ORDINANCE NO. 2021-02R
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE DOWNTOWN SPECIFIC PLAN AND PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FOR THE PALOMAR HEIGHTS PROJECT

APPLICANT: The Palomar Heights Project Owner, LLC CASE NOS.: SUB 18-0011, PHG 18-0049, and ENV 18-0009

The City Council of the City of Escondido ("City"), California, DOES HEREBY ORDAIN as follows:

SECTION 1. The City Council makes the following findings:
a) The Palomar Heights Project Owner, LLC (herein after referred to as "Applicant"), filed a verified application with the City regarding property located at 555 E . Valley Parkway and surrounding properties (APNs 229-442-01-00, 229-442-02-00, 229-442-03-00, 229-442-04-00, 229-442-18-00, 229-450-05-00, 229-450-06-00, 230-163-01-
$00,230-163-02-00,230-163-03-00,230-163-04-00$, and $230-163-05-00$ ), more particularly described as shown on Exhibit "A" ("the property"), attached to this Ordinance and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth. In addition to other land use entitlements, said verified application constitutes a request for an amendment to the Downtown Specific Plan and a Planned Development Permit (Master and Precise Development Plan) for the redevelopment of the Palomar Health Downtown Campus and surrounding properties, as shown on Exhibit " B " (Downtown Specific Plan revisions) and Exhibit "C" (Project plans), both attached to this Ordinance and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein, and on file in the Planning Division.
b) The Planning Division of the Community Development Department completed its review and scheduled a public hearing regarding the application before the Planning Commission on September 22, 2020. Following said public hearing, the Planning Commission adopted Resolution Nos. 2020-12 and 2020-13, which recommended that the City Council approve the amendment to the Downtown Specific Plan and the Master and Precise development Plan for a mixed-use residential/commercial redevelopment project (the "Project").

SECTION 2. An original copy of the proposed Specific Plan Amendment and Master and Precise Development Plan and all other related Project materials are on file in the Office of the City Clerk, with a copy of each document submitted to the City Council for its consideration. The City Clerk, whose office is located at 201 North Broadway, Escondido, California 92025, is hereby designated as the custodian of the documents and other materials which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the City Council's decision is based, which documents and materials shall be available for public inspection and copying in accordance with the provisions of the California Public Records Act.

SECTION 3. On January 27, 2021, the City Council held a duly noticed public hearing as prescribed by law. Evidence was submitted to and considered by the City Council, including, without limitation:
a) Written information including all application materials and other written and graphical information posted on the Project website;
b) Oral testimony from City staff, interested parties, and the public;
c) The City Council staff report, dated January 27, 2021, which along with its attachments, is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein, including the Planning Commission's recommendation on the request; and
d) Additional information submitted during the public hearing.

SECTION 4. Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq.) ("CEQA"), a Final Environmental Impact Report (SCH No. 2019059013) relative to the Project was prepared and the City Council has certified it, along with adopting the CEQA Findings of Fact and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program per City Council Resolution No. 2021-16.

SECTION 5. Upon consideration of the Findings of Fact, attached as Exhibit "D" and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein, the City Council approves the Specific Plan Amendment and Planned Development Permit.

SECTION 7. Concurrently with the action on this Ordinance, the City Council is taking a number of actions in furtherance of the Project, as generally described in the January 27, 2021, City Council staff report. No single component of the series of actions made in connection with the Project shall be effective unless and until it is approved by an Ordinance or Resolution and is procedurally effective in the manner provided by state law. Therefore, this Ordinance shall become effective and operative only if City Council Resolution Nos. 2021-16 and 2021-17 are approved.

SECTION 7. All references within this Ordinance to "Applicant" or "Developer," shall be equally applicable to the current property owner and to any successors-in-interest or assigns, whether such successors of assigns own, control, or otherwise have
development authority for all, a portion, or portions of that property included within the Project site.

SECTION 8. SEPARABILITY. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or portion of this Ordinance is held invalid or unconstitutional for any reason by any court of competent jurisdiction, such portion shall be deemed a separate, distinct and independent provision and such holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions.

