	75,664	BMP-4 (MWS)	0.90	3,784	0.522	0.693	8X24
F	1.91	83,200	7,952	75,248	68,518	BMP-5 (MWS)	0.90	3,744	0.516	0.693	8X24
E	1.37	59,677	1,662	58,015	52,380	BMP-6 (MWS)	0.90	2,686	0.370	0.462	8X16
J	1.97	85,813	3,953	81,860	74,069	BMP-7 (MWS)	0.90	3,862	0.532	0.693	8X24
С	0.61	26,572	1,232	25,340	22,929						
D	1.02	44,431	515	43,916	39,576	BMP-8 (MWS)	0.90	3,450	0.476	0.462	8X16
К	0.13	5,663	883	4,780	4,390						
М	1.89	82,328	-	-	-	No Troatmont (Outside Project					
N	1.18	51,401	-	-	-	Roundary)					
0	2.39	104,108	-	-	-	bouildary)					

	Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition	Forr	n I-5					
Part 1 - Full Infiltration Feasibility Screening Criteria Would infiltration of the full design volume be feasible from a physical perspective without any undesirable consequences that cannot be reasonably mitigated?								
Criteria	Screening Question	Yes	No					
1	Is the estimated reliable infiltration rate below proposed facility locations greater than 0.5 inches per hour? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.2 and Appendix D.		NO					
Provide basis: GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOUND THAT THE INFILTRATION RATE IS LESS THAN 0.1 IN/HR AT DEPTHS 0-5 FT. ADDITIONALLY GROUNDWATER DEPTHS WERE FOUND TO BE AT 16 FT BGS. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILTRATION IS NOT RECOMMENDED AT SITES WITH SHALLOW GROUNDWATER.								
2	Can infiltration greater than 0.5 inches per hour be allowed without increasing risk of geotechnical hazards (slope stability, groundwater mounding, utilities, or other factors) that cannot be mitigated to an acceptable level? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.2.		NO					
evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.2. Provide basis: GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOUND THAT THE INFILTRATION RATE IS LESS THAN 0.1 IN/HR AT DEPTHS 0-5 FT. ADDITIONALLY GROUNDWATER DEPTHS WERE FOUND TO BE AT 16 FT BGS. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILTRATION IS NOT RECOMMENDED AT SITES WITH SHALLOW GROUNDWATER. Summarize findings of studies; provide reference to studies, calculations, maps, data sources, etc. Provide narrative discussion of study/data source applicability								

	Form I-5								
Criteria	Screening Question	Yes	No						
3	Can infiltration greater than 0.5 inches per hour be allowed without increasing risk of groundwater contamination (shallow water table, storm water pollutants or other factors) that cannot be mitigated to an acceptable level? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.3.	NO							
Provide b	asis:								
IN/HR 16 FT I RECON Summariz discussion	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOUND THAT THE INFILTRATION RATE IS LESS THAN 0.1 IN/HR AT DEPTHS 0-5 FT. ADDITIONALLY GROUNDWATER DEPTHS WERE FOUND TO BE AT 16 FT BGS. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILTRATION IS NOT RECOMMENDED AT SITES WITH SHALLOW GROUNDWATER. Summarize findings of studies; provide reference to studies, calculations, maps, data sources, etc. Provide narrative discussion of study/data source applicability.								
4	4 Can infiltration greater than 0.5 inches per hour be allowed without causing potential water balance issues such as change of seasonality of ephemeral streams or increased discharge of contaminated groundwater to surface waters? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C 3								
Provide b	asis:								
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOUND THAT THE INFILTRATION RATE IS LESS THAN 0.1 IN/HR AT DEPTHS 0-5 FT. ADDITIONALLY GROUNDWATER DEPTHS WERE FOUND TO BE AT 16 FT BGS. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILTRATION IS NOT RECOMMENDED AT SITES WITH SHALLOW GROUNDWATER.									
			r						
Part 1 Result*	Part 1 Result*If all answers to rows 1 - 4 are "Yes" a full infiltration design is potentially feasible. The feasibility screening category is Full InfiltrationPart 1 Result*If any answer from row 1-4 is "No", infiltration may be possible to some extent but would not generally be feasible or desirable to achieve a "full infiltration" design. Proceed to Part 2								

Form I-5								
Part 2 – Partial Infiltration vs. No Infiltration Feasibility Screening Criteria Would infiltration of water in any appreciable amount be physically feasible without any negative consequences that cannot be reasonably mitigated?								
Criteria	Screening Question	Yes	No					
5	<b>Do soil and geologic conditions allow for infiltration in any appreciable rate or volume?</b> The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.2 and Appendix D.		NO					
Provide ba GEOTE IN/HR A 16 FT E RECOM	Provide basis: GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOUND THAT THE INFILTRATION RATE IS LESS THAN 0.1 IN/HR AT DEPTHS 0-5 FT. ADDITIONALLY GROUNDWATER DEPTHS WERE FOUND TO BE AT 16 FT BGS. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILTRATION IS NOT RECOMMENDED AT SITES WITH SHALLOW GROUNDWATER.							
Summariz discussion	e findings of studies; provide reference to studies, calculations, maps, d of study/data source applicability and why it was not feasible to mitigat	ata sources, etc. Pr re low infiltration ra	ovide na <del>rr</del> ative ntes.					
6	6 Can Infiltration in any appreciable quantity be allowed without increasing risk of geotechnical hazards (slope stability, groundwater mounding, utilities, or other factors) that cannot be mitigated to an acceptable level? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C 2							
evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.2.         Provide basis:         GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOUND THAT THE INFILTRATION RATE IS LESS THAN 0.1         IN/HR AT DEPTHS 0-5 FT. ADDITIONALLY GROUNDWATER DEPTHS WERE FOUND TO BE AT 16 FT BGS. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILTRATION IS NOT RECOMMENDED AT SITES WITH SHALLOW GROUNDWATER.								
discussion	discussion of study/data source applicability and why it was not feasible to mitigate low infiltration rates.							

