City of Escondido PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (PDP) SWQMP

| Α | SSESSOR'S PA | ARCEL NUMBER(| (S): |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | ENGINEE | R OF WORK: | |
| | | | |
| | PREPA | RED FOR: | _ |
| | | | _ _ _ |
| | PDP SWQMP | PREPARED BY: | _ |
| | DATE O | F SWQMP: | |
| PLANS PREPARE | :D BV: | SIMO | — MP APPROVED BY: |
| FLANS FREFARE | | | PPROVAL DATE: |



This page was left intentionally blank. Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date:

PDP SWQMP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| TABLE OF CO | ONTENTS | ii |
|-------------|--|----|
| ATTACHMEN | ITS | iv |
| ACRONYMS. | | iv |
| PDP SWQMP | PREPARER'S CERTIFICATION PAGE | ٠١ |
| SUBMITTAL F | RECORD | V |
| PROJECT VI | CINITY MAP | vi |
| Step 1: Pro | oject type determination | 1 |
| Step 1.1: | Storm Water Quality Management Plan requirements | 1 |
| Step 1.2: | Exemption to PDP definitions | 2 |
| Step 1.3: | Confirmation of PDP Determination | 3 |
| Step 2: Cit | ty of Escondido PDP SWQMP Site Information Checklist | 5 |
| Step 2.1: | Description of Existing Site Condition and Drainage Patterns | 5 |
| Step 2.2: | Description of Existing Site Drainage Patterns | 6 |
| Step 2.3: | Description of Proposed Site Development | 7 |
| Step 2.4: | Description of Proposed Site Drainage Patterns | 8 |
| Step 2.5: | Potential Pollutant Source Areas | g |
| Step 2.6: | Identification of Receiving Water and Pollutants of Concern | 10 |
| Step 2.7: | Hydromodification Management Requirements | 11 |
| Step 2.7. | 1: Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas | 12 |
| Step 2.7.2 | 2: Flow Control for Post-Project Runoff | 13 |
| Step 2.8: | Other Site Requirements and Constraints | 14 |
| Step 3: So | ource Control BMP Checklist | 15 |
| Step 4: Sit | e Design BMP Checklist | 17 |
| Step 5: Su | ımmary of Structural BMPs | 19 |
| Sten 5.1 | Offsite Alternative Compliance Participation Form | 22 |

Template Date: October 2022

PDP SWQMP

ber 2022 Preparation Date:

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Backup for PDP Pollutant Control BMPs

Attachment 1a: Storm Water Pollutant Control Worksheet Calculations (Applicable

worksheets)

Attachment 1b: Form I-8, Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition

Attachment 1c: Form I-9, Factor of Safety and Design Infiltration Rate Worksheet

Attachment 1d: Drainage Management Area (DMA) Exhibit Attachment 1e: Individual Structural BMP DMA Mapbook

Attachment 2: Backup for PDP Hydromodification Control Measures

Attachment 2a: Flow Control Facility Design

Attachment 2b: Hydromodification Management Exhibit

Attachment 2c: Management of Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas Attachment 2d: Geomorphic Assessment of Receiving Channels (optional)

Attachment 2e: Vector Control Plan (if applicable)

Attachment 3: Structural BMP Maintenance Plan

Attachment 3a: Structural BMP Maintenance Thresholds and Actions

Attachment 3b: Draft Maintenance Agreements / Notifications (when applicable)

Attachment 4: City of Escondido PDP Structural BMP Verification

Attachment 5: Copy of Plan Sheets Showing Permanent Storm Water BMPs

ACRONYMS

ACP Alternative Compliance Project APN Assessor's Parcel Number BMP **Best Management Practice** Drainage Management Area DMA

EOW Engineer of Work

HMP Hydromodification Management Plan

Hydrologic Soil Group HSG

Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System MS4

Not Applicable N/A

Priority Development Project PDP Professional Engineer PΕ

SC Source Control SD Site Design

San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board SDRWQCB

Standard Industrial Classification SIC SWDM Storm Water Design Manual

SWQMP Storm Water Quality Management Plan

US Geological Survey USGS

Watershed Management Area Analysis WMAA

Water Quality Improvement Plan WQIP

| Template Date: October 2022 | Preparation Date: |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| PDP SWQMP | iv |

PDP SWQMP PREPARER'S CERTIFICATION PAGE

| Project Name: Permit Number: | |
|--|---|
| PR | EPARER'S CERTIFICATION |
| management practices (BMPs) for the design of the BMPs as defined that the design is consistent with t Design Manual, which is a design Code (Chapter 22, Article 2) and re | pineer in Responsible Charge of design of storm water best his project, and that I have exercised responsible charge over in Section 6703 of the Business and Professions Code, and the PDP requirements of the City of Escondido Storm Water manual for compliance with the City of Escondido Municipal gional MS4 Permit (California Regional Water Quality Control R9-2013-0001 as amended by R9-2015-0001 and R9-2015-management. |
| managing urban runoff, including s the Storm Water Design Manual. I of my ability and accurately reflects to minimize the potentially negative quality. I understand and acknowle staff is confined to a review and do | e City of Escondido has adopted minimum requirements for orm water, from land development activities, as described in certify that this PDP SWQMP has been completed to the best he project being proposed and the applicable BMPs proposed impacts of this project's land development activities on water dge that the plan check review of this PDP SWQMP by City es not relieve me, as the Engineer in Responsible Charge of a project, of my responsibilities for project design. |
| Engineer of Work's Signature, PE N | lumber & Expiration Date |
| | |
| Print Name | |
| Company | |
| Date | Engineer's Seal: |
| | |
| Template Date: October 2022 | Preparation Date: |

PDP SWQMP

SUBMITTAL RECORD

Use this Table to keep a record of submittals of this PDP SWQMP. Each time the PDP SWQMP is re-submitted, provide the date and status of the project. In column 4 summarize the changes that have been made or indicate if response to plancheck comments is included. When applicable, insert response to plancheck comments behind this page.

Preliminary Design / Planning / CEQA

| Submittal Number | Date | Summary of Changes |
|---------------------|------|--------------------|
| 1 | | Initial Submittal |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |

Final Design

| Submittal Number | Date | Summary of Changes |
|---------------------|------|--------------------|
| 1 | | Initial Submittal |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |

Plan Changes

| Submittal Number | Date | Summary of Changes |
|---------------------|------|--------------------|
| 1 | | Initial Submittal |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |

Step 1: Project type determination

| Site Information Checklist for PDPs Form I-2a | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Project Summary Information | | | | | | |
| Project Name | | | | | | |
| Project Address | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Assessor's Parcel Number(s) | | | | | | |
| Permit Number | | | | | | |
| Project Watershed (Hydrologic Unit) | Select One: | | | | | |
| | ☐ Carlsbad 904 | | | | | |
| D I A | □ San Dieguito 905 | | | | | |
| Parcel Area | Acres (| Square Feet) | | | | |
| (total area of Assessor's Parcel(s) associated with the project) | \ | , | | | | |
| Area to be disturbed by the project | | | | | | |
| (Project Area) | Acres (| Square Feet) | | | | |
| Project Proposed Impervious Area | | | | | | |
| (subset of Project Area) | Acres (| Square Feet) | | | | |
| Project Proposed Pervious Area | A | 0 | | | | |
| (subset of Project Area) | | Square Feet) | | | | |
| Note: Proposed Impervious Area + Proposed Pervious Area = Area to be Disturbed by the Project. | | | | | | |
| This may be less than the Parcel Area. | This may be less than the Parcel Area. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Step 1.1: Storm Water Quality Management Plan requirements

| Site Information | Site Information Checklist for PDPs | | Form I-2a |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Step | Answer | Progression | |
| Is the project a Standard Project, Priority Development Project (PDP), or exception to PDP definitions? | ☐ Standard Project | Standard Project req Complete Form I-1. | uirements apply. |
| To answer this item, complete Step 1 Project Type Determination Checklist on Pages 3 and 4, and see PDP exemption information below. | □ PDP | Standard and PDP reincluding PDP SWQN SWQMP Required. | |
| For further guidance, see Section 1.4 of the Storm Water Design Manual <i>in its entirety</i> . | □ PDP with ACP | If participating in offs compliance, comple Alternative Complian Form) and an ACP S | te Step 5.1 (Offsite ce Participation |
| | ☐ PDP | Go to Step 1.2 below | W. |
| | Exemption | | |