SECTION 9. As of the effective date of this ordinance, all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SECTION 10. The City Council authorizes all subsequent action to be taken by City Officials consistent with this Ordinance.

SECTION 11. The City Clerk is hereby directed to certify to the passage of this Ordinance and to cause the same or a summary to be prepared in accordance with Government Code section 36933, to be published one time within 15 days of its passage in a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in the County and circulated in the City of Escondido.

SECTION 12. The Ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the date of the passage.

PASSED, ADOPTED AND APPROVED by the City Council of the City of Escondido at a regular meeting there of this $10^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2021 by the following vote to wit:

| AYES | : Councilmembers: GARCIA, INSCOE, MORASCO |
| :--- | :--- |
| NOES | : Councilmembers: MARTINEZ, MCNAMARA |
| ABSENT | $:$ Councilmembers: NONE |

APPROVED:

Paul MeNamara
PAUL MCNAMMAKA, Mayor of the
City of Escondido, California

## ATTEST:

ZACK BECK, City Clerk of the
City of Escondido, California

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA )

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO : ss. CITY OF ESCONDIDO )

I, Zack Beck, City Clerk of the City of Escondido, hereby certify that the foregoing ORDINANCE NO. 2021-02R passed at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Escondido held on the $10^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2021, after having been read at the regular meeting of said City Council held on the $27^{\text {th }}$ day of January, 2021.

ZACK BECK, City Clerk of the
City of Escondido, California

ORDINANCE NO. 2021-02R

## EXHIBIT "A"

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

## SUB 18-0011, PHG 18-0049, AND ENV 18-0009

APN 229-442-01-00
LOTS 18, 19 AND 20 IN BOOK 64 OF ESCONDIDO, CITY OF ESCONDIDO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO, 336, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, JULY 10, 1886

APN 229-442-02-00
LOTS 16 \& 17 IN BLOCK $64 \operatorname{IN}$ THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO, IN THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 336, ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY.

APNs 229-442-03-00 and 229-442-18-00
LOTS 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14 AND 15 EXCEPT THE WEST 3 FEET OF SAID LOT 6 , IN BLOCK 64 OF ESCONDIDO, IN THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO, 336, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, JULY 10, 1886.

APN 229-442-04-00
LOTS 11,12 AND 13 IN BLOCK 64 OF THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 336, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, JULY 10, 1886.

APN 229-450-05-00
BLOCK 338 OF PALOMAR MEMORIAL HOSPITAL SUBDIVISION, IN THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 2574, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, JUNE 1, 1949, TOGETHER WITH THAT PORTION OF HICKORY STREET ADJACENT TO SAID BLOCK 338 ON THE EAST AS VACATED AND CLOSED TO PUBLIC USE, A CERTIFIED COPY OF RESOLUTION NO: 82-209 RECORDED AUGUST 4. 1982 AS FILE NO. 1982-239658 AND RE-RECORDED AUGUST 27, 1982 AS FILE NO. 1982-266275, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 336, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, JULY 10, 1886.


#### Abstract

BLOCK 339 OF PALOMAR MEMORIAL HOSPITAL SUBDIVISION, IN THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 2574, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, JUNE 1, 1940, TOGETHER WITH THAT PORTION OF HICKORY STREET ADJACENT TO SAID BLOCK 339 ON THE WEST AS VACATED AND CLOSED TO PUBLIC USE, A CERTIFIED COPY OF RESOLUTION NO. 82-209 RECORDED AUGUST 4. 1982 AS FILE NO. 1982-239658 AND RE-RECORDED AUGUST 27. 1982 AS FILE NO. 1982-266275, ALSO THAT PORTION OF GRAPE STREET AND E. PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE ADJACENT TO SAID BLOCK 339 ON THE EAST, NORTHEAST AND NORTH AS VACATED AND CLOSED TO PUBLIC USE BY ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO NO. 764 RECORDED MAY 29, 1961 AND BY A CERTIFIED COPY OF RESOLUTION NO. 3791 RECORDED NOVEMBER 13, 1967 AS FILE NO. 178214.