Screening Question Can Infiltration in any appreciable quantity be allowed without	Yes	No						
Can Infiltration in any appreciable quantity be allowed without		110						
Can Infiltration in any appreciable quantity be allowed without posing significant risk for groundwater related concerns (shallow water table, storm water pollutants or other factors)? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.3.								
sis:								
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOUND THAT THE INFILTRATION RATE IS LESS THAN 0.1 IN/HR AT DEPTHS 0-5 FT. ADDITIONALLY GROUNDWATER DEPTHS WERE FOUND TO BE AT 16 FT BGS. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILTRATION IS NOT RECOMMENDED AT SITES WITH SHALLOW GROUNDWATER.								
<b>Can infiltration be allowed without violating downstream</b> <b>water rights</b> ? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.3.		NO						
sis:	I							
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOUND THAT THE INFILTRATION RATE IS LESS THAN 0.1 IN/HR AT DEPTHS 0-5 FT. ADDITIONALLY GROUNDWATER DEPTHS WERE FOUND TO BE AT 16 FT BGS. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILTRATION IS NOT RECOMMENDED AT SITES WITH SHALLOW GROUNDWATER.								
discussion of study/data source applicability and why it was not feasible to mitigate low infiltration rates.								
Part 2       If all answers from row 5-8 are yes then partial infiltration design is potentially feasible. The feasibility screening category is Partial Infiltration.         Part 2       If any answer from row 5-8 is no, then infiltration of any volume is considered to be infeasible within the drainage area. The feasibility screening category is No Infiltration.								
	<pre>posing significant risk for groundwater related concerns (shallow water table, storm water pollutants or other factors)? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.3. sis: HNICAL INVESTIGATION FOUND THAT THE INFILTRATION T DEPTHS 0-5 FT. ADDITIONALLY GROUNDWATER DEPTH is. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILT MENDED AT SITES WITH SHALLOW GROUNDWATER. findings of studies; provide reference to studies, calculations, maps, da of study/data source applicability and why it was not feasible to mitigat Can infiltration be allowed without violating downstream water rights? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.3. sis: CHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOUND THAT THE INFILTRATION T DEPTHS 0-5 FT. ADDITIONALLY GROUNDWATER DEPTH GS. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILT MENDED AT SITES WITH SHALLOW GROUNDWATER DEPTH GS. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILT MENDED AT SITES WITH SHALLOW GROUNDWATER DEPTH GS. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILT MENDED AT SITES WITH SHALLOW GROUNDWATER. findings of studies; provide reference to studies, calculations, maps, da of study/data source applicability and why it was not feasible to mitigat If all answers from row 5-8 are yes then partial infiltration design is po The feasibility screening category is Partial Infiltration. If any answer from row 5-8 is no, then infiltration of any volume is con infeasible within the drainage area. The feasibility screening category i Infiltration.</pre>	posmg significant risk for groundwater related concerns         (shallow water table, storm water pollutants or other factors)?         The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.3.         sis:         HNICAL INVESTIGATION FOUND THAT THE INFILTRATION RATE IS LESS T         DEPTHS 0-5 FT. ADDITIONALLY GROUNDWATER DEPTHS WERE FOUND         SS. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILTRATION IS NOT         wended at source applicability and why it was not feasible to mitigate low infiltration ra         Can infiltration be allowed without violating downstream         water rights? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.3.         sis:         CHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOUND THAT THE INFILTRATION RATE IS LESS TO DEPTHS 0-5 FT. ADDITIONALLY GROUNDWATER         CHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOUND THAT THE INFILTRATION RATE IS LESS TO DEPTHS 0-5 FT. ADDITIONALLY GROUNDWATER DEPTHS WERE FOUN GS. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILTRATION IS NOT MENDED AT SITES WITH SHALLOW GROUNDWATER.         findings of studies; provide reference to studies, calculations, maps, data sources, etc. Proof study/data source applicability and why it was not feasible to mitigate low infiltration ra         findings of studies; provide reference to studies, calculations, maps, data sources, etc. Proof study/data source applicability and why it was not feasible to mitigate low infiltration ra         If all answers from row 5-8 are yes then partial infiltration						

#### Form I-5 Certification

# The Geotechnical Engineer certifies they completed Form I-5 except Criteria 4 & 8 (see Appendix C.4.3).

Professional Geotechnical Engineer's Printed Name:	
Professional Geotechnical Engineer's Signed Name:	
Date:	



[SEAL]

#### The Project Design Engineer certifies they completed Criteria 4 & 8 (see Appendix C.4.4).

Professional Project Design Engineer's Printed Name: ERIC ARMSTRONG

Professional Project Design Engineer's Signed Name:

Date:



This page was left intentionally blank.

	Facto	or of Safety and Design Infilt	ration Rate Worksheet	Form I-6		
Factor Category		Factor Description	Assigned Weight (w)	Factor Value (v)	Product (p) p = w x v	
		Soil assessment methods	0.25			
		Predominant soil texture	0.25			
A Suitabili Assessm	Suitability	Site soil variability	0.25			
	Assessment	Depth to groundwater / impervious layer	0.25			
		Suitability Assessment Safety Factor, $S_A = \Sigma p$				
		Level of pretreatment/ expected sediment loads	0.5			
В	Design	Redundancy/resiliency	0.25			
		Compaction during construction	0.25			
		Design Safety Factor, $S_B = \Sigma_P$				
Con	bined Safety Fa	actor, $S_{total} = S_A x S_B$				
Observed Infiltration Rate, inch/hr, K <sub>observed</sub> (corrected for test-specific bias)						
Design Infiltration Rate, in/hr, K <sub>design</sub> = K <sub>observed</sub> / S <sub>total</sub>						
Sup	Supporting Data					
Brief GE	fly describe infil OTECHNICAL II	tration test and provide reference to NVESTIGATION FOUND THAT THE	o test forms: INFILTRATION	RATE IS LES	SS THAN	

0.1 IN/HR AT DEPTHS 0-5 FT. ADDITIONALLY GROUNDWATER DEPTHS WERE FOUND TO BE AT 16 FT BGS. AS PER BMP DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES, FULL INFILTRATION IS NOT RECOMMENDED AT SITES WITH SHALLOW GROUNDWATER.

Factor of Safety and Design militration Kate	Form 1-0
Worksheet	Certification

#### The Geotechnical Engineer certifies they completed Form I-6 (see Appendix C.4.3).

Professional Geotechnical Engineer's Printed Name:	[SEAL]
Professional Geotechnical Engineer's Signed Name:	
Date:	



# PROJECT SITE INFO

UNDERLYING HYDROLOGIC SOIL: D APPROXIMATE DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: 15 FT EXISTING NATURAL HYDROLOGIC FEATURES (WATERCOURSES, SEEPS, WETLANDS): NONE CRITICAL COARSE SEDIMENT YIELD AREAS TO BE PROTECTED: NONE EXISTING IMPERVIOUS AREA: 561,956 SF DISTURBED AREA: 580,219 SF PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS AREA: 536,254 SF PROPOSED PERVIOUS AREA: 43,965 SF

SITE DESIGN BMPs

1SD-BIMPERVIOUSAREADISPERSION2SD-FAMENDEDSOILS

PROPER RIGHT-0 STREET EXISTIN EXISTIN PROPOS DMA LIN DIRECTIO

PERVIOU

DMA SUMMARY TABLE:

	DMA SUMMARY TABLE									
DMA	TOTAL AREA (AC)	TOTAL AREA (SF)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA - ROOFS, CONCRETE, ASPHALT (SF)	% IMPERVIOUS	WATER QUALITY WEIGHTED AREA (SF)	Weighted C Factor	DCV (CF)	TREATED BY (BMP ID)	DRAINS TO (Outlet ID)
Α	2.06	89,734	13,375	76,359	85.1%	70,060	0.90	4039	BMP-3 (MWS)	1
В	1.93	84071	0	84,071	100.0%	75,664	0.90	3784	BMP-4 (MWS)	2
С	0.61	26572	1232	25,340	95.4%	22,929	0.90	3450	BMP-8 (MWS)	3
D	1.02	44431	515	43,916	98.8%	39,576	0.90	3450	BMP-8 (MWS)	3
E	1.37	59677	1662	58015	97.2%	52,380	0.90	2686	BMP-6 (MWS)	3
F	1.91	83200	7952	75248	90.4%	68,518	0.90	3744	BMP-5 (MWS)	3
G	1.26	54886	8415	46471	84.7%	42,665	0.90	2627	BMP-1 (MWS)	1
Н	0.68	29621	4400	25221	85.1%	23,139	0.90	1921	BMP-2 (MWS)	1
J	1.97	85813	3953	81860	95.4%	74,069	0.90	3862	BMP-7 (MWS)	3
К	0.13	5663	883	4780	84.4%	4,390	0.90	3450	BMP-8 (MWS)	3
L	0.30	13068	1578	11490	87.9%	10,499	0.90	1921	BMP-2 (MWS)	1
Р	0.08	3485	0	3485	100.0%	3,136	0.90	2627	BMP-1 (MWS)	1
М	1.89	82,328								
N	1.18	51,401			N	o Treatment (Outside Project I	Boundary)			
0	2.39	104,108								

