

City Council Meeting

Public Comments

March 6, 2024

Board or Commission for Public Comment City Council

Email <u>chucklowery@me.com</u> Council Meeting Date 3/6/24 Item # Oral Communication Subject Policy Statement on Homelessness Position In Opposition First and Last Name Chuck Lowery Are you an Escondido Resident? Yes Comments

This message is for the entire City Council, City Manager, City Attorney, and Chief of Police.

As a former Escondido resident, I am disappointed to see the Council take a step backwards. As a former Oceanside City Councilmember / Deputy Mayor, I spent many hours working with Escondido councilmembers at SANDAG, the Innovate 78 project, Caltrans, and numerous other local agencies.

The homeless situation was significant then (2014-2018) when I visited Interfaith facilities. I have since toured their operation and found Interfaith continuing to fill a tremendous need in your community.

I find it ironic that an almost bankrupt city wants to take on the State of California and the Federal government to show them who's really in charge. Your repeated idea of operating your own homeless facility is a pipe dream. There's no money available to make that happen and your plan to shut down Interfaith will stop you from getting State and Federal funding for your needs. I suggest you take steps forward rather than going in reverse. Use your excess funds and return support for Interfaith to help your community address homelessness.

I appreciated the statement from one Escondido councilmember who said "If we can't get money from the usual agencies, we'll get it somewhere else." I imagine your City Attorney should explain to you that when the State cuts off funding, it's because you're violating regulations. It's not some toothless random action. The State won't stand around while you look for other funding sources.

Ask your attorney what the State can do to your city when you offer zero services to your homegrown homeless population.

In conclusion, the most cost effective approach to your made-up problem is to do the math, consider the consequences, then reassure Interfaith that you want them to continue operating in the community. I'm certain you know how to do that.

Thank you for your attention to this immediate situation.

Chuck Lowery. Oceanside CA

Board or Commission for Public Comment City Council

Email RobMHseniors@Yahoo.com

Council Meeting Date March 06 2024 Wednesday 5PM Item #12 PUBLIC HEARING Subject Long-Form Rent Review Board Hearing Position In Opposition First and Last Name Bob Wise Are you an Escondido Resident? Yes

Comments

I submitted 5 pages of opposition in the white Public Documents Notebook to this March 6 2024 Escondido City Council Public Hearing #12. It is NOT a "Long-Form" Financial Audit as has been historically used -AND- is required for our 1988 PROP K "Long-Form" Law in Escondido.

Many times the RSG REPORT 02.08.2024 , said the Park Owner's Application is still lacking & is incomplete at pages #1 to #11 .

We are shocked & alarmed that RSG as a neutral auditor makes a very misleading and probably FALSE statement at the end of page#1 to top of page#2 :"NOTE THAT THE APPLICANTS WITHDRAWAL OF THE REQUEST FOR RENT INCREASE BASED ON THE CHANGE IN PARK OPERATING EXPENSES BENEFITS THE RESIDENTS ">>>>>> Shame on RSG The incomplete application does not allow for this conclusion ! !

RSG knows VERY WELL that if the final year MNOI NET IMCOME is higher above the 60% CPI indexing adjustment from the basis year NET Operating INCOME, then NO RENT INCREASE IS NEEDED when the MNOI Calculation is finished with the increased REVENUE & INCOME. This Park Owner shows very much + {22.7%} NET INCOME increased as can be derived from the bottom of the RSG REPORT C-6 Table for TOTAL REVENUE & average per lot increased since 2017 RRB Data.