APN 230-163-01-00
ALL THAT PORTION OF LOTS 9,10 , AND 11 OF BLOCK 34 AND OF THE EASTERLY ONE HALF OF GRAPE STREET ADJOINING SAID LOT 11 ON THE WEST AS VACATED AND CLOSED TO PUBLIC USE AND OF A PORTION OF OHIO AVENUE AS VACATED AND CLOSED TO PUBLIC USE IN PALOMAR MEMORIAL HOSPITAL SUBDIVISION, IN THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 2574, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, JULY 1, 1949, DESCRIBED AS A WHOLE AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT IN THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 11 WHICH IS DISTANT SOUTH $69^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ WEST ALONG THE SOUTHEASTERLY BOUNDARIES OF SAID LOTS 9,10 AND 11 A DISTANCE OF 125.00 FEET FROM THE MOST EASTERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 9; THENCE ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY BOUNDARY OF SAID LOT 11 AND THE SOUTHWESTERLY PROLONGATION THEREOF SOUTH $69^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 109.50 FEET TO THE CENTER LINE OF THE ABOVE MENTIONED GRAPE STREET; THENCE ALONG SAID CENTER LINE NORTH $11^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 19.45 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT THEREIN; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID CENTER LINE NORTH $3^{\circ} 20^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 141.02 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO AN INTERSECTION WITH A LINE BEARING SOUTH $86^{\circ} 40^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime}$ WEST FROM THE NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 11; THENCE

NORTH $86^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 40.00 FEET TO SAID NORTHWESTERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 11; THENCE ALONG THE NORTHERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 11 NORTH $69^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 25.14 FEET TO THE MOST WESTERLY CORNER OF SAID VACATED PORTION OF OHIO AVENUE; THENCE ALONG THE NORTHERLY BOUNDARY OF SAID VACATED PORTION OF OHIO AVENUE NORTH $43^{\circ} 05^{\circ} 40^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 30.04 FEET TO A POINT HEREIN DESIGNATED AS POINT "A"; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTHERLY BOUNDARY NORTH $43^{\circ} 05^{\circ} 40^{\circ}$ EAST 3.50 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT THEREIN; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTHERLY BOUNDARY NORTH $69^{\circ} 39^{\circ} 30^{\circ}$ EAST 75.00 FEET TO THE BEGINNING OF TANGENT 15.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE CONCAVE SOUTHWESTERLY; THENCE EASTERLY AND SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID CURVE THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $90^{\circ} 08^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime}$ TO THE POINT OF TANGENCY, SAID POINT OF TANGENCY BEING ALSO A POINT IN THE WESTERLY BOUNDARY OF FIG STREET BOUNDING SAID LOT 9 ON THE EAST; THENCE ALONG SAID WESTERLY BOUNDARY OF SAID FIG STREET, SOUTH $20^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 49.70 FEET TO A POINT IN SAID WESTERLY BOUNDARY DISTANT THEREON NORTH $20^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 90.20 FEET FROM THE MOST EASTERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 9 ; THENCE PARALLEL WITH THE SOUTHEASTERLY BOUNDARY OF SAID LOTS 9, 10, AND 11, SOUTH $69^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 83.00 FEET TO A POINT HEREIN DESIGNATED AS POINT "B"; THENCE CONTINUING along said parallel line south $69^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 42.00 FEET; THENCE PARALLEL WITH THE EASTERLY BOUNDARY OF SAID LOT 9, SOUTH $20^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 90.20 FEET TO THE SOUTHEASTERLY BOUNDARY OF SAID LOT 11 AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING. TOGETHER WITH THAT PORTION OF THE SOUTHEASTERLY HALF OF EAST OHIO AVENUE AS VACATED AND CLOSED TO PUBLIC USE BY RESOLUTION NO. 74-211 TO THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO RECORDED OCTOBER 21, 1974 AS FILE NO. 1974-280073, OFFICIAL RECORDS.