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: ________ 1 of 68

Step 1.2: Exemption to PDP definitions

| and the second s | | | |
|--|----------------|---|--|
| Site Information Checklist for PDPs | | Form I-2a | |
| Is the project exempt from PDP definitions based on either of the following: □ Projects that are only new or retrofit paved sidewalks, bicycle lanes, or trails that meet the following criteria: | requi any a | dard Project rements apply, AND additional requirements ific to the type of | |
| (i) Designed and constructed to direct storm water runoff to adjacent vegetated areas, or other non-erodible permeable areas; OR (ii) Designed and constructed to be hydraulically disconnected from paved streets or roads [i.e., runoff from the new improvement does not drain directly onto paved streets or roads]; OR (iii) Designed and constructed with permeable pavements or surfaces in accordance with County of San Diego Green Streets Infrastructure; | | project. City concurrence with the exemption is required. Provide discussion and list any additional requirements below in this form. | |
| Projects that are only retrofitting or redeveloping existing paved alleys, streets or roads that are designed and constructed in accordance with the County of San Diego Green Streets Infrastructure; | PDP | Exempt. | |
| Discussion / justification, and additional requirements for exceptions to PDP | definiti | ons, if applicable: | |
| | | | |

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: _______ 2 of 68

Step 1.3: Confirmation of PDP Determination

| | Site Information Checklist for PDPs Form I-2a | | | | |
|--------|--|-------|--|--|--|
| The p | The project is (select one): ☐ New Development ☐ Redevelopment¹ | | | | |
| The to | The total proposed newly created or replaced impervious area is: ft ² | | | | |
| The p | roject i | meets | the following categories, (a) through (f): [select all that apply] | | |
| Yes | No | (a) | New development projects that create 10,000 square feet or more surfaces (collectively over the entire project site). This includes cor residential, mixed-use, and public development projects on public of | nmercial, industrial, or private land. | |
| Yes | No | (b) | Redevelopment projects that create and/or replace 5,000 square for impervious surface (collectively over the entire project site on an exequare feet or more of impervious surfaces). This includes commer residential, mixed-use, and public development projects on public of | xisting site of 10,000 rcial, industrial, or private land. | |
| Yes | No | (c) | New and redevelopment projects that create and/or replace 5,000 of impervious surface (collectively over the entire project site), and of the following uses: (i) Restaurants. This category is defined as a facility that sells drinks for consumption, including stationary lunch counters stands selling prepared foods and drinks for immediate con Industrial Classification (SIC) code 5812). Information and an SIC search function are available at www.osha.gov/pls/imis/sicsearch.html. (ii) Hillside development projects. This category includes development at late to the side of the transportation or for commerce. (iii) Parking lots. This category is defined as a land area or fact temporary parking or storage of motor vehicles used person or for commerce. (iv) Streets, roads, highways, freeways, and driveways. This category individuals are any paved impervious surface used for the transportation trucks, motorcycles, and other vehicles. | support one or more sprepared foods and sand refreshment insumption (Standard elopment on any cility for the snally, for business, category is defined | |
| Yes | No | (d) | New or redevelopment projects that create and/or replace 2,500 so impervious surface (collectively over the entire project site), and distant an Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA). "Discharging directly to" conveyed overland a distance of 200 feet or less from the project to conveyed in a pipe or open channel any distance as an isolated flot the ESA (i.e. not commingled with flows from adjacent lands). Note: ESAs are areas that include but are not limited to all Clasection 303(d) impaired water bodies; areas designated as A Biological Significance by the State Water Board and San Die State Water Quality Protected Areas; water bodies designated beneficial use by the State Water Board and San Diego Water | scharging directly to includes flow that is the ESA, or low from the project to lean Water Act leas of Special leago Water Board; d with the RARE | |

¹ Redevelopment is defined as: The creation and/or replacement of impervious surface on an already developed site. Examples include the expansion of a building footprint, road widening, the addition to or replacement of a structure, and creation or addition of impervious surfaces. Replacement of impervious surfaces includes any activity that is not part of a routine maintenance activity where impervious material(s) are removed, exposing underlying soil during construction. Redevelopment does not include routine maintenance activities, such as trenching and resurfacing associated with utility work; pavement grinding; resurfacing existing roadways; sidewalks; pedestrian ramps; or bike lanes on existing roads; and routine replacement of damaged pavement, such as pothole repair.

| | | S | ite Information Checklist for PDPs | | Form I | -2a |
|--|--|---------|--|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | | | other equivalent environmentally sensitive areas | which have b | een identifie | d by |
| | | | the Copermittees. | | | |
| | | | For projects adjacent to an ESA, but not discharging to | | | |
| | | | threshold does not apply as long as the project does r | ot physically | disturb the E | SA and |
| | | () | the ESA is upstream of the project. | | | |
| Yes | No | (e) | New development projects, or redevelopment projects square feet or more of impervious surface, that suppouses: | | | |
| | | | (i) Automotive repair shops. This category is defi | ned as a facil | lity that is | |
| | | | categorized in any one of the following SIC co | des: 5013, 50 | 014, 5541, 75 | 532- |
| | | | Information and an SIC search function are av | vailable at | | |
| | | | www.osha.gov/pls/imis/sicsearch.html. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | (ii) Retail gasoline outlets (RGOs). This catego | | | |
| | | | following criteria: (a) 5,000 square feet or mor | | jected Avera | ge Daily |
| 1/1 | N.I. | (6) | Traffic (ADT) of 100 or more vehicles per day. | | | |
| Yes | No | (f) | New or redevelopment projects that result in the disturband and are expected to generate pollutants post con | | or more acr | es of |
| | | | land and are expected to generate politicants post con | Siruction. | | |
| | | | Note: See Storm Water Design Manual Section 1.4.2 | for additional | guidance. | |
| The f | ollowi | ng is | for redevelopment PDPs only: | | | |
| The a | area of | existi | ng (pre-project) impervious area at the project site is: | А | | ft ² |
| | The to | tal pro | pposed newly created or replaced impervious area is: | В | | ft ² |
| | | | Percent impervious surface created or replaced: | (B/A)*100 | | % |
| The percent impervious surface created or replaced is (select one based on the above calculation): | | | | | | |
| ☐ less than or equal to fifty percent (50%) — only newly created or replaced impervious areas are | | | | | | |
| | considered a PDP and subject to stormwater requirements | | | | | |
| OR | | | | | | |
| | ☐ greater than fifty percent (50%) – the entire project site is considered a PDP and subject to | | | | | |
| | stormwater requirements | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: _______ 4 of 68

Step 2: City of Escondido PDP SWQMP Site Information Checklist

Step 2.1: Description of Existing Site Condition and Drainage Patterns

| Cite Information Chacklist for DDDs | |
|--|-----------|
| Site Information Checklist for PDPs | Form I-2a |
| Current Status of the Site (select all that apply): | |
| ☐ Existing development | |
| ☐ Previously graded but not built out | |
| ☐ Demolition completed without new construction | |
| ☐ Agricultural or other non-impervious use | |
| □ Vacant, undeveloped/natural | |
| Description / Additional Information: | |
| | |
| | |
| Existing Land Cover Includes (select all that apply and provide each area on sit | e): |
| ☐ Vegetative Cover Acres (Square Feet) | - |
| □ Non-Vegetated Pervious Areas Acres (Square I | -eet) |
| ☐ Impervious Areas Acres (Square Feet) | |
| Description / Additional Information: | |
| | |
| | |
| Underlying Soil belongs to Hydrologic Soil Group (select all that apply): | |
| □ NRCS Type A | |
| □ NRCS Type B | |
| □ NRCS Type C | |
| □ NRCS Type D | |
| Approximate Depth to Groundwater (GW) (or N/A for no infiltration BMPs): ☐ Groundwater Depth < 5 feet | |
| · | |
| □ 5 feet < Groundwater Depth < 10 feet□ 10 feet < Groundwater Depth < 20 feet | |
| ☐ Groundwater Depth > 20 feet | |
| Existing Natural Hydrologic Features (select all that apply): | |
| □ Watercourses | |
| □ Seeps | |
| ☐ Springs | |
| ☐ Wetlands | |
| □ None | |
| ☐ Other | |
| | |
| Description / Additional Information: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: ________ 5 of 68