/	he is	5 mil		Hái
	F /K	J. J.	ES >	ľ
J.	/X 🚿		<b>Bàdh</b> n	alabsi
Z / Š	$\langle \rangle$		¥.	
X		>	3 //	
$\langle \rangle$			3/	llasali
	$\mathbb{V}$		in the	
			/	· //
Ń	_			~
>			770-	
. /	/ x <sup>3</sup>	55.///	1/h	_
/		LI	- 1//X	
			-11M	A
			hill	~
25		× .		л.П
63	A 7			~
	/			. /
17	5			$\geq$
×.		_		
	7		1	
			7_	
	-7	- 1		1
		4		
	-	/_	- ·	~
	> /	10	2000	~1
	<	X	- Bart	
$\swarrow$	7			4
				~
			V	
		-	A	
			T	
	-			_
				· .
	10-			/
				/ .
				< In
	-	_		
352.6				<u> </u>
		12		
1	1	1-		~
	$\wedge$	$\sim$	$\rightarrow$	2
	$\gg$			
$\sim$		/		
	7	-		2522
				0.00.0
		_		_
	$\sim$			$\sim$
4	7	~	>	
	35	3.4 _		
1	×	1.11		~
		y your	stal,	
*	3	~	The second	
1	1	$\sim$	1	
			1F	$\geq$
	- 2	Tr.	Jà	~ \
<u>.</u>		"and	- Tra-	
			1	_
	A la	_		
				<
	355 🍾			
		×-		_
	⇒ 35. _ ×	2.30		Suct.
		9	P	Tite
			306//	14
		1	7 M	00
NT7				- oc
	<del>11 -</del> 4		<u>e</u> kk	X03
		3	, YX	
			à Và	
		356.7 - x	$\frac{1}{2}$	<i>É</i>
		356.7 - x		$\dot{\epsilon}$
		356.7 - x		

 $\square \_ \_ \_$ 

			REVISIONS		
0.	INIT.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	APP'D	DATE

LEGEND	
PROPERTY LINE	
RIGHT-OF-WAY	
STREET CENTERLINE	
EXISTING CONTOUR	260
EXISTING STORM DRAIN	SD
PROPOSED STORM DRAIN	— — SD — —
DMA LIMITS	
DIRECTION OF FLOW	$\rightarrow$
DMA DESIGNATION	AREA X =X.XXAC
MODULAR WETLAND UNITS	
PERVIOUS AREA	





DETAIL - MODULAR WETLAND SYSTEM (8'X12') NOT TO SCALE



DETAIL - MODULAR WETLAND SYSTEM (8'X24') NOT TO SCALE





C

2

DETAIL - MODULAR WETLAND SYSTEM (8'X16') NOT TO SCALE

2



www.fuscoe.com

REVISIONS DESCRIPTION NO. INIT. DATE APP'D DATE





C:\USERS\MLARKIN\APPDATA\LOCAL\TEMP\ACPUBLISH\_117084\756-101 SWMDCMA EXHIBIT.DWG (09-09-2022 11:14:22M) Plotted by: mlarkin







Plotted by: mlarkin F:\PROJECTS\756\101\\_SUPPORT FILES\REPORTS\SWQMP\756-101 SWMDCMA EXHIBIT.DWG (09-13-2022 1:19:59PM)







EXHIBIT C SHEE				
SITE DESIGN, SOURCE BMP OPERATION & MA	CONTROL, & POLL NINTENANCE PROCE	LUTANT CONTROL DURE		
O&M RESPONSIBLE PARTY	DESIGNEE: PROPERTY	OWNER HOA / CITY /	/ OTHER: LEASEHOLDEF	۶
BMP DESCRIPTION	INSPECTION FREQUENCY	MAINTENANCE FREQUENCY	MAINTENANCE METHOD	QUANTITY
SITE DESIGN ELEMENTS				
LANDSCAPING	BI-MONTHLY	AS DETERMINED BY INSPECTION	REMOVE TRASH AND DEBRIS	43,965 SF
SOURCE CONTROL ELEMEN	TS			
ONSITE STORM DRAIN INLETS	AFER EACH STORM EVENT	AS DETERMINED BY INSPECTION	REMOVE TRASH & DEBRIS TO KEEP TRASH & SILT-FREE	6 EA
POLLUTANT CONTROL BMP(	S)			
BIOFILTRATION UNITS MODULAR WETLAND SYSTEM (BMPs 1 – 8) LEVEL 1	BI-ANNUALLY	AS DETERMINED BY INSPECTION	REMOVE TRASH AND DEBRIS PER MANUFACTURER'S GUIDELINES	8 EA
HYDROMODIFICATION CONTR	I OL			
UNDERGROUND DETENTION VAULT (HMPs 1 – 8) LEVEL 1	BEFORE & AFTER LARGE STORM EVENTS OR ON A WEEKLY BASIS DURING PERIODS OF WET WEATHER	AS DETERMINED BY BLOCKAGE OF INLETS STRUCTURAL DAMAGE DAMAGE	INSPECTION OF ANY S/OUTLETS, AND EROSION	8 EA
FUSCE FUSCE FUSCE ENGINEER 6390 Greenwich Dr., Suite 1 tel 858.554.1500 • fax 858.	COE ING 70, San Diego, California 597.0335 ° www.fuscoe	92122 .com		

### **ATTACHMENT 2**

### BACKUP FOR PDP HYDROMODIFICATION CONTROL MEASURES

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 2.

□Mark this box if this attachment is empty because the project is exempt from PDP hydromodification management requirements.

Attachment	Contents	Checklist
Sequence		
Attachment 2a	Flow Control Facility Design, including Structural BMP Drawdown Calculations and Overflow Design Summary (Required) See Chapter 6 and Appendix G of the Storm Water Design Manual	☑Included □Submitted as separate stand- alone document
Attachment 2b	Hydromodification Management Exhibit (Required)	☑Included See Hydromodification Management Exhibit Checklist on the back of this
		Attachment cover sheet.
Attachment 2c	Management of Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas See Section 6.2 and Appendix H of the Storm Water Design Manual.	<ul> <li>Exhibit depicting onsite and/or upstream sources of critical coarse sediment as mapped in the WMAA AND,</li> <li>Demonstration that the project effectively avoids and bypasses sources of mapped critical coarse sediment OR,</li> <li>Demonstration that project does not generate a net impact on the receiving water.</li> </ul>
Attachment 2d	Geomorphic Assessment of Receiving Channels (Optional) See Section 6.3.4 of the Storm Water Design Manual.	<ul> <li>Not performed</li> <li>Included</li> <li>Submitted as separate stand- alone document</li> </ul>
Attachment 2e	Vector Control Plan (Required when structural BMPs will not drain in 96 hours)	☐Included ☑Not required because BMPs will drain in less than 96 hours

#### Indicate which Items are Included behind this cover sheet:

This page was left intentionally blank.

# Use this checklist to ensure the required information has been included on the Hydromodification Management Exhibit:

The Hydromodification Management Exhibit must identify:

☑ Underlying hydrologic soil group
☑ Approximate depth to groundwater
☑ Existing natural hydrologic features (watercourses, seeps, springs, wetlands)
☑ Critical coarse sediment yield areas to be protected
☑ Existing topography
☑ Existing and proposed site drainage network and connections to drainage offsite
☑ Proposed grading
☑ Proposed design features and surface treatments used to minimize imperviousness
☑ Point(s) of Compliance (POC) for Hydromodification Management
☑ Existing and proposed drainage boundary and drainage area to each POC (when necessary,

create separate exhibits for pre-development and post-project conditions)

Structural BMPs for hydromodification management (identify location, type of BMP, and size/detail)

This page was left intentionally blank.





TYPICAL DETAIL - UNDERGROUND DETENTION CISTERN NOT TO SCALE

0



HMP CONTROL ELEVATIONS TABLE

ID	RIM	INLET	OUTLET	WEIR	ORIFICE D
HMP-1A	365.9	354.82	354.72	357.82	
HMP-2A	364.8	354.44	354.34	357.44	
HMP-3A	355.8	346.12	346.02	349.12	
HMP-4A	374.3	364.89	364.79	367.89	
HMP-5A	367.0	355.62	355.52	358.62	
HMP-6A	365.0	354.32	354.22	357.32	
HMP-7A	362.6	352.42	352.32	355.42	
HMP-8A	361.5	351.99	351.89	354.99	
HMP-8A	361.5	351.99	351.89	354.99	

ORM	
	┠
	N
	- /
E N 16	<b>G</b>

			REVISIONS		
NO.	INIT.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	APP'D	DATE

RMER SEARS REDEVELOPMENT		
ATTACHMENT 2a HYDROMODIFICATION MANAGEMENT EXHIBIT		
	JOB NO. 756–101	
E N G I N E E R I N G	DRAWN BY: MJL	
Irvine, California 92606 tel 949.474.1960 ° fax 949.474.5315 www.fuscoe.com	SHEET 2 of 2	

	_
IAMETER (IN)	
1.1	
0.9	
1.4	
1.4	
1.4	
1.2	
1.4	
1.3	

### **ATTACHMENT 3**

### **Structural BMP Maintenance Information**

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 3.

#### Indicate which Items are Included behind this cover sheet:

Attachment Sequence	Contents	Checklist
Attachment 3a	Structural BMP Maintenance Plan (Required)	
		See Structural BMP Maintenance Information Checklist on the back of this Attachment cover sheet.
Attachment 3b	Draft Storm Water Control Facilities Maintenance Agreement (SWCFMA)	<ul><li>☐Included</li><li>☐Not Applicable</li></ul>
	(when applicable)	

## Use this checklist to ensure the required information has been included in the Structural BMP Maintenance Information Attachment:

#### Attachment 3a must identify:

- □ Specific maintenance indicators and actions for proposed structural BMP(s). This must be based on Section 7.7 of the Storm Water Design Manual and enhanced to reflect actual proposed components of the structural BMP(s)
- $\Box$  How to access the structural BMP(s) to inspect and perform maintenance
- □ Features that are provided to facilitate inspection (e.g., observation ports, cleanouts, silt posts, or other features that allow the inspector to view necessary components of the structural BMP and compare to maintenance thresholds)
- □Manufacturer and part number for proprietary parts of structural BMP(s) when applicable
- □ Maintenance thresholds specific to the structural BMP(s), with a location-specific frame of reference (e.g., level of accumulated materials that triggers removal of the materials, to be identified based on viewing marks on silt posts or measured with a survey rod with respect to a fixed benchmark within the BMP)
- Recommended equipment to perform maintenance
- □When applicable, necessary special training or certification requirements for inspection and maintenance personnel such as confined space entry or hazardous waste management

**Attachment 3b:** For all Structural BMPs, Attachment 3b must include a draft maintenance agreement in the City's standard format (PDP applicant to contact City staff to obtain the current maintenance agreement forms or download from City's website).





### Inspection Guidelines for Modular Wetland System - Linear

#### **Inspection Summary**

- Inspect Pre-Treatment, Biofiltration and Discharge Chambers average inspection interval is 6 to 12 months.
  - (1*5 minute average inspection time*).
- <u>NOTE:</u> Pollutant loading varies greatly from site to site and no two sites are the same. Therefore, the first year requires inspection monthly during the wet season and every other month during the dry season in order to observe and record the amount of pollutant loading the system is receiving.



#### www.modularwetlands.com


# **Inspection Overview**

As with all stormwater BMPs inspection and maintenance on the MWS Linear is necessary. Stormwater regulations require that all BMPs be inspected and maintained to ensure they are operating as designed to allow for effective pollutant removal and provide protection to receiving water bodies. It is recommended that inspections be performed multiple times during the first year to assess the site specific loading conditions. This is recommended because pollutant loading and pollutant characteristics can vary greatly from site to site. Variables such as nearby soil erosion or construction sites, winter sanding on roads, amount of daily traffic and land use can increase pollutant loading on the system. The first year of inspections can be used to set inspection and maintenance intervals for subsequent years to ensure appropriate maintenance is provided. Without appropriate maintenance a BMP will exceed its storage capacity which can negatively affect its continued performance in removing and retaining captured pollutants.

#### **Inspection Equipment**

Following is a list of equipment to allow for simple and effective inspection of the MWS Linear:

- Modular Wetland Inspection Form
- Flashlight
- Manhole hook or appropriate tools to remove access hatches and covers
- Appropriate traffic control signage and procedures
- Measuring pole and/or tape measure.
- Protective clothing and eye protection.
- 7/16" open or closed ended wrench.
- Large permanent black marker (initial inspections only first year)
- Note: entering a confined space requires appropriate safety and certification. It is generally not required for routine inspections of the system.





#### Inspection Steps

The core to any successful stormwater BMP maintenance program is routine inspections. The inspection steps required on the MWS Linear are quick and easy. As mentioned above the first year should be seen as the maintenance interval establishment phase. During the first year more frequent inspections should occur in order to gather loading data and maintenance requirements for that specific site. This information can be used to establish a base for long term inspection and maintenance interval requirements.

The MWS Linear can be inspected though visual observation without entry into the system. All necessary pre-inspection steps must be carried out before inspection occurs, especially traffic control and other safety measures to protect the inspector and near-by pedestrians from any dangers associated with an open access hatch or manhole. Once these access covers have been safely opened the inspection process can proceed:

- Prepare the inspection form by writing in the necessary information including project name, location, date & time, unit number and other info (see inspection form).
- Observe the inside of the system through the access hatches. If minimal light is available and vision into the unit is impaired utilize a flashlight to see inside the system and all of its chambers.
- Look for any out of the ordinary obstructions in the inflow pipe, pre-treatment chamber, biofiltration chamber, discharge chamber or outflow pipe. Write down any observations on the inspection form.
- Through observation and/or digital photographs estimate the amount of trash, debris and sediment accumulated in the pre-treatment chamber. Utilizing a tape measure or measuring stick estimate the amount of trash, debris and sediment in this chamber. Record this depth on the inspection form.



Through visual observation inspect the condition of the pre-filter cartridges. Look for excessive build-up of sediments on the cartridges, any build-up on the top of the cartridges, or clogging of the holes. Record this information on the inspection form. The pre-filter cartridges can further be inspected by removing the cartridge tops and assessing the color of the BioMediaGREEN filter cubes (requires entry into pre-treatment chamber – see notes above regarding confined space entry). Record the color of the material. New material is a light green in color. As the media becomes clogged it will turn darker in color, eventually becoming dark brown or black. Using the below color indicator record the percentage of media exhausted.



- The biofiltration chamber is generally maintenance free due to the system's advanced pretreatment chamber. For units which have open planters with vegetation it is recommended that the vegetation be inspected. Look for any plants that are dead or showing signs of disease or other negative stressors. Record the general health of the plants on the inspection and indicate through visual observation or digital photographs if trimming of the vegetation is needed.
- The discharge chamber houses the orifice control structure and is connected to the outflow pipe. It is important to check to ensure the orifice is in proper operating conditions and free of any obstructions. Generally, the discharge chamber will be clean and free of debris. Inspect the water marks on the side walls. If possible, inspect the discharge chamber during a rain event to assess the amount of flow leaving the system while it is at 100% capacity (pretreatment chamber water level at peak HGL). The water level of the flowing water should be compared to the watermark level on the side walls which is an indicator of the highest discharge rate the system achieved when initially installed. Record on the form is there is any difference in level from watermark in inches.



 NOTE: During the first few storms the water level in the outflow chamber should be observed and a 6" long horizontal watermark line drawn (using a large permanent marker) at the water level in the discharge chamber while the system is operating at 100% capacity. The diagram below illustrates where a line should be drawn. This line is a reference point for future inspections of the system:







Using a permanent marker draw a 6 inch long horizontal line, as shown, at the higher water level in the MWS Linear discharge chamber.

- Water level in the discharge chamber is a function of flow rate and pipe size. Observation of water level during the first few months of operation can be used as a benchmark level for future inspections. The initial mark and all future observations shall be made when system is at 100% capacity (water level at maximum level in pre-treatment chamber). If future water levels are below this mark when system is at 100% capacity this is an indicator that maintenance to the pre-filter cartridges may be needed.
- Finalize inspection report for analysis by the maintenance manager to determine if maintenance is required.



#### **Maintenance Indicators**

Based upon observations made during inspection, maintenance of the system may be required based on the following indicators:

- Missing or damaged internal components or cartridges.
- Obstructions in the system or its inlet or outlet.
- Excessive accumulation of floatables in the pre-treatment chamber in which the length and

width of the chamber is fully impacted more than 18".



• Excessive accumulation of sediment in the pre-treatment chamber of more than 6" in depth.





 Excessive accumulation of sediment on the BioMediaGREEN media housed within the prefilter cartridges. The following chart shows photos of the condition of the BioMediaGREEN contained within the pre-filter cartridges. When media is more than 85% clogged replacement is required.



• Overgrown vegetation.



• Water level in discharge chamber during 100% operating capacity (pre-treatment chamber water level at max height) is lower than the watermark by 20%.



### Inspection Notes

- 1. Following maintenance and/or inspection, it is recommended the maintenance operator prepare a maintenance/inspection record. The record should include any maintenance activities performed, amount and description of debris collected, and condition of the system and its various filter mechanisms.
- 2. The owner should keep maintenance/inspection record(s) for a minimum of five years from the date of maintenance. These records should be made available to the governing municipality for inspection upon request at any time.
- 3. Transport all debris, trash, organics and sediments to approved facility for disposal in accordance with local and state requirements.
- 4. Entry into chambers may require confined space training based on state and local regulations.
- 5. No fertilizer shall be used in the Biofiltration Chamber.
- 6. Irrigation should be provided as recommended by manufacturer and/or landscape architect. Amount of irrigation required is dependent on plant species. Some plants may not require irrigation after initial establishment.





# Maintenance Guidelines for Modular Wetland System - Linear

## Maintenance Summary

- <u>Remove Sediment from Pre-Treatment Chamber</u> average maintenance interval is 12 to 24 months.
  - (10 minute average service time).
- Replace Pre-Filter Cartridge Media average maintenance interval 12 to 24 months.
  - (10-15 minute per cartridge average service time).
- Trim Vegetation average maintenance interval is 6 to 12 months.
  - (Service time varies).



## www.modularwetlands.com

### System Diagram



# **Maintenance Overview**

The time has come to maintain your Modular Wetland System Linear (MWS Linear). To ensure successful and efficient maintenance on the system we recommend the following. The MWS Linear can be maintained by removing the access hatches over the systems various chambers. All necessary pre-maintenance steps must be carried out before maintenance occurs, especially traffic control and other safety measures to protect the inspector and near-by pedestrians from any dangers associated with an open access hatch or manhole. Once traffic control has been set up per local and state regulations and access covers have been safely opened the maintenance process can begin. It should be noted that some maintenance activities require confined space entry. All confined space requirements must be strictly followed before entry into the system. In addition the following is recommended:

- Prepare the maintenance form by writing in the necessary information including project name, location, date & time, unit number and other info (see maintenance form).
- Set up all appropriate safety and cleaning equipment.
- Ensure traffic control is set up and properly positioned.
- Prepare a pre-checks (OSHA, safety, confined space entry) are performed.

## Maintenance Equipment

Following is a list of equipment required for maintenance of the MWS Linear:

- Modular Wetland Maintenance Form
- Manhole hook or appropriate tools to access hatches and covers
- Protective clothing, flashlight and eye protection.
- 7/16" open or closed ended wrench.
- Vacuum assisted truck with pressure washer.
- Replacement BioMediaGREEN for Pre-Filter Cartridges if required (order from manufacturer).





### Maintenance Steps

- 1. Pre-treatment Chamber (bottom of chamber)
  - A. Remove access hatch or manhole cover over pre-treatment chamber and position vacuum truck accordingly.
  - B. With a pressure washer spray down pollutants accumulated on walls and pre-filter cartridges.
  - C. Vacuum out Pre-Treatment Chamber and remove all accumulated pollutants including trash, debris and sediments. Be sure to vacuum the floor until pervious pavers are visible and clean.
  - D. If Pre-Filter Cartridges require media replacement move onto step 2. If not, replace access hatch or manhole cover.



Removal of access hatch to gain access below.





Removal of trash, sediment and debris.

Insertion of vacuum hose into separation chamber.



Fully cleaned separation chamber.



## 2. Pre-Filter Cartridges (attached to wall of pre-treatment chamber)

- A. After finishing step 1 enter pre-treatment chamber.
- B. Unscrew the two bolts holding the lid on each cartridge filter and remove lid.



Pre-filter cartridges with tops on.



Inside cartridges showing media filters ready for replacement.



C. Place the vacuum hose over each individual media filter to suck out filter media.

Vacuuming out of media filters.

D. Once filter media has been sucked use a pressure washer to spray down inside of the cartridge and it's containing media cages. Remove cleaned media cages and place to the side. Once removed the vacuum hose can be inserted into the cartridge to vacuum out any remaining material near the bottom of the cartridge.



E. Reinstall media cages and fill with new media from manufacturer or outside supplier. Manufacturer will provide specification of media and sources to purchase. Utilize the manufacture provided refilling trey and place on top of cartridge. Fill trey with new bulk media and shake down into place. Using your hands slightly compact media into each filter cage. Once cages are full removed refilling trey and replace cartridge top ensuring bolts are properly tightened.



Refilling trey for media replacement.





Refilling trey on cartridge with bulk media.

F. Exit pre-treatment chamber. Replace access hatch or manhole cover.

#### 3. Biofiltration Chamber (middle vegetated chamber)

A. In general, the biofiltration chamber is maintenance free with the exception of maintaining the vegetation. Using standard gardening tools properly trim back the vegetation to healthy levels. The MWS Linear utilizes vegetation similar to surrounding landscape areas therefore trim vegetation to match surrounding vegetation. If any plants have died replace plants with new ones:







#### Inspection Notes

- Following maintenance and/or inspection, it is recommended the maintenance operator prepare a maintenance/inspection record. The record should include any maintenance activities performed, amount and description of debris collected, and condition of the system and its various filter mechanisms.
- The owner should keep maintenance/inspection record(s) for a minimum of five years from the date of maintenance. These records should be made available to the governing municipality for inspection upon request at any time.
- 3. Transport all debris, trash, organics and sediments to approved facility for disposal in accordance with local and state requirements.
- 4. Entry into chambers may require confined space training based on state and local regulations.
- 5. No fertilizer shall be used in the Biofiltration Chamber.
- Irrigation should be provided as recommended by manufacturer and/or landscape architect. Amount of irrigation required is dependent on plant species. Some plants may not require irrigation after initial establishment.



# **Inspection Form**



Modular Wetland System, Inc. P. 760.433-7640 F. 760-433-3176 E. Info@modularwetlands.com





Project Name										For Office Use On	ly
Project Address						(city)		(Zin Code)		(Reviewed By)	
Owner / Management Company						(Gity)					
Contact					Phone (	)	_			(Date) Office personnel to co the left	mplete section to t.
Inspector Name					Date	/	/		Time		AM / PM
Type of Inspection   Routin	ie 🗌 Fo	ollow Up		aint	Storm		St	orm Event i	n Last 72-ho	ours? 🗌 No 🗌 N	/es
Weather Condition					Additional N	otes					
			l	nspect	ion Chec	dist					
Modular Wetland System T	ype (Curb,	Grate or L	IG Vault):			Siz	ze (22	2', 14' or e	etc.):		
Structural Integrity:								Yes	No	Comme	nts
Damage to pre-treatment access pressure? Damage to discharge chamber a pressure?	cover (manh	nole cover/gr (manhole co	ate) or canno ver/grate) or c	t be opene cannot be	ed using norm opened using	al lifting normal lift	ing				
Does the MWS unit show signs o	of structural of	deterioration	(cracks in the	e wall, dan	nage to frame)	?					
Is the inlet/outlet pipe or drain do	wn pipe dam	aged or othe	erwise not fun	ctioning p	roperly?						
Working Condition:											
Is there evidence of illicit discharg	ge or excessi	ve oil, greas	e, or other au	itomobile f	fluids entering	and clogg	ing the				
Is there standing water in inappro	opriate areas	after a dry p	eriod?								
Is the filter insert (if applicable) at	t capacity and	d/or is there	an accumulat	ion of deb	ris/trash on th	e shelf sys	stem?				
Does the depth of sediment/trash specify which one in the commer	n/debris sugg nts section. N	est a blockag lote depth of	ge of the inflo f accumulation	w pipe, by n in in pre	pass or cartric	lge filter? mber.	lf yes,				Depth:
Does the cartridge filter media ne	ed replacem	ent in pre-tre	eatment cham	nber and/o	r discharge ch	amber?				Chamber:	
Any signs of improper functioning	g in the disch	arge chambe	er? Note issu	ies in com	ments section						
Other Inspection Items:											
Is there an accumulation of sedin	nent/trash/de	bris in the w	etland media	(if applica	ble)?						
Is it evident that the plants are ali	ive and healt	hy (if applica	ble)? Please	note Plant	t Information b	elow.					
Is there a septic or foul odor com	ing from insid	de the syster	n?								
Waste:	Yes	No		R	ecommend	ed Main	tenar	nce		Plant Inform	nation
Sediment / Silt / Clay				No Clean	ing Needed					Damage to Plants	
Trash / Bags / Bottles				Schedule	Maintenance	as Planne	ed			Plant Replacement	
Green Waste / Leaves / Foliage				Needs Im	imediate Main	enance				Plant Trimming	

Additional Notes:



# **Maintenance Report**



Modular Wetland System, Inc. P. 760.433-7640 F. 760-433-3176 E. Info@modularwetlands.com



## Cleaning and Maintenance Report Modular Wetlands System



Project N	ame						For O	ffice Use Only
Project A	ddress				(city)	(Zip Code)	(Review	/ed By)
Owner / I	Management Company						(Date)	
Contact				Phone (	)	-	Office	personnel to complete section to the left.
Inspector Name			Date	/	_/	Time	AM / PM	
Type of I	nspection 🗌 Routir	ie 🗌 Follow Up	Complaint	Storm		Storm Event in	Last 72-hours?	No 🗌 Yes
Weather	Condition			Additiona	I Notes			
Site Map #	GPS Coordinates of Insert	Manufacturer / Description / Sizing	Trash Accumulation	Foliage Accumulation	Sediment Accumulation	Total Debris Accumulation	Condition of Media 25/50/75/100 (will be changed @ 75%)	Operational Per Manufactures' Specifications (If not, why?)
	Lat: Long:	MWS Catch Basins						
		MWS Sedimentation Basin						
		Media Filter Condition						
		Plant Condition						
		Drain Down Media Condition						
		Discharge Chamber Condition						
		Drain Down Pipe Condition						
		Inlet and Outlet Pipe Condition						
Commen	ts:							

	SITE SPEC	IFIC DATA	
PROJECT NUMBE	TR		
PROJECT NAME			
PROJECT LOCATI	ON		
STRUCTURE ID			
	TREATMENT	REQUIRED	
VOLUME B,	ASED (CF)	FLOW BAS	ED (CFS)
N,	/A		
PEAK BYPASS R	EQUIRED (CFS) –	IF APPLICABLE	
PIPE DATA	I.E.	MATERIAL	DIAMETER
INLET PIPE 1			
INLET PIPE 2			
OUTLET PIPE			
	PRETREATMENT	BIOFILTRATION	DISCHARGE
RIM ELEVATION		·	
SURFACE LOAD			
FRAME & COVER	2EA Ø30"		ø24"



- 1. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.
- 2. UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- 4. CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING PIPES. ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL.
- 5. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS, MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 6. VEGETATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS. ALL UNITS WITH VEGETATION MUST HAVE DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS.
- 7. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTACTING BIO CLEAN FOR ACTIVATION OF UNIT. MANUFACTURERS WARRANTY IS VOID WITH OUT PROPER ACTIVATION BY A BIO CLEAN REPRESENTATIVE.

#### **GENERAL NOTES**

- 1. MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 2. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHTS AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT BIO CLEAN.





#### PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL:

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS THE SOLE PROPERTY OF FORTERRA AND ITS COMPANIES. THIS DOCUMENT, NOR ANY PART THEREOF, MAY BE USED, REPRODUCED OR MODIFIED IN ANY MANNER WITH OUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF FORTERRA.





**RIGHT END VIEW** 

10

VARIES-

	SITE SPEC	IFIC DATA	
PROJECT NUMBE	R		
PROJECT NAME			
PROJECT LOCATI	ON		
STRUCTURE ID			
	TREATMENT	REQUIRED	
VOLUME B	ASED (CF)	FLOW BAS	ED (CFS)
N,	/A		
PEAK BYPASS R	EQUIRED (CFS) –	IF APPLICABLE	
PIPE DATA	<i>I.E.</i>	MATERIAL	DIAMETER
INLET PIPE 1			
INLET PIPE 2			
OUTLET PIPE			
	PRETREATMENT	BIOFILTRATION	DISCHARGE
RIM ELEVATION			
SURFACE LOAD			
FRAME & COVER	2EA Ø30"		ø24"



- 1. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.
- 2. UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- 4. CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING PIPES. ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL.
- 5. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS, MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 6. VEGETATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS. ALL UNITS WITH VEGETATION MUST HAVE DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS.
- 7. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTACTING BIO CLEAN FOR ACTIVATION OF UNIT. MANUFACTURERS WARRANTY IS VOID WITH OUT PROPER ACTIVATION BY A BIO CLEAN REPRESENTATIVE.

#### **GENERAL NOTES**

- 1. MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 2. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHTS AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT BIO CLEAN.





#### PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL:

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS THE SOLE PROPERTY OF FORTERRA AND ITS COMPANIES. THIS DOCUMENT, NOR ANY PART THEREOF, MAY BE USED, REPRODUCED OR MODIFIED IN ANY MANNER WITH OUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF FORTERRA.









SITE SPECIFIC DATA					
PROJECT NUMBE	R				
PROJECT NAME					
PROJECT LOCATI	ON				
STRUCTURE ID					
	TREATMENT	REQUIRED			
VOLUME BA	ASED (CF)	FLOW BAS	SED (CFS)		
N,	/A				
PEAK BYPASS R	EQUIRED (CFS) –	IF APPLICABLE			
PIPE DATA	<i>I.E.</i>	MATERIAL	DIAMETER		
INLET PIPE 1					
INLET PIPE 2					
OUTLET PIPE					
	PRETREATMENT	BIOFILTRATION	DISCHARGE		
RIM ELEVATION					
SURFACE LOAD					
FRAME & COVER	3EA Ø30"		2EA Ø24"		
NOTES:					



- CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND 1. INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.
- 2. UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- 4. CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING IE IN PIPES. ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL.
- 5. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS, MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- VEGETATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS. ALL UNITS WITH 6. VEGETATION MUST HAVE DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTACTING BIO CLEAN FOR ACTIVATION OF UNIT. MANUFACTURERS WARRANTY IS VOID WITH OUT PROPER ACTIVATION BY A BIO CLEAN REPRESENTATIVE.

#### **GENERAL NOTES**

- MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO 2. CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHTS AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT BIO CLEAN.







	SITE SPEC	IFIC DATA		7				C.	Λ			
PROJECT NUMB	ĒR							•/				
ORDER NUMBER				WETLANDMEDIA~		2)					70 - 1	-VERTICAL
PROJECT NAME				- BED PATENTED-							圆/	UNDERDRAIN MANIFOLD
PROJECT LOCAT	ION			PERIMETER			SAN DA					
STRUCTURE ID				VOID AREA			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		FST
	TREATMENT	r REQUIRED		-								2017
VOLUME E	ASED (CF)	FLOW BAS	SED (CFS)	- ~								
				- 3-								—
TREATMENT HGL	AVAILABLE (FT)			-	I I						$\mathbb{N}^{ }$	COUTLET PIPE
PEAK BYPASS F	PEQUIRED (CFS) -	IF APPLICABLE		-						▔▋/◢▖▝	$\left  \right\rangle$	SEE NUIES
PIPE DATA	<i>I.E.</i>	MATERIAL	DIAMETER	-	1			IH			а)Д/	1-1
INLET PIPE 1				-				Щ			╯ि	
INLET PIPE 2				_	L	<u>`</u>	CURB OPENING	T - 1			= = [	Ŧ
OUTLET PIPE				-//////////////////////////////////////	///////////////////////////////////////	<i>\[]]]</i>		V	///////////////////////////////////////			<u> 77777777777777777777777777777777777</u>
	PRETREATMENT	BIOFILTRATION	DISCHARGE	-	PRE-FILTER-	J	<u>→</u> 3'_0" →		DRAIN DOWN LINE	7		1
RIM ELEVATION				-	CARTRIDGE		PLL	1 4 N	VIFW			L SITE CURBING
SURFACE LOAD	PEDESTRIAN	OPEN PLANTER	PEDESTRIAN	-								BY UIHERS
FRAME & COVER	2EA Ø30"	N/A	ø24"	-								
WETLANDMEDIA	VOLUME (CY)		TBD	-								
ORIFICE SIZE (L	DIA. INCHES)		TBD	-								
NOTES: PRELIMINA	ARY NOT FOR CON	ISTRUCTION.		-								
				1								

- 1. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.
- 2. UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING 4. PIPES. ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS, 5. MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 6. VEGETATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS. ALL UNITS WITH VEGETATION MUST HAVE DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTACTING BIO CLEAN FOR 7. ACTIVATION OF UNIT. MANUFACTURERS WARRANTY IS VOID WITH OUT PROPER ACTIVATION BY A BIO CLEAN REPRESENTATIVE.

#### **GENERAL NOTES**

- MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 1.
- ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO 2. CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHTS AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT BIO CLEAN.





PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL:

ETLANDS

THIS PRODUCT MAY BE PROTECTED BY ONE OR MORE O THE FOLLOWING US PATENTS: 7,475,262; 7,470,362; 7,674,378; 8,303,816; RELATED FOREIGN PATENTS OR OTHER PATENTS PENDING







	$\Lambda \Lambda I \Lambda / C I O I C C$	
WETI ANT	D MEDIA LOADING RATE (GPM/SF)	1.0
PRETREA	ATMENT LOADING RATE (GPM/SF)	2.0
OPERATI	ING HEAD (FT)	3.4
TREATME	ENT FLOW (CFS)	0.462



- HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION REQUIRED ON ALL UNITS WITH VEGETATION. 6.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTACTING MODULAR WETLANDS FOR 7. ACTIVATION OF UNIT. MANUFACTURES WARRANTY IS VOID WITH OUT PROPER ACTIVATION BY A MODULAR WETLANDS REPRESENTATIVE.

#### **GENERAL NOTES**

- MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 1
- 2. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHTS AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT MANUFACTURER.



#### **ELEVATION VIEW**



PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL:

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS THE SOLE PROPERTY OF FORTERRA AND ITS COMPANIES. THIS DOCUMENT, NOR ANY PART THEREOF, MAY BE USED, REPRODUCED OR MODIFIED IN ANY MANNER WITH OUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF FORTERRA.



	TREATMENT FLOW (UFS)	0.695
	OPERATING HEAD (FT)	3.4
	PRETREATMENT LOADING RATE (GPM/SF)	2.0
	WETLAND MEDIA LOADING RATE (GPM/SF)	1.0
anv	<i>MWS-L-8-24-C</i> STORMWATER BIOFILTRATION STANDARD DETAIL	SYSTEM

## ATTACHMENT 4

City of Escondido PDP Structural BMP Verification for Permitted Land Development Projects

City of Escondido Storm Water Stru	actural BMP Verification Form Page 1 of 4
Project Sun	nmary Information
Project Name	COSTCO ESCONDIDO
Record ID (e.g., grading/improvement plan number)	
Project Address	210 EAST VIA RANCHO PARKWAY ESCONDIDO, CA 92025
Assessor's Parcel Number(s) (APN(s))	271-030-14-00
Project Watershed (Complete Hydrologic Unit, Area, and Subarea Name with Numeric Identifier)	SAN DIEGUITO RIVER HODGES 905.2
Maintenance Notification / Agreement No.	
Responsible Party	for Construction Phase
Developer's Name	COSTCO WHOLESALE
Address	999 LAKE DRIVE ISSAQUAH, WA 98027
Email Address	
Phone Number	
Engineer of Work	ERIC ARMSTRONG, PE
Engineer's Phone Number	858-554-1500
Responsible Party	for Ongoing Maintenance
Owner's Name(s)*	COSTCO WHOLESALE
Address	999 LAKE DRIVE ISSAQUAH, WA 98027
Email Address	
Phone Number	
*Note: If a corporation or LLC, provide inform Process. If an HOA, provide information for th closeout.	ation for principal partner or Agent for Service of ne Board or property manager at time of project

City of Escondido Storm Water Structural BMP Verification Form Page 2 of 4				
Stormwater Structura	al Polluta	Int Control & Hydroi	modification Contro	I BMPs*
Description/Type of Structural BMP	Plan Sheet #	Structural BMP ID#	Maintenance Agreement Recorded Doc #	Revisions
MODULAR WETLAND	1	BMP-1 - BMP-8		
UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK	1	HMP-1 - HMP-8		
FLOW CONTROL STRUCTURE	1	HMP-1A - HMP-8A		

\*All Priority Development Projects (PDPs) require a Structural BMP Note: If this is a partial verification of Structural BMPs, provide a list and map denoting Structural BMPs that have already been submitted, those for this submission, and those anticipated in future submissions.

#### City of Escondido Storm Structural BMP Verification Form Page 3 of 4

#### Checklist for Engineer of Work (EOW) to submit to Field Engineering:

- □ Copy of the final accepted SWQMP and any accepted addendum.
- Copy of the most current plan showing the Storm Water Structural BMP Table, plans/cross-section sheets of the Structural BMPs and the location of each verified asbuilt Structural BMP.
- □ Photograph of each Structural BMP.
- □ Photograph(s) of each Structural BMP during the construction process to illustrate proper construction.
- □ Copy of the approved Structural BMP maintenance agreement and associated security

By signing below, I certify that the Structural BMP(s) for this project have been constructed and all BMPs are in substantial conformance with the approved plans and applicable regulations. I understand the City reserves the right to inspect the above BMPs to verify compliance with the approved plans and Storm Water Ordinance. Should it be determined that the BMPs were not constructed to plan or code, corrective actions may be necessary before permits can be closed.

Please sign your name and seal.

Professional Engineer's Printed Name:	
ERIC ARMSTRONG	
Professional Engineer's Signed Name:	

Date:

TOENT

## City of Escondido Storm Water Structural BMP Verification Form Page 4 of 4

#### CITY - OFFICIAL USE ONLY:

Permit #:
ty Inspector:
ate Project has/expects to close:
ate verification received from Engineer of Work (EOW):
$\prime$ signing below, City Inspector concurs that every noted Structural BMP has been installed per an.
ty Inspector's Signature:Date:
OR Environmental Programs:
ate Received from Field Engineering:
vironmental Programs Submittal Reviewer:
nvironmental Programs Reviewer concurs that the information provided for the following ructural BMPs is acceptable to enter into the Structural BMP Maintenance verification ventory:
List acceptable Structural BMPs:

Environmental Programs Reviewer's Signature:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## **ATTACHMENT 5**

## Copy of Plan Sheets Showing Permanent Storm Water BMPs, Source Control, and Site Design

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 5.

Use this checklist to ensure the required information has been included on the plans:

#### The plans must identify:

Structural BMP(s) with ID numbers matching Step 6 Summary of PDP Structural BMPs

- □ The grading and drainage design shown on the plans must be consistent with the delineation of DMAs shown on the DMA exhibit
- $\Box$  Details and specifications for construction of structural BMP(s)
- □Signage indicating the location and boundary of structural BMP(s) as required by City staff
- $\Box$  How to access the structural BMP(s) to inspect and perform maintenance
- □ Features that are provided to facilitate inspection (e.g., observation ports, cleanouts, silt posts, or other features that allow the inspector to view necessary components of the structural BMP and compare to maintenance thresholds)
- □Manufacturer and part number for proprietary parts of structural BMP(s) when applicable
- □ Maintenance thresholds specific to the structural BMP(s), with a location-specific frame of reference (e.g., level of accumulated materials that triggers removal of the materials, to be identified based on viewing marks on silt posts or measured with a survey rod with respect to a fixed benchmark within the BMP)
- □Recommended equipment to perform maintenance
- □When applicable, necessary special training or certification requirements for inspection and maintenance personnel such as confined space entry or hazardous waste management
- $\Box$  Include landscaping plan sheets showing vegetation requirements for vegetated structural BMP(s)
- $\Box {\sf All} \; {\sf BMPs} \; {\sf must} \; {\sf be} \; {\sf fully} \; {\sf dimensioned} \; {\sf on} \; {\sf the} \; {\sf plans}$
- □When proprietary BMPs are used, site-specific cross section with outflow, inflow, and model number must be provided. Photocopies of general brochures are not acceptable.
- □ Include all source control and site design measures described in Steps 4 and 5 of the SWQMP. Can be included as a separate exhibit as necessary.

#### \*Note: Plan sheets included in this attachment can be full size or half size.





<u>\_\_\_\_\_</u>

SEPTEMBER 14, 2022







L \_\_ - - -

	CONSTRUCTION NOTES	
	6"CURB	
2	6" CURB & GUTTER	
3	0" CURB	
4	CONNECT TO EXISTING CURB	
5	RETAINING WALL	
6	STRIPING BY OTHERS	
7	HYDROMODIFICATION STORAGE TANK	
8	MODULAR WETLAND SYSTEM	
9	CONNECT TO EXISTING STORM DRAIN LINE	
10	RIBBON GUTTER	
(1)	TYPE B CURB INLET	



1,510 CY 94,870 CY IMPORT VOLUME: 93,360 CY NOTE: EARTHWORK ESTIMATES ARE RAW & UNADJUSTED. TO BE USED FOR PERMITTING PURPOSES