ALL THAT PORTION OF LOTS 9, 10 AND 11 OF BLOCK 34 OF PALOMAR MEMORIAL HOSPITAL SUBDIVISION, IN THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF 2574 , FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID SAN DIEGO COUNTY, JULY 1, 1949, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF SAID LOT 9; THENCE ALONG THE EASTERLY BOUNDARY THEREOF NORTH $20^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 90.20 FEET; THENCE PARALLEL WITH THE SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY OF SAID LOT 9, 10 AND 11 , SOUTH $69^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 125.00 FEET; THENCE PARALLEL WITH THE EASTERLY BOUNDARY OF SAID LOT 9 SOUTH $20^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 90.20 FEET TO THE SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY OF LOT 11; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY OF LOTS 11, 10 AND 9, NORTH $69^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 30^{\circ} 125.00$ FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

APN 230-163-03-00

LOTS 7 AND 8 AND THE EASTERLY 20 FEET OF LOT 6, OF BLOCK 34 OF PALOMAR MEMORIAL HOSPITAL SUBDIVISION, IN THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 2574, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY ON JULY 1, 1949.

APN 230-163-04-00
LOT 6 IN BLOCK 34 OF PALOMAR MEMORIAL HOSPITAL SUBDIVISION, IN THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP NO. 2574, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, JULY 01, 1949.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THE EASTERLY 20 FEET THEREOF.

APN 230-163-05-00
LOT 5, BLOCK 34, PALOMAR MEMORIAL HOSPITAL SUBDIVISION, IN THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF NO. 2574, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, JULY 1, 1949.

TOGETHER WITH THE SOUTHEASTERLY HALF OF THAT PORTION OF GRAPE STREET LYING NORTHWESTERLY OF SAID LOT 5 AS CLOSED BY ORDER OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO, A CERTIFIED COPY OF SAID ORDER BEING RECORDED MAY 29, 1961 AS FILE NO. 92029.

# EXHIBIT "B" <br> SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENT <br> SUB 18-0011 

Remove and replace the following pages of the Downtown Specific Plan with those provided herein:

Page II-12
Page III-26
Page III-28
Page V-4
Page V-5

Land LIses



Page II-12

## (Page 1 of 2 )

Land-Use District Development Standard Matrix

|  | HD | PV | CCU | GT | M | SG | CN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Building Height and Stories <br> REFER TO FIGURE III-6 | 45' (up to 3 stories) along <br> Grand Avenue in Retail Core Area <br> $75^{\prime}$ (up to 5 stories) east of Valley Boulevard and noth of $E$. Valley Parkway 60' (up to 4 stories) in all other locations (see Figure III-6) | 35' (up to 2 stories)between Washington and Woodward Ave $75^{\prime}$ (up to 5 stories) east side of Broadway85' (upto 6 stories) within Grape Day Park, Civic \& Cultural areas with Planned Development approval |  | 60 ' (up to 4 stories) west of NCDT Rail line; elsewhere up to 75 (up to 5 stones) (see Figure III-6) | $75^{\circ}$ (up to 5 stories) north of Grand AAvenue, $60^{\prime}$ (up to 4 stories) south of Grand Avenue (see Figure III.6) | 75 (up to 5 stories) between CCP and Maple Street. $60^{\prime}$ (up to 4 stories) betweer Maple Street and Broadway Historic Adaptive Reuse: 35 (up to 2 stories) (see Figure III-6) | $75^{\prime}$ (up to 5 stories) (see Figure III-6) |
| Min. Lot Frontage | None | $50^{\prime}$ | $50^{\prime}$ | $50^{\prime}$ | $50^{\prime}$ | $50^{\prime}$ | $50^{\circ}$ |
| Front Setback | At right of way or 14 from ultimate street curb face, whichever is greater (Suface Parking and Signs: 5 ' from property line) | At right of way or $14^{\prime}$ from ultimate street curb face, whichever is greater (Suface Parking and Signs: 5 ' from property line) | At right of way or 14 ' from ultimate street curb face, whichever is greater (Sufface Parking and Signs: 5 from property line) | At right of way or 14 from ultimate street curb face, whichever is greater (Surface Parking and Signs: 5 from property line) | At right of way or 14 from ultimate street curb face, whichever is greater (Surface Parking and Signs: 5 from property line) | At right of way or 14 from ultimate street curb face, whichever is greater (20'-24' for garages) Adaptive Reuse Area: 15 for buildings $20^{\prime}$ for garages (Surface Parking and Signs: 5 ' from property line) | At right of way or 14 from ultimate street curb face, whichever is greater (Garage entrance: 20) (Suface Parking and Signs: 5 ' from property line) |
| Interior Side Setback | $\begin{gathered} \text { None } \\ \text { (Surface Parking } \\ \text { and Signs: 5') } \end{gathered}$ | None (Surface Parking and Signs: 5') | None (Sufface Parking and Signs 5) and Signs 5) | None (Surace Parking and Signs 5) and Signs 5) | None (Surface Parking and Signs: $5^{\prime}$ ) | None (Surface Parking and Signs: 5 ') (Adaptive Reuse Area 5 ) | Pernsylvanía Ave : 0 Elsewhere: $5+5$ ' for each story over 2 (Suface Parking and Signs 5) |