Step 2.2: Description of Existing Site Drainage Patterns

| Site Information Checklist for PDPs | Form I-2a |
|---|---------------|
| How is storm water runoff conveyed from the site? At a minimum, this descript answer (1) whether existing drainage conveyance is natural or urban; (2) desc constructed storm water conveyance systems, if applicable; and (3) is runoff from the conveyed through the site? If so, describe: | ribe existing |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: 6 of 68

Step 2.3: Description of Proposed Site Development

| Site In | formation Checklis | st for PDPs | Form I-2a |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| Project Description / | oposed Land Use and/or | Activities: | |
| | | | |
| | impervious features of the courts, other impervious | e project (e.g., buildings, ı s features): | oadways, parking |
| | | | |
| List/describe proposed | pervious features of the | project (e.g., landscape ar | eas): |
| Does the project include grading and changes to site topography? ☐Yes ☐No Description / Additional Information: | | | |
| Insert acreage or square feet for the different land cover types in the table below: | | | |
| Change in Land Cover Type Summary | | | |
| Land Cover Type | Existing (acres or ft ²) | Proposed (acres or ft ²) | Percent Change |
| Vegetation | | | |
| Pervious (non- vegetated) | | | |
| Impervious | | | |
| total | | | Sum Existing must equal Sum Proposed |

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: 7 of 68

Step 2.4: Description of Proposed Site Drainage Patterns

| Site Information Checklist for PDPs | Form I-2a |
|--|---|
| Does the project include changes to site drainage (e.g., installation of new stor conveyance systems)? ☐ Yes ☐ No | m water |
| If yes, provide details regarding the proposed project site drainage conveyance including storm drains, concrete channels, swales, detention facilities, storm we facilities, natural or constructed channels, and the method for conveying offsite around the proposed project site. Identify all discharge locations from the proposed with a summary of the conveyance system size and capacity for each of locations. Provide a summary of pre- and post-project drainage areas and desof the runoff discharge locations. Reference the drainage study for detailed call | ater treatment e flows through or osed project site the discharge ign flows to each |
| Describe proposed site drainage patterns: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Step 2.5: Potential Pollutant Source Areas

| Site Information Checklist for PDPs | Form I-2a |
|--|-----------------|
| Identify whether any of the following features, activities, and/or pollutant source present (select all that apply). | e areas will be |
| □ On-site storm drain inlets □ Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps □ Interior parking garages □ Need for future indoor & structural pest control □ Landscape/Outdoor Pesticide Use □ Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features □ Food service □ Refuse areas □ Industrial processes □ Outdoor storage of equipment or materials □ Vehicle and Equipment Cleaning □ Vehicle/Equipment Repair and Maintenance □ Fuel Dispensing Areas □ Loading Docks □ Fire Sprinkler Test Water □ Miscellaneous Drain or Wash Water □ Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots □ Large Trash Generating Facilities □ Animal Facilities □ Nurseries and Garden Centers □ Automotive Facilities □ Other (provide description) | |
| Description / Additional Information: | |

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: 9 of 68

Step 2.6: Identification of Receiving Water and Pollutants of Concern

| otop 2.0. Identino | duon | or recourting | rrator ana r o | matanto | or oomoom |
|---|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| Site In | format | ion Checklis | st for PDPs | | Form I-2a |
| Describe path of storm water from the project site to the Pacific Ocean (or bay, lagoon, lake or reservoir, as applicable): | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| List any 303(d) impaired Pacific Ocean (or bay, I pollutant(s)/stressor(s) of bodies: | agoon, la | ake or reservoir, | as applicable), id | dentify the DLs for the | e impaired water |
| 303(d) Impaired Water | Impaired Water Body Pollutant(s)/Stressor(s) TMDLs / WQIP High Priority Pollutant | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | lden | tification of Pro | ject Site Polluta | ants* | |
| *Identification of project site pollutants below is only required if flow-thru treatment BMPs are implemented onsite in lieu of retention or biofiltration BMPs. Note the project must also participate in an alternative compliance program (unless prior lawful approval to meet earlier PDP requirements is demonstrated). | | | | | |
| Identify pollutants expeditions of the Storm Water Design Ma | | | based on all pro | posed use | e(s) of the site (see |
| Pollutant | | Applicable to Project Site | Anticipated fro Project Si | | Also a Receiving Water Pollutant of Concern |
| Sediment | | | | | |
| Nutrients | | | | | |
| Heavy Metals | | | | | |
| Organic Compounds | | | | | |
| Trash & Debris | | | | | |
| Oxygen Demanding Substances | | | | | |
| Oil & Grease | | | | | |
| Bacteria & Viruses | | | | | |
| Pesticides | | | | | |

Step 2.7: Hydromodification Management Requirements

| Site Information Checklist for PDPs | Form I-2a | |
|--|--------------------|--|
| Do hydromodification management requirements apply (see Section 1.6 of the Design Manual)? | Storm Water | |
| ☐ Yes, hydromodification management requirements for flow control and prescoarse sediment yield areas are applicable. ☐ No, the project will discharge runoff directly to the exempt portion of Escond | | |
| detailed in the Carlsbad Watershed WQIP (May 2018 Update). Direct dischasection 1.6 of the Escondido Storm Water Design Manual. | arge is defined in | |
| □ No, the project will discharge runoff directly to existing underground storm of directly to water storage reservoirs, lakes, enclosed embayments, or the Pacto HMP Exhibit in Attachment 2. | • • | |
| □ No, the project will discharge runoff directly to conveyance channels whose bed and bank are concrete-lined all the way from the point of discharge to water storage reservoirs, lakes, enclosed embayments, or the Pacific Ocean. Refer to HMP Exhibit in Attachment 2. | | |
| Note: Direct Discharge refers to an uninterrupted hardened conveyance system claiming the Direct Discharge exemption must satisfy the applicable criteria dissipation, invert elevation, etc.) included in Section 1.6 of the Escondido Sesign Manual. | (energy | |
| Description / Additional Information (to be provided if a 'No' answer has been s | elected above): | |
| | | |
| HMP Exemption Exhibit | | |
| Attach an HMP Exemption Exhibit that shows direct storm water runoff discharge project site to the HMP exempt area. Include project area, applicable underground line and/or concrete lined channels, outfall information, and exempt waterbody. | und storm drain | |
| Reference applicable drawing number(s). | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Step 2.7.1: Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas

| Cita Information Charling for DDDs | Forms I Oo |
|---|---|
| Site Information Checklist for PDPs | Form I-2a |
| □ N/A - This Section only required if hydromodification management req | |
| Based on the maps provided within the WMAA, do potential critical coarse sed exist within the project drainage boundaries? ☐ Yes | iment yield areas |
| ☐ No, no critical coarse sediment yield areas to be protected based on WMAA | \ maps |
| If yes, have any of the optional analyses presented in Appendix H of the manu performed? □ H.6.1 Site-Specific GLU Analysis □ H.7 Downstream Systems Sensitivity to Coarse Sediment ○ H.7.1 Depositional Analysis, ○ H.7.2 Threshold Channel Analysis, or ○ H.7.3 Course Sediment Source Area Verification Analysis □ No optional analyses performed, the project will avoid critical coarse sediment identified based on WMAA maps If optional analyses were performed, what is the final result? | ent yield areas |
| □ No critical coarse sediment yield areas to be protected based on verification □ Critical coarse sediment yield areas exist but additional analysis has determ protection is not required. Documentation attached in Attachment 8 of the SWC □ Critical coarse sediment yield areas exist and require protection. The project management measures described in Sections H.2, H.3, and H.4 as applicable, are identified on the SWQMP Exhibit. | nined that QMP. ot will implement |
| Discussion / Additional Information: | |
| | |

Step 2.7.2: Flow Control for Post-Project Runoff

| Site Information Checklist for PDPs | Form I-2a |
|--|-----------------|
| □ N/A - This Section only required if hydromodification management req | uirements apply |
| List and describe point(s) of compliance (POCs) for flow control for hydromodif management (see Section 6.3.1). For each POC, provide a POC identification correlating to the project's HMP Exhibit and a receiving channel identification n correlating to the project's HMP Exhibit. | name or number |
| | |
| Has a geomorphic assessment been performed for the receiving channel(s)? | |
| □ No, the low flow threshold is 0.1Q2 (default low flow threshold)□ Yes, the result is the low flow threshold is 0.1Q2 | |
| ☐ Yes, the result is the low flow threshold is 0.7Q2 | |
| ☐ Yes, the result is the low flow threshold is 0.5Q2 | |
| If a geomorphic assessment has been performed, provide title, date, and prepa | arer: |
| | |
| Discussion / Additional Information: (optional) | |
| | |
| Select method used to determine low flow threshold: | |
| ☐ Sizing Factor Method | |
| ☐ US Geological Survey (USGS) Equation☐ Continuous Simulation Modeling | |
| | |

Step 2.8: Other Site Requirements and Constraints

| Site Information Checklist for PDPs | Form I-2a |
|--|--------------------|
| When applicable, list other site requirements or constraints that will influence s management design, such as zoning requirements including setbacks and ope codes governing minimum street width, sidewalk construction, allowable paved drainage requirements. | en space, or local |
| | |
| | - A - No - do d |
| Optional Additional Information or Continuation of Previous Section This space provided for additional information or continuation of information frosections as needed. | |
| Scotions as necucu. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Step 3: Source Control BMP Checklist

| Source Control BMP Checklist for PDPs | | Form I-2b | | |
|---|-------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| | . 4 () | | | |
| All development projects must implement source control BMPs 4.2.1 through 4.2.6 where applicable and feasible. See Chapter 4.2 and Appendix E of the City Storm Water Design Manual for information to implement source control BMPs shown in this checklist. The following checklists serve as guides only. Mark what elements are included in your project. See Storm Water Design Manual Chapter 4 and Appendix E for more information on determining appropriate BMPs for your project. | | | | |
| Answer each category below pursuant to the following: • "Yes" means the project will implement the source control I 4.2 and/or Appendix E of the City Storm Water Design Mar | | | | |
| is not required. | iuai. Disci | ission / jus | Suncation | |
| "No" means the BMP is applicable to the project but it is no Discussion / justification must be provided. | ot feasible | to impleme | ent. | |
| "N/A" means the BMP is not applicable at the project site b | | | | |
| include the feature that is addressed by the BMP (e.g., the | | s no outdo | oor | |
| materials storage areas). Discussion / justification must be Source Control Requirement | provided. | Applied? |) | |
| 4.2.1 Prevention of Illicit Discharges into the MS4 | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| Discussion / justification if 4.2.1 not implemented: | □ 103 | □ 1 10 | □ IV/A | |
| 2.100decelon / juctimodilon in 1.2.1 Not implemented. | | | | |
| 4.2.2 Storm Drain Stenciling or Signage | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| Discussion / justification if 4.2.2 not implemented: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 4.2.3 Protect Outdoor Materials Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| Discussion / justification if 4.2.3 not implemented: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 4.2.4 Protect Materials Stored in Outdoor Work Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| Discussion / justification if 4.2.4 not implemented: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 4.2.5 Protect Trash Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| Discussion / justification if 4.2.5 not implemented: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Form I-2b Page 2 of 2 | | | | |
|--|------------|----------|-------|--|
| Source Control Requirement | Applied? | | | |
| 4.2.6 Additional BMPs Based on Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants (must answer for each source listed below): | | | | |
| ☐ Onsite storm drain inlets | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| ☐ Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| ☐ Interior parking garages | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| □ Need for future indoor & structural pest control | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| ☐ Landscape/outdoor pesticide use | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| ☐ Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| features | | | | |
| ☐ Food service | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| ☐ Refuse areas | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| ☐ Industrial processes | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| Outdoor storage of equipment or materials | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| □ Vehicle and equipment cleaning | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| ☐ Vehicle/equipment repair and maintenance | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| ☐ Fuel dispensing areas | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| ☐ Loading docks | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| ☐ Fire sprinkler test water | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| ☐ Miscellaneous drain or wash water | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| ☐ Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| Discussion / justification if 4.2.6 not implemented. Clearly identify | | | | |
| pollutants are discussed. Justification must be provided for <u>all</u> "No | o" answers | shown ab | ove. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Note: Show all source control measures described above that are included in design capture volume calculations in the plan sheets of Attachment 5.

Step 4: Site Design BMP Checklist

| Site Design BMP Checklist for PDPs | F | orm I-2 | C | |
|--|---|----------------------------|---------------|--|
| All development projects must implement site design BMPs SD-1 through SD-8 where applicable and feasible. See Chapter 4 and Appendix E of the manual for information to implement site design BMPs shown in this checklist. | | | | |
| Answer each category below pursuant to the following. "Yes" means the project will implement the site design BMP as and/or Appendix E of the manual. Discussion / justification is r "No" means the BMP is applicable to the project but it is not fee Discussion / justification must be provided. "N/A" means the BMP is not applicable at the project site because include the feature that is addressed by the BMP (e.g., the propagatural areas to conserve). Discussion / justification must be propagatured. | not require easible to in ause the project site h | d. mplemer roject do | nt. es not | |
| Site Design Requirement | | Applied? | > | |
| 4.3.1 Maintain Natural Drainage Pathways and Hydrologic Features | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| Discussion / justification if 4.3.1 not implemented: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1-1 Are existing natural drainage pathways and hydrologic features mapped on the site map? | ☐ Yes | □No | □ N/A | |
| 1-2 Are trees implemented? If yes, are they shown on the site map? | ☐ Yes | □No | □ N/A | |
| 1-3 Implemented trees meet the design criteria in 4.3.1 Fact Sheet (e.g. soil volume, maximum credit, etc.)? | ☐ Yes | □No | □ N/A | |
| 1-4 Is tree credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.2.1 and SD-1 Fact Sheet in Appendix E? | ☐ Yes | □No | □ N/A | |
| 4.3.2 Conserve Natural Areas, Soils, and Vegetation | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| Discussion / justification if 4.3.2 not implemented: | | | | |
| 4.2.2 Minimiza Impromiario Ango | | □ N- | | |
| 4.3.3 Minimize Impervious Area Discussion / justification if 4.3.3 not implemented: | ☐ Yes | ☐ No | □ N/A | |
| Discussion / justification if 4.3.3 not implemented: | | | | |
| 4.3.4 Minimize Soil Compaction | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | |
| Discussion / justification if 4.3.4 not implemented: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Form I 20 Days 2 of 2 | | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|--------|
| Form I-2c Page 2 of 2 | | A polical |) |
| Site Design Requirement | | Applied? | |
| 4.3.5 Impervious Area Dispersion | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A |
| Discussion / justification if 4.3.5 not implemented: | | | |
| | | | |
| 5-1 Is the pervious area receiving runon from impervious area identified on the site map? | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A |
| 5-2 Does the pervious area satisfy the design criteria in 4.3.5. Fact Sheet in Appendix E (e.g. maximum slope, minimum length, etc.) | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A |
| 5-3 Is impervious area dispersion credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.1.1 and 4.3.5 Fact Sheet in Appendix E? | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A |
| 4.3.6 Runoff Collection | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A |
| Discussion / justification if 4.3.6 not implemented: | 1 | | |
| | | | |
| 6a-1 Are green roofs implemented in accordance with design criteria in 4.3.6A Fact Sheet? If yes, are they shown on the site map? | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A |
| 6a-2 Is the green roof credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.1.2 and 4.3.6A Fact Sheet in Appendix E? | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A |
| 6b-1 Are permeable pavements implemented in accordance with design criteria in 4.3.6B Fact Sheet? If yes, are they shown on the site map? | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A |
| 6b-2 Is the permeable pavement credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.1.3 and 4.3.6B Fact Sheet in Appendix E? | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A |
| 4.3.7 Landscaping with Native or Drought Tolerant Species | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A |
| Discussion / justification if 4.3.7 not implemented: | | • | |
| | | | |
| 4.3.8 Harvesting and Using Precipitation | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A |
| Discussion / justification if 4.3.8 not implemented: | | | |
| | | | |
| 8-1 Are rain barrels implemented in accordance with design criteria in 4.3.8 Fact Sheet? If yes, are they shown on the site map? | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A |
| 8-2 Is the rain barrel credit volume calculated using Appendix B.2.2.2 and 4.3.8 Fact Sheet in Appendix E? | ☐ Yes | □ No | □ N/A |
| Note: Show all site design measures described above that are included calculations in the plan sheets of Attachment 5. | l in design | capture | volume |

Step 5: Summary of Structural BMPs

Summary of Structural BMPs Form I-3 **PDP Structural BMPs** All PDPs must implement structural BMPs for storm water pollutant control (see Chapter 5 of the manual). Selection of PDP structural BMPs for storm water pollutant control must be based on the selection process described in Chapter 5. PDPs subject to hydromodification management requirements must also implement structural BMPs for flow control for hydromodification management (see Chapter 6 of the manual). Both storm water pollutant control and flow control for hydromodification management can be achieved within the same structural BMP(s). PDP structural BMPs must be verified by the local jurisdiction at the completion of construction. This may include requiring the project owner or project owner's representative to certify construction of the structural BMPs (see Section 1.12 of the manual). PDP structural BMPs must be maintained into perpetuity, and the local jurisdiction must confirm the maintenance (see Section 7 of the manual). Use this form to provide narrative description of the general strategy for structural BMP implementation at the project site in the box below. Then complete the PDP structural BMP summary information sheet (page 3 of this form) for each structural BMP within the project (copy the BMP summary information page as many times as needed to provide summary information for each individual structural BMP). **Description of Structural BMP Strategy** Describe the general strategy for structural BMP implementation at the site. This information must describe how the steps for selecting and designing storm water pollutant control BMPs presented in Section 5.1 of the manual were followed, and the results (type of BMPs selected). For projects requiring hydromodification flow control BMPs, indicate whether pollutant control and flow control BMPs are integrated or separate. (Continue on page 2 as necessary.)

| (Page reserved for continuation of description of general strategy for structural BMP implementation at the site) (Continued from page 1) | Form I-3 Page 2 of 3 | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | (Page reserved for continuation of description of general strategy for structural BMP implementation at the site) | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Form I-3 Page 3 of 3 | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Structural BMP Summary Information | | | | |
| (Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP) | | | | |
| Structural BMP ID No. | | | | |
| Construction Plan Sheet No. | | | | |
| Type of structural BMP: | | | | |
| ☐Retention by harvest and use (HU-1) | | | | |
| □Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1) | | | | |
| □Retention by bioretention (INF-2) | | | | |
| Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3) | | | | |
| □Retention by dry wells (INF-4) □Partial retention by biofiltration with partial rete | ention (PR-1) | | | |
| ☐ ☐ Biofiltration (BF-1) | | | | |
| ☐ ☐ Biofiltration with Nutrient Sensitive Media Des | ign (BF-2) | | | |
| □ Proprietary Biofiltration (BF-3) meeting all req | | | | |
| ☐Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful a | • • | | | |
| (provide BMP type/description in discussion s | • | | | |
| ☐Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-tre | • | | | |
| biofiltration BMP (provide BMP type/descripti | | | | |
| biofiltration BMP it serves in discussion section | , | | | |
| ☐ Flow-thru treatment control with alternative codiscussion section below) | impliance (provide biving type/description in | | | |
| □ Detention pond or vault for hydromodification | management | | | |
| ☐ Other (describe in discussion section below) | | | | |
| , | | | | |
| Purpose: | | | | |
| □Pollutant control only | | | | |
| ☐ Hydromodification control only | stion control | | | |
| ☐ Combined pollutant control and hydromodifica ☐ Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural B | | | | |
| ☐ Other (describe in discussion section below) | IVIP | | | |
| Other (describe in discussion section below) | | | | |
| Who will certify construction of this BMP? | | | | |
| Provide name and contact information for the | | | | |
| party responsible to sign BMP verification | | | | |
| forms (See Section 8.2.3.2 of the Storm Water Design Manual) | | | | |
| Who will be the final owner of this BMP? | □HOA □Property Owner □City | | | |
| The time of the line of the bit is | □Other (describe) | | | |
| Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity? | □HOA □Property Owner □City | | | |
| | □Other (describe) | | | |
| Discussion (as needed): | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Step 5.1: Offsite Alternative Compliance Participation Form

| THIS FORM IS NOT APPLICABLE AT THIS TIME: An Alternative Compliance Program is under consideration by the City of Escondido. | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| PDP INFORMATION | | | |
| Record ID: | | | |
| Assessor's Parcel Number(s) [APN(s)] | | | |
| What are your PDP Pollutant Control Debits? *See Attachment 1 of the PDP SWQMP | | | |
| What are your PDP HMP Debits? (if applicable) *See Attachment 2 of the PDP SWQMP | | | |
| ACP Information | | | |
| Record ID: | | | |
| Assessor's Parcel Number(s) [APN(s)] | | | |
| Project Owner/Address | | | |
| What are your ACP Pollutant Control Credits? *See Attachment 1 of the ACP SWQMP | | | |
| What are your ACP HMP Debits? (if applicable) *See Attachment 2 of the ACP SWQMP | | | |
| | | | |
| Is your ACP in the same watershed as your PDP? ☐Yes ☐No | Will your ACP project be completed prior to the completion of the PDP? ☐Yes ☐No | | |
| Does your ACP account for all Deficits generated by the PDP? Yes No (PDP and/or ACP must be redesigned to account for all deficits generated by the PDP.) | What is the difference between your PDP debits and ACP Credits? *(ACP Credits -Total PDP Debits = Total Earned Credits) | | |

ATTACHMENT 1

BACKUP FOR PDP POLLUTANT CONTROL BMPS

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 1.

Indicate which Items are Included behind this cover sheet:

| Attachment Sequence | Contents | Checklist |
|------------------------|---|--|
| Attachment 1a | Storm Water Pollutant Control Worksheet Calculations -Worksheet B.1-DMA Summary (Optional) -Worksheet B.2-1- DCV (Required) -Worksheet B.3-1- H&U Checklist (Required) -Worksheet B.4-1-Simple Sizing Inf. (if applicable) -Worksheet B.5-1-Biofilt. Sizing (Pollutant)(if applicable) -Worksheet B.5-2-Biofilt. Sizing (Volume) (if applicable) -Worksheet B.5-3-Biofilt. Volume Ret. (if applicable) -Worksheet B.5-4-Biofilt. Alt. Min. Footprint(if applicable) -Worksheet B.5-5-Biofilt. w/Upstream Stor. (if applicable) -Worksheet B.5-6-Biofilt. Ret. No Inf. (if applicable) -Worksheet B.5-7-Vol. Ret. Amended Soils (if applicable) -Worksheet B.6-1-Flow-Thru Design Flow (if applicable) -Form I-10-Compact Biofilt. Checklist (if applicable) -Summary Worksheet (optional) | □ Worksheet B.1 (Optional) □ Worksheet B.2-1 (Required) □ Worksheet B.3-1 (Required) □ Worksheet B.4-1 (if applicable) □ Worksheet B.5-1 (if applicable) □ Worksheet B.5-2 (if applicable) □ Worksheet B.5-3 (if applicable) □ Worksheet B.5-4 (if applicable) □ Worksheet B.5-5 (if applicable) □ Worksheet B.5-6 (if applicable) □ Worksheet B.5-7 (if applicable) □ Worksheet B.6-1 (if applicable) □ Form I-10 (if applicable) □ Summary Worksheet (optional) |
| Attachment 1b | -Worksheet C.4-1 (Form I-8A), Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition Based on Geotechnical Conditions -Worksheet C.4-2 (Form I-8B), Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions (Required unless the project will use harvest and use BMPs, or an Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter is submitted) Refer to Appendices C and D of the Storm Water Design Manual to complete Form I-8. | □ Included □ Not included because the entire project will use harvest and use BMPs □ Not included because an Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter is submitted |
| Attachment 1c | Form I-9, Factor of Safety and Design Infiltration Rate Worksheet (Required unless the project will use harvest and use BMPs, or an Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter is submitted) Refer to Appendices C and D of the Storm Water Design Manual to complete Form I-9. | □ Included □ Not included because the entire project will use harvest and use BMPs □ Not included because an Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter is submitted |
| Attachment 1d | DMA Exhibit (Required) See DMA Exhibit Checklist on the back of this Attachment cover sheet. | □Included |
| Attachment 1e | Individual Structural BMP DMA Mapbook (Required) -Place each map on 8.5"x11" paperShow at a minimum the DMA, Structural BMP, and any existing hydrologic features within the DMA. | □Included |

Use this checklist to ensure the required information has been included on the DMA Exhibit:

| The DMA Exhibit must identify: |
|--|
| □ Proposed design features and surface treatments used to minimize imperviousness □ Drainage management area (DMA) boundaries, DMA ID numbers, and DMA areas (square footage or acreage), and DMA type (i.e., drains to BMP, self-retaining, or self-mitigating) □ Potential pollutant source areas and corresponding required source controls (see Chapter 4, Appendix E.1, and Step 3.5) □ Structural PMPs (identify location, structural PMP, ID#, type of PMP, and size (detail) |
| ☐ Structural BMPs (identify location, structural BMP ID#, type of BMP, and size/detail) ☐ Flow direction arrows |
| □ Site Design BMPs used for volume reduction credits □ Existing and proposed site drainage network and connections to drainage offsite □ Trash Enclosure(s), if available □ Roof downspouts |
| Additionally, it is generally best practice (and the City may require) that these additional features listed below be included on the DMA Exhibit: |
| □ Approximate depth to groundwater □ Existing natural hydrologic features (watercourses, seeps, springs, wetlands) □ Critical coarse sediment yield areas to be protected □ Existing topography and impervious areas □ Proposed grading □ Proposed impervious features |

Worksheet B.2-1. BMP Design Capture Volume

| Design Capture Volume | | Worksheet B-2.1 | | |
|-----------------------|---|-----------------|--|------------|
| 1 | 85 th percentile 24-hr storm depth from Figure B.1-1 | d= | | inches |
| 2 | Area tributary to BMP (s) | A= | | acres |
| 3 | Area weighted runoff factor (estimate using Appendix B.1.1 and B.2.1) | C= | | unitless |
| 4 | Tree well volume reduction | TCV= | | cubic-feet |
| 5 | Rain barrels volume reduction | RCV= | | cubic-feet |
| 6 | Calculate DCV = (3630 x C x d x A) – TCV - RCV | DCV= | | cubic-feet |

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: _______ 25 of 68

Worksheet B.3-1. Harvest and Use Feasibility Checklist (Form I-7)

| Harvest and Use Fea | sibility Checklist | Worsksheet B.3-1 | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1. Is there a demand for harvestopresent during the wet season? Toilet and urinal flushing Landscape irrigation Other: | ed water (check all that apply |) at the project site that is reliably | | |
| | evel demand calculations for | season demand over a period of 36 toilet/urinal flushing and landscape | | |
| 3. Calculate the DCV using worksheet B-2.1. | | | | |
| 3a. Is the 36-hour demand greater than or equal to the DCV? | 3b. Is the 36-hour demand of than 0.25DCV but less than DCV? | | | |
| Yes / No ➡ | Yes / No C | ⇒ Yes ↓ | | |
| Harvest and use appears to be feasible. Conduct more detailed evaluation and sizing calculations to confirm that DCV can be used at an adequate rate to meet drawdown criteria. Note: 36-hour demand calculations a | Harvest and use may be feather conduct more detailed evaluations to defeasibility. Harvest and use only be able to be used for a of the site, or (optionally) the storage may need to be upsumeet long term capture targed draining in longer than 36 here. | considered to be infeasible. may a portion e sized to ets while ours. | | |

Note: 36-hour demand calculations are for feasibility analysis only. Once feasibility analysis is complete the applicant may be allowed to use a different drawdown time provided they meet the 80% annual capture standard (refer to B.4.2) and 96-hour vector control drawdown requirement.

Worksheet B.4-1: Simple Sizing Method for Infiltration BMPs

| S | imple Sizing Method for Infiltration BMPs | izing Method for Infiltration BMPs Worksheet B.4-1 | | |
|---|--|--|--------------|------------|
| 1 | DCV (Worksheet B-2.1) | DCV= | | cubic-feet |
| 2 | Estimated design infiltration rate | K _{design} = | | in/hr |
| 3 | Available BMP surface area | A _{BMP} = | | sq-ft |
| 4 | Average effective depth in the BMP footprint (DCV/A _{BMP}) | D _{avg} = | | feet |
| 5 | Drawdown time, T (D _{avg} *12/K _{design}) | T= | | hours |
| 6 | Provide alternative calculation of drawdown time, if need | ed. | | |
| 7 | Provide calculations for effective depth provided in the B Effective Depth = Surface ponding (below the overflow e thickness x gravel porosity (0.4) | | ıravel stora | age |

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: ________ 27 of 68

Worksheet B.5-1: Sizing Method for Pollutant Removal Criteria

| | Sizing Method for Pollutant Removal Criteria | Worksh | eet B.5-1 |
|-----|---|----------|-----------|
| 1 | Area draining to the BMP | | sq. ft. |
| 2 | Adjusted runoff factor for drainage area (Refer to Appendix B.1 and B.2) | | |
| 3 | 85 th percentile 24-hour rainfall depth | | inches |
| 4 | Design capture volume [Line 1 x Line 2 x (Line 3/12)] | | cu. ft. |
| ВМІ | P Parameters | | |
| 5 | Surface ponding [6 inch minimum, 12 inch maximum] | | inches |
| 6 | Media thickness [18 inches minimum], also add mulch layer and washed ASTM 33 fine aggregate sand thickness to this line for sizing calculations | | inches |
| 7 | Aggregate storage (also add ASTM No 8 stone) above underdrain invert (12 inches typical) – use 0 inches if the aggregate is not over the entire bottom surface area | | inches |
| 8 | Aggregate storage below underdrain invert (3 inches minimum) – use 0 inches if the aggregate is not over the entire bottom surface area | | inches |
| 9 | Freely drained pore storage of the media | 0.2 | in/in |
| 10 | Porosity of aggregate storage | 0.4 | in/in |
| 11 | Media filtration rate to be used for sizing (maximum filtration rate of 5 in/hr. with no outlet control; if the filtration rate is controlled by the outlet use the outlet controlled rate (includes infiltration into the soil and flow rate through the outlet structure) which will be less than 5 in/hr.) | | in/hr. |
| Bas | eline Calculations | | |
| 12 | Allowable routing time for sizing | 6 | hours |
| 13 | Depth filtered during storm [Line 11 x Line 12] | | inches |
| 14 | Depth of Detention Storage [Line 5 + (Line 6 x Line 9) + (Line 7 x Line 10) + (Line 8 x Line 10)] | | inches |
| 15 | Total Depth Treated [Line 13 + Line 14] | | inches |
| Opt | ion 1 – Biofilter 1.5 times the DCV | | |
| 16 | Required biofiltered volume [1.5 x Line 4] | | cu. ft. |
| 17 | Required Footprint [Line 16/ Line 15] x 12 | | sq. ft. |
| Opt | ion 2 - Store 0.75 of remaining DCV in pores and ponding | | |
| 18 | Required Storage (surface + pores) Volume [0.75 x Line 4] | | cu. ft. |
| 19 | Required Footprint [Line 18/ Line 14] x 12 | | sq. ft. |
| Foo | tprint of the BMP | <u> </u> | |
| 20 | BMP Footprint Sizing Factor (Default 0.03 or an alternative minimum footprint sizing factor from Line 11 in Worksheet B.5-4) | | |
| 21 | Minimum BMP Footprint [Line 1 x Line 2 x Line 20] | | sq. ft. |
| 22 | Footprint of the BMP = Maximum (Minimum (Line 17, Line 19), Line 21) | | sq. ft. |
| 23 | Provided BMP Footprint | | sq. ft. |
| | Is Line 23 ≥ Line 22? | | |
| 24 | If Yes, then footprint criterion is met. If No, increase the footprint of the BMP. | □ Yes | □ No |

Worksheet B.5-2: Sizing Method for Volume Retention Criteria

| | Sizing Method for Volume Retention Criteria | | eet B.5-2 |
|-----|---|---|-----------|
| 1 | Area draining to the BMP | | sq. ft. |
| 2 | Adjusted runoff factor for drainage area (Refer to Appendix B.1 and B.2) | | |
| 3 | 85 th percentile 24-hour rainfall depth | | inches |
| 4 | Design capture volume [Line 1 x Line 2 x (Line 3/12)] | | cu. ft. |
| Vol | ume Retention Requirement | | |
| | Measured infiltration rate in the DMA | | |
| | Note: | | |
| 5 | When mapped hydrologic soil groups are used enter 0.10 for NRCS Type D soils and for NRCS Type C soils enter 0.30 | | in/hr. |
| | When in no infiltration condition and the actual measured infiltration rate is unknown enter 0.0 if there are geotechnical and/or groundwater | | |
| | hazards identified in Appendix C or enter 0.05 | | |
| 6 | Factor of safety | 2 | |
| 7 | Reliable infiltration rate, for biofiltration BMP sizing [Line 5/ Line 6] | | in/hr. |
| | Average annual volume reduction target (Figure B.5-2) | | |
| 8 | When Line 7 > 0.01 in/hr. = Minimum (40, 166.9 x Line 7 +6.62) | | % |
| | When Line 7 ≤ 0.01 in/hr. = 3.5% | | |
| | Fraction of DCV to be retained (Figure B.5-3) | | |
| 9 | When Line $8 > 8\% = 0.0000013 \text{ x}$ Line $8^3 - 0.000057 \text{ x}$ Line $8^2 + 0.0086 \text{ x}$ Line $8 - 0.014$ | | |
| | When Line 8 ≤ 8% = 0.023 | | |
| 10 | Target volume retention [Line 9 x Line 4] | | cu. ft. |

Worksheet B.5-3: Volume Retention from Biofiltration with Partial Retention BMPs

| | Volume Retention from Biofiltration with Partial Retention BMPs | Worksl | neet B.5-3 |
|-------|---|--------|------------|
| 1 | Area draining to the BMP | | sq. ft. |
| 2 | Adjusted runoff factor for drainage area (Refer to Appendix B.1 and B.2) | | |
| 3 | 85 th percentile 24-hour rainfall depth | | inches |
| 4 | Design capture volume [Line 1 x Line 2 x (Line 3/12)] | | cu. ft. |
| ВМІ | P Parameters | | |
| 5 | Footprint of the BMP | | sq. ft. |
| 6 | Media thickness [18 inches minimum], also add mulch layer and washed ASTM 33 fine aggregate sand thickness to this line for sizing calculations | | inches |
| 7 | Media retained pore space [50% of (Field Capacity-Wilting Point)] | 0.05 | in/in |
| 8 | Aggregate storage below underdrain invert (3 inches minimum) – use 0 inches if the aggregate is not over the entire bottom surface area | | inches |
| 9 | Porosity of aggregate storage | 0.4 | in/in |
| | Measured infiltration rate in the DMA | | |
| 10 | Note: When mapped hydrologic soil groups are used enter 0.10 for NRCS Type D soils and for NRCS Type C soils enter 0.30 | | in/hr. |
| 11 | Factor of safety | 2 | |
| 12 | Reliable infiltration rate, for biofiltration BMP sizing [Line 10/ Line 11] | | in/hr. |
| Eva | potranspiration: Average Annual Volume Retention | | |
| 13 | Effective evapotranspiration depth [Line 6 x Line 7] | | inches |
| 14 | Retained pore volume [(Line 13 x Line 5)/12] | | cu. ft. |
| 15 | Fraction of DCV retained in pore spaces [Line 14/Line 4] | | |
| 16 | Evapotranspiration average annual capture [use ET Nomographs in Figure B.5-5, Refer to Appendix B.5.4] | | % |
| Infil | tration: Average Annual Volume Retention | | |
| 17 | Drawdown for infiltration storage [(Line 8 x Line 9)/Line 12] | | hours |
| 18 | Equivalent DCV fraction from evapotranspiration (use Line 16 and Line 17 in Figure B.4-1; Refer to Appendix B.4.2.2) | | |
| 19 | Infiltration volume storage [(Line 5 x Line 8 x Line 9)/12] | | cu. ft. |
| 20 | Infiltration storage: Fraction of DCV [Line 19 /Line 4] | | |
| 21 | Total Equivalent Fraction of DCV [Line 18 + Line 20] | | |
| 22 | Biofiltration BMP average annual capture [use Line 21 and 17 in Figure B.4-1] | | % |
| 23 | Fraction of DCV retained (Figure B.5-3) 0.0000013 x Line 22 ³ - 0.000057 x Line 22 ² + 0.0086 x Line 22- 0.014 | | |
| 24 | Volume retention achieved by biofiltration BMP [Line 23 x Line 4] | | cu. ft. |

Worksheet B.5-4: Calculation of Alternative Minimum Footprint Sizing Factor for Non-Standard Biofiltration

| | | ion-Standard E | Jonntration | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| | Alternative Minimum Foo Factor for Non-Standard | | Works | heet B.5-4 | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | Area draining to the BMP | | | sq. ft. | |
| 2 | Adjusted Runoff Factor for drainage | area (Refer to Appen | dix B.1 and B.2) | | |
| 3 | Load to Clog (default value when us | ing Appendix E fact s | heets is 2.0) | lb/sq. f | |
| 4 | Allowable Period to Accumulate Clo | gging Load (T _L) (defa | ult value is 10) | years | |
| Vol | ume Weighted EMC Calculation | | | | |
| Lan | d Use | Fraction of Total DCV | TSS EMC (mg/L) | Product | |
| Sing | gle Family Residential | | 123 | | |
| Con | nmercial | | 128 | | |
| | ıstrial | | 125 | | |
| | cation (Municipal) | | 132 | | |
| | nsportation | | 78 | | |
| | ti-family Residential | | 40 | | |
| | of Runoff | | 14 | | |
| | Traffic Areas | | 50 216 | | |
| Ope | | | | | |
| | er, specify: | | | | |
| | er, specify: | | | | |
| 5 | er, specify: Volume Weighted EMC (sum of all p | products) | | mg/L | |
| | | nouucis) | | IIIg/L | |
| Sizi | ng Factor for Clogging | | | | |
| Adjustment for pretreatment measures Where: Line 6 = 0 if no pretreatment; Line 6 = 0.25 when pretreatment is included; Line 6 = 0.5 if the pretreatment has an active Washington State TAPE approval rating for "pre-treatment." | | | | | |
| 7 | inches | | | | |
| 8 | cu-ft/y | | | | |
| 9 | lb/yr | | | | |
| | sq. ft. | | | | |
| 10 Calculate the BMP Footprint Needed (Line 9 x Line 4)/Line 3 | | | | | |
| Calculate the Minimum Footprint Sizing Factor for Clogging [Line 10/ (Line 1 x Line 2)] | | | | | |
| Disc | cussion: | | | | |

Worksheet B.5-5: Optimized Biofiltration BMP Footprint when Downstream of a Storage Unit

| 0 | ptimized Biofiltration BMP Footprint when Downstream of a Storage Unit | Work | ksheet E | 3.5-5 | | |
|--|---|-------------|----------|----------|--|--|
| 1 | Area draining to the storage unit and biofiltration BMP | | | sq. ft. | | |
| 2 | Adjusted runoff factor for drainage area (Refer to Appendix B.1 a | nd B.2) | | · | | |
| 3 | Effective impervious area draining to the storage unit and biofiltra [Line 1 x Line 2] | tion BMP | | sq. ft. | | |
| 4 | Remaining DCV after implementing retention BMPs | | | cu. ft. | | |
| 5 | Design infiltration rate (measured infiltration rate / 2) | | | ft./hr. | | |
| 6 | Media Thickness [1.5 feet minimum], also add mulch layer and w ASTM 33 fine aggregate sand thickness to this line for sizing cald | | | ft. | | |
| 7 | Media filtration rate to be used for sizing (0.42 ft/hr. with no outlet the filtration rate is controlled by the outlet use the outlet controlled | | | ft./hr. | | |
| 8 | Media retained pore space | | 0.05 | in./in. | | |
| Sto | rage Unit Requirement | | | | | |
| 9 | Drawdown time of the storage unit, minimum (from the elevation bypasses the biofiltration BMP, overflow elevation) | that | | hours | | |
| 10 | Storage required to achieve greater than 92 percent capture (see B.5-5) | Table | | fraction | | |
| 11 | Storage required in cubic feet (Line 4 x Line 10) | | | cu. ft. | | |
| 12 | Storage provided in the design, minimum (from the elevation that the biofiltration BMP, overflow elevation) | bypasses | | cu. ft. | | |
| 13 | Is Line 12 ≥ Line 11. If no increase storage provided until this crite | eria is met | ☐ Yes | □ No | | |
| Crit | eria 1: BMP Footprint Biofiltration Capacity | | | | | |
| 14 | Peak flow from the storage unit to the biofiltration BMP (using the used to evaluate the percent capture) | elevation | | cfs | | |
| 15 | Required biofiltration footprint [(3,600 x Line 14)/Line 7] | | | sq. ft. | | |
| Crit | eria 2: Alternative Minimum Sizing Factor (Clogging) | | | | | |
| 16 | Alternative Minimum Footprint Sizing Factor [Line 11 of Workshe | et B.5-4] | | Fraction | | |
| 17 | Required biofiltration footprint [Line 3 x Line 16] | | | sq. ft. | | |
| Criteria 3: Retention requirement [Not applicable for No Infiltration Condition] | | | | | | |
| 18 | Retention Target (Line 10 in Worksheet B.5-2) | | | cu. ft. | | |
| 19 | Average discharge rate from the storage unit to the biofiltration B | MP | | cfs | | |
| 20 | Depth retained in the optimized biofiltration BMP {Line 6 x Line 8} + {[(Line 4)/(2400 x Line 19)] x Line 5} | | | ft. | | |
| 21 | Required optimized biofiltration footprint (Line 18/Line 20) | | | sq. ft. | | |
| Opt | imized Biofiltration Footprint | | | | | |
| 22 | Optimized biofiltration footprint, maximum (Line 15, Line 17, Line | 21) | | sq. ft. | | |

Worksheet B.5-6: Volume Retention for No Infiltration Condition

| | Volume F | ksheet B. | 5-6 | | | | | |
|------|--|---|-------------|------------|------|--------|---------|--|
| 1 | Area draining to | Condition the biofiltration BMP | | | | | sq. ft. | |
| 2 | | factor for drainage area (Refer t | to Append | ix B.1 and | B.2) | | | |
| 3 | | rious area draining to the BMP [| • • | | / | | sq. ft. | |
| 4 | | or Evapotranspiration [Line 3 x (| | | | | sq. ft. | |
| 5 | Biofiltration BMF | | 0.001 | | | | sq. ft. | |
| | | ust be identified on DS-3247) | | | | | 04. 16. | |
| | | Identification | Α | В | С | D | Е | |
| 6 | | that meet the requirements -F Fact Sheet (sq. ft.) | | | | | | |
| 7 | area (sq. ft.) | draining to the landscape | | | | | | |
| 8 | [Line 7/Line 6] | ervious Area ratio | | | | | | |
| 9 | Effective Credit If Line 8 >1.5, us | Area se Line 6; if not use Line 7/1.5 | | | | | | |
| 10 | Sum of Landsca | ape area [sum of Lines 9A-9E] | | | | • | sq. ft. | |
| 11 | <u> </u> | nt for evapotranspiration [Line 5 | 5 + Line 10 |)] | | | sq. ft. | |
| Vol | | Performance Standard | | | | | | |
| 12 | Is Line 11 ≥ Line 4? If yes, then volume retention performance standard for no infiltration condition is met. If no, proceed to Line 13 | | | | | | □ No | |
| 13 | Fraction of the performance standard mot through the RMD feetprint and/or | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | cu. ft. | |
| 15 | Volume retention required from other site design RMPs | | | | | | cu. ft. | |
| Site | Site Design BMP | | | | | | | |
| | Identification | Site Desig | gn Type | | | Credit | | |
| | A | | | | | | cu. ft. | |
| | B C | | | | | | cu. ft. | |
| | D | | | | | | cu. ft. | |
| 16 | E | | | | | | cu. it. | |
| | Sum of volume retention benefits from other site design BMPs (e.g. trees; rain barrels etc.). [sum of Lines 16A-16E] Provide documentation of how the site design credit is calculated in the PDP SWQMP. | | | | | | cu. ft. | |
| 17 | Is Line 16 ≥ Line 15? | | | | | | □ No | |

Worksheet B.5-7: Volume Retention from Amended Soils

| | Volume Retention From Amended Soils Work | ksheet E | 3.5-7 |
|----|---|----------|---------|
| 1 | Impervious area draining to the pervious area | | sq. ft. |
| 2 | Pervious area (must meet the requirements in SD-B and SD-F Fact Sheets) | | sq. ft. |
| 3 | Dispersion Ratio [Line 1/Line 2] Note: This worksheet is not applicable when Line 3 > 50 or Line 3 < 0.25 | | |
| 4 | Adjusted runoff factor [(Line 1 * 0.9 + Line 2 * 0.1) / (Line 1 + Line 2)] | | |
| 5 | 85 th percentile 24-hour rainfall depth | | inches |
| 6 | Design capture volume [(Line 1 + Line 2) x Line 4 x (Line 5/12)] | | cu. ft. |
| 7 | Amendment Depth (Choose from 3", 6", 9", 12", 15" and 18") | | inches |
| 8 | Storage [(porosity – field capacity) + 0.5 * (field capacity – wilting point)] | 0.25 | in./in. |
| 9 | Pervious Storage [Line 2 * (Line 7/12) * Line 8] | | cu. ft. |
| 10 | Fraction of DCV [Line 9 / Line 6] | | |
| 11 | Measured Infiltration Rate When mapped hydrologic soil groups are used enter 0.10 for NRCS Type D soils and for NRCS Type C soils enter 0.30 When in no infiltration condition and the actual measured infiltration rate is unknown enter 0.0 if there are geotechnical and/or groundwater hazards identified in Appendix C or enter 0.05 | | in/hr. |
| 12 | Factor of Safety | 2 | |
| 13 | Reliable Infiltration Rate [Line 11/Line 12] | | in/hr. |
| 14 | Dispersion Credit (Based on Figures B.5.6 to B.5.11; Line 10 and Line 13) | | |
| 15 | Volume retention due to amendment [Line 1 * (Line 5/12) * Line 14] | | cu. ft. |

Worksheet B.6-1: Flow-Thru Design Flows

| Flow-thru Design Flows | | Wor | ksheet B.6 | -1 |
|------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------|----------------|
| 1 | DCV | DCV | | cubic- feet |
| 2 | DCV retained | DCV _{retained} | | cubic- feet |
| 3 | DCV biofiltered | DCV _{biofiltered} | | cubic- feet |
| 4 | DCV requiring flow-thru (Line 1 – Line 2 – 0.67*Line 3) | DCV _{flow-thru} | | cubic- feet |
| 5 | Adjustment factor (Line 4 / Line 1)* | AF= | | unitless |
| 6 | Design rainfall intensity | i= | 0.20 | in/hr |
| 7 | Area tributary to BMP (s) | A= | | acres |
| 8 | Area-weighted runoff factor (estimate using Appendix B.2) | C= | | unitless |
| 9 | Calculate Flow Rate = AF x (C x i x A) | Q= | | cfs |
| 10 | For Proprietary Biofiltration Only: Q _{Bio} =1.5 x Q | Q _{Bio} = | | cfs |

Form I-10: Compact (high rate) Biofiltration BMP Checklist

Compact (high rate) Biofiltration BMP Checklist Form I-10

Compact (high rate) biofiