

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD

RECORD OF ACTIONS

August 25, 2011

A. Call to Order 9:00 a.m.

Board members present: Carol Bell, Rob James, Sandy MacDougall, Carol Rea, Karl Ulle and Merle Watson

Board member absent: Ed McArdle

Staff present: Rozanne Cherry

B. Record of Actions August 11, 2011 Meeting

MOTION: Moved by S. MacDougall, seconded by M. Watson to approve the minutes.

APPROVED: 6-0-0

C. Oral and Written Communications – None

D. Consent Calendar – None

E. Individual Case Review:

1. PHG11-0011, Modification to a wireless communication facility to replace the existing panel antennas with 12, new, 8-foot-high antennas on a simulated tree at Emmanuel Faith Church, 1725 Encino Drive.

Applicant: James Kennedy, AT&T (Technology Associates), 5473 Kearny Villa Road, Suite 300, San Diego, CA 92123

Planner: Jay Paul

Staff described the project which included replacing the existing 6 panel antennas with 12 eight-foot panel antennas on an existing faux tree. Staff recommended re-branching the existing tree for a fuller look and to help screen the panels.

The applicant indicated that the existing tree fails the structural analysis calculations for re-branching and that the tree would have to be replaced if re-branched. He presented additional photo simulation, photos and suggested adding foliage socks with leaves to the panels.

MOTION: Moved by K. Ulle., seconded by M. Watson to approve the applicant's proposal to add socks with leaves on the panels and to use the existing tree structure.

MOTION CARRIED: 6-0-0

2. ADM11-0092, Proposed Second Dwelling Unit attached to an existing residence in the Old Escondido Neighborhood, Zoned R-1-6, 615 E. 9th Avenue

Applicant: Myrla Wico, 615 E. 9th Avenue, Escondido, CA 92025

Planner: Darren Parker

Staff described the project which included legalizing an addition and converting the addition to a 440 SF second dwelling unit. Staff indicated that the residence was located in the Old Escondido Neighborhood, surrounded by single-family residences on all sides and that the residence was not visible from 9th Avenue or from the alley. Staff also indicated that the existing residence was built in 1999 and that the windows on the addition would match the existing residence. Staff recommended approval of the addition and converting it to a second dwelling unit with the condition that the walls on the addition be stucco to match the existing house.

Board member Watson confirmed that the roof on the addition was a flat roof. Vice-Chair Ulle discussed the setbacks and parking requirements for a second dwelling unit. Board member Rea clarified that the structure next to the alley was a trellis patio cover. She was disappointed that the addition did not match the design of the existing house. Chairman Bell felt that the addition was poorly constructed and supported staff recommendation to provide a stucco finish to the second dwelling unit. Board member MacDougall further discussed the second dwelling unit requirements.

MOTION: Moved by M. Watson, seconded by S. MacDougall to approve the project subject to staff's recommendation.

MOTION CARRIED: 6-0-0

F. Current Business.

1. Staff noted that the final draft for the City Council Work Program goes back to the City Council on September 14, 2011. Board member Rea discussed the City Council meeting on August 17, 2011 and noted that Council member Diaz was in support of the Design Review Board, and that she had also invited all City Council members to attend a Design Review Board meeting. She suggested that Design Review Board members send letters to the editor, and mentioned that she also talked to Deputy Mayor Marie Waldron, who seemed to also support the Design Review Board. Board member Ulle shared a newspaper article with the board regarding image as a city goal. The Board concurred that a formal letter from the entire board to the City Council was needed. Board member James suggested sending a copy of the letter to

the media along with individual letters. He also emphasized that the letters should address points that would be in the City's best interest.

- G. Oral Communications – None.
- H. Board member discussion –None. Board member Watson noted that the CarMax building looked great and that the Paramount landscaped wall along Center City Parkway was constructed. Board member Rea noted that the site for the car wash on the corner of Washington and Mission was still vacant and that the Design Review was not holding them up.
- I. Adjournment at 9:37 a.m. to the next regularly scheduled Design Review Board meeting to be held on September 8, 2011, at 9 a.m. at City Hall in Training Room 1, 201 North Broadway, Escondido, CA.

Carol Bell, Chairman
of the Design Review Board

Rozanne Cherry, Secretary
of the Design Review Board