Page III-26


Page III-28

# Downtown Spearific Plan 

Land Ulise Districts<br>Historic Downtown

## 2. LOCATION

The Historic Downtown District encompasses approximately 170 acres generally located between Second and Third Avenues on the south, Valley Parkway on the north, Centre City Parkway on the west and Palomar Hospital on the east. The District includes over six blocks on both sides of Grand Avenue, which has been historically classified as Escondido's 'Retail Core Area'. Valley Parkway and Second Avenue provide convenient vehicular access to the Downtown Retail Core and to off-street parking lots, while Grand Avenue brings users to the center of activity and offers convenient on-street parking. A pedestrian trail connects the District to the Escondido Transit Center and citywide trail system, while pedestrian walkways and arcade pass-throughs and 'paseos' provide convenient pedestrian connections within Downtown.


FIGURE V-2
Areas east and west of the Downtown Retail Core provide for expansion and development of offices and services. Businesses in these areas include a full range of professional and medical office uses, but might involve retail establishments based on market demand. Nonresidential ground-floor uses are required throughout the Retail Core Area. Mixed-use developments, with residential uses on upper floors, are encouraged for areas east of Maple Street. A larger concentration of financial and office development is located generally west of Maple Street. This area is intended for more intense non-residential commercial and office development to support a larger downtown workforce.

## Downtown Specific Plan

## Land Use Districts Historic Downtown

Palomar Health Downtown Campus is located in the eastern area of the district and comprises a multi-story medical structure, parking garage, and emergency helicopter pad. A Memorandum of Understanding be-tween the City and the Palomar Medical District outlines major reno-vations for the downtown hospital involving the construction of intern housing, support medical, office, and related uses. The Memorandum of Understanding expired in 2020.


## 3. LAND USES

Refer to the following for more information:
Figure II-2: Permitted and Conditional Uses
Figure II-3: Matrix of Permitted Residential Units
Figure II-4: Locations for Residential Land Uses
Figure II-5: Residential Densities in the Downtown SPA
a. Inside the Retail Core Area

Pedestrian-oriented active-retail uses are highly encouraged. 'Active-retail uses' involve the sale of a physical product to a consumer on the premises. Office and residential uses are encouraged on upper floors in order to maximize the usability of existing buildings and expand the customer base within the downtown area. Certain ground-floor offices that do not front directly on Grand Avenue are allowed provided attention is given to pedestrian design features and that a retail component meets criteria consistent with Figure V-2a.

- Ground-floor properties oriented toward Grand Avenue shall devote the entire Grand Avenue frontage, as well as a minimum of one-fourth of the building depth or 25 feet of the front portion ground-level area (whichever is greater), for pedestrian-oriented active retail/restaurant uses.

LEGEND:
BUILDING NUMBER 8
ELEVATION STYLE
$\oplus$ PROPOSED PIV/FDC LOCATIONS

ESCONDIDO, CA
INTEGRAL COMMUNITIES
2235 Encinitas Blvd., Suite 216
Encinitas, CA 92024
(760) 944-75।।

| PROJECT SUMMARY |  | PRODUCT MIX |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APN | 2294500600 | FAMILY APARTMENTS (4-STORY + MEZZ) |  |  |  |
|  | 2294420100,200,300,400 | 129 | Plan I | IBD/IBA | 600 SF |
|  | 2294421800 | 96 | PLAN 2 | 2BD/2BA | 1150 SF |
| SITE AREA | 13.84 AC | 33 | PLAN 3 | 3BD/2BA | 1450 SF |
| RESIDENCES | 510 UNITS | 258 TOTAL |  |  |  |
| DENSITY 36.8 DUIAC <br> LOT COVERAGE |  | SENIOR APARTMENTS (4-STORY) |  |  |  |
|  |  | 26 |  | studio/IBA | 550 SF |
| FAR BUILDING HEIGHT | ${ }_{60} 0^{1.01}$ |  | PLAN2 | IBD/IBA | 600 SF |
|  |  | 291511 | PLAN 3 | IBD/BA | 650 SF |
|  |  |  | PLAN 4 | 2BD/IBA | 730 SF |
| PRODUCT:(258) 4-STORY+MEZZANINE FAMILY APARTMENTS |  | 90 TOTAL |  |  | 800 SF |
|  |  |  |
| (90) 4-STORY SENIOR APARTMENTS <br> (72) 3-STORY ROWHOMES |  |  |  |  | ROWHOMES (3-STORY) |  |  |  |
| (72) 3-STORY ROWHOMES <br> (90) 3 -STORY VILAS |  | 22 | PLAN I | $38 \mathrm{D} / 2.58 \mathrm{~A}$ | 1.415 SF |
| RECREATION BUILDING |  | 22 | PLAN2 | 28D/2.5BA | ${ }^{1,49555}$ |
| POOL BUILDING 10,000 SF COMMERCIAL SPACE |  | 12 | PLAN3 | 3 38D/3BA | 1.7955 SF |
|  |  | 16 | PLAN 4 | 3BD/3BA | 1.875 SF |
|  |  | 72 TOTAL |  |  |  |
| Project areas |  | VILAS (3-STORY) |  |  |  |
| RESIDENTAL | 539,593 SF | 55 | PLANI | 2BD/2BA | 1,104 SF |
| garage | 2328.89858 | 18 | PLAN2 | 3BD/2.5BA | 1.405 SF |
| RECREATION | 4,520 SF | 17 | PLAN 3 | 3BD/3BA | 1.646 SF |
| COMMERCIAL | 10,000 SF |  |  |  |  |

LEGEND:
BULIDING NUMBER -8 - $1-\mathrm{A}$ elevation style $\oplus$ PROPOSED PIVFDC LOCATIONS
ULLDING SUMMARY

AMILY APARTMENTS (TYPE VA) | UILDING SUMMARY |
| :--- |
| FAMILY APARTMENTS (TYPE VA) |
| BUILDING I $98,372 \mathrm{SF}$ | BUILDING $23 \quad$ 200,675 SF SENIOR APARTMENTS (TYPE VA).

BUILDING $24 \quad 113,506$ SF \begin{tabular}{l}
ROWHOMES (TTPE VB) <br>
SULDING A <br>
$\quad 16.385$ SF <br>
\hline

 

\(\begin{array}{ll}BUULDING A <br>

BULDING B\end{array}\) \& | $16,385 \mathrm{se}$ |
| :--- |
| $13,763 \mathrm{SF}$ | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular} VILLAS (TYPE VB) ${ }^{19,960 \text { SF }}$

 BULDING A
BUIDING B

 E
楊

| PRODUCT MIX |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FAMIL Y APARTMENTS (4-STORY + MEZZ) |  |  |  |
| 129 | PLANI | IBD/IBA | 600 SF |
| 96 | PLAN2 | 2BD/2BA | 1150 SF |
| 33 | PLAN3 | 3BD/2BA | 1450 SF |
| 258 TOTAL |  |  |  |
| SENIOR APARTMENTS (4-STORY) |  |  |  |
| 26 | PLANI | Studio/iba | 550 SF |
| 29 | PLAN 2 | IBDIIBA | 600 SF |
| 15 | PLAN 3 | IBD/BA | 650 SF |
| 11 | PLAN 4 | 2BD/IBA | 730 SF |
| 9 | PLAN5 | 2BD/2BA | 800 SF |
| 90 TOTAL |  |  |  |
| ROWHOMES (3-STORY) |  |  |  |
| 22 | PLANI | 3BD/2.5BA | 1.415 SF |
| 22 | PLAN2 | 28D/2.5 BA | 1,495 SF |
| 12 | PLAN 3 | 3BD/3BA | 1,795 SF |
| 16 | PLAN 4 | 3BD/3BA | 1.875 SF |
| 72 TOTAL |  |  |  |
| VILAS (3-STORY) |  |  |  |
| 55 | PLANI | 2BD/2BA | I,104 SF |
| 18 | PLAN2 | 3BD/2.5BA | 1,405 SF |
| 17 | PLAN 3 | 3BD/3BA | 1.646 SF |
| 90 TOTAL |  |  |  |
| PARKING REQUIRED |  |  |  |
|  | WELING |  |  |



## Man

 $1732 \mathrm{BD} \times 1.75=$$83 \mathrm{BD} \times 2=$
$0 \times .25=$
UBTOTAL UBTOTAL
ENIOR



 UBTOTAL
OMMRCIAL
TREET
30 SPACES $\begin{array}{ll}\text { COMMERCIAL } & \\ \begin{array}{ll}\text { STRET } \\ \text { ROWHOMES }\end{array} & 30 \text { SPACES } \\ \text { OPEN } & 18 \text { SPACES }\end{array}$ SARAGET 162 SPAC
SIILTAS
VIAL $\begin{array}{ll}\text { VILLAS } & 22 \text { SPACES } \\ \text { OPRN } & 2 \\ \text { GARAGE } & 180 \text { SPACES } \\ \text { SUBTOTAL } & 202 \text { SPACES } 2 .\end{array}$
















OR APPLICATION


ACCENT - THUNDER GRAY SW 7645
BRICK VENEER - SPECIAL USED BRICK - GLACIE
(5)(6)(4)(囚)(6)(4)(6)



INTEGRAL COMMUNITIES
2235 Encinitas Blvd., Suite 216
Encinitas, CA 92024
$(760) 944-7511$






(






SECTION


## SHEET INDEX




 (S1) STUCCO 1 - PURE WHITE SW 7005 STUCCO 3 - AT EASE SOLDIER SW 9127 STUCCO 4-GRANITE PEAK SW 6250
STUCCO 5-ANONYMOUS SW 7046 STUCCO 5 - ANONTMOUS
SIDING 1 - DORIAN GRAY SW 7017
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## EXHIBIT "D"

## FINDINGS OF FACT

## SUB 18-0011, PHG 18-0049, AND ENV 18-0009

## Specific Plan Amendment Determinations:

1. The public health, safety and welfare would not be adversely affected by the proposed amendment to the Downtown Specific Plan because the amendment would refine and clarify development standards in a manner that would allow a development that would assist in ongoing efforts to promote an economically vibrant downtown with high-density residential uses in close proximity to the heart of downtown Escondido.
2. The proposed amendment to the Downtown Specific Plan would not be detrimental to surrounding properties since it would assist in implementation of the vision and goals identified in the Specific Plan. The amendment would have no effect on the residential density allowed in the area and would not change the list of permitted or conditionally permitted commercial uses identified in the Specific Plan. Additionally, the amendment would accommodate a development that would increase the residential population within walking distance of commercial goods and services on historic Grand Avenue and elsewhere in the vicinity, providing a mutually beneficial relationship between the two uses.
3. The proposed amendment to the Downtown Specific Plan would be consistent with the General Plan because it would not increase residential densities allowed by the General Plan and would not detrimentally impact levels of service on area roadways. Further, the amendment would retain the General Plan vision for Specific Plan Area \#9 which states that Downtown Specific Plan shall provide a range of retail, office, financial, cultural and residential opportunities, and would align with the guiding principles identified in the General Plan Land Use Element. The specific plan goal to create an efficiently organized, aesthetically pleasing and vibrant downtown is further enabled and enhanced as a result of the proposed amendment.
4. A specific plan is a tool for the systematic implementation of the General Plan. It effectively establishes a link between implementing policies of the General Plan and the individual Project proposal. A General Plan consistency analysis has been provided, attached to the January 27, 2021, City Council staff report, which is incorporated herein by this reference as though fully set forth herein. The Project would:
(a) promote infill development and equity by rehabilitating, maintaining, and improving existing infrastructure that supports infill development and appropriate reuse and redevelopment of previously developed, underutilized land that is presently served by transit, streets, water, sewer, and other essential services;
(b) encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that any infrastructure associated with development, use land efficiently, and is located in an area appropriately planned for growth.
(c) Minimizes ongoing costs to taxpayers through the establishment of a CFD or other funding mechanism.
5. Specific plan amendments must comply with the scope and authority of section 65450 to 65457 of the California Government Code. The City Council finds that the proposed Specific Plan Amendment has been completed in compliance with the law.

## Master and Precise Development Plan Determinations:

1. The location, design, and residential density of the proposed Project are consistent with the goals and policies of the Escondido General Plan and the Downtown Specific Plan because higher density residential and mixed-use development is permitted and encouraged in the Downtown Specific Plan. The Project would assist the city's efforts to revitalize downtown area by allowing flexibility from certain development requirements contained in the Downtown Specific Plan in a manner that is appropriate for the site.
2. The location of the Project allows it to be well integrated with its surroundings by providing a mixture of housing types that are compatible with the densities and land uses permitted by the zoning designations of the surrounding properties. The Project proposes larger and higher density buildings on the western side, closest to the urban core where such buildings are appropriate, and smaller, lower density buildings toward the east side, adjacent to other lower density properties with lower profile designs. Adequate parking, circulation, utilities and access would be provided for the development (as detailed in the staff report).
3. The mixed-use project would not be out of character with the surrounding area because the Historic Downtown District of the Downtown Specific Plan envisions an urban environment with new development in appropriate locations that provides higher densities where pedestrian plazas and other human-scale amenities are encouraged. The Project proposes a limited amount of commercial space in order to allow existing commercial uses in the vicinity the opportunity to take advantage of the increased consumer base that the residential units would create.
4. All vehicular traffic generated by the proposed development would be accommodated safely and without causing undue congestion upon adjoining streets by making necessary improvements to street segments and intersections as determined necessary by the traffic study prepared for the Project.
5. The overall design of the proposed residential development would produce an attractive, efficient and stable environment for living, since adequate residential amenities, parking, and landscaping would be provided, and the design of the development is not inconsistent with a high quality, urban infill project that will provide housing opportunities within walking distance
of downtown commercial and retail services consistent with the City's vision for the downtown area. The Project would incorporate a mix of colors and materials appropriate for its size, scale and location. The Project would include sufficient on-site open space amenities appropriate for a development of its size, including a central recreation area with a pool and spa, a dog park, pocket parks, landscaped walkways, and public and semi-public plazas.
6. The proposed location and design will allow residents and business establishments proposed as part of the Project to be serviced by existing or proposed public facilities and services and will not place an undue or negative impact on existing public facilities and services. This will be accomplished through the funding of all on-going operational costs of providing municipal services required for the Project, the amount of such funding to be determined by the City Council at the time of Project approval. Such funding shall occur through either an agreement to form or annex into a Community Facilities District (CFD) or the establishment of another lawful funding mechanism reasonably acceptable to the City, and the provisions of such agreement shall specify any terms and limitations necessary to implement the CFD or other funding mechanism to offset the impacts to municipal services associated with the Project.
7. Utilizing the Planned Development process allows flexibility from the Downtown Specific Plan requirements to facilitate a mixed-use residential and commercial project in the urban core. The project would provide residential opportunities in balance with existing commercial uses in the Project area, which creates an environment of sustained desirability and stability through the controls offered and regulated through the Planned Development process.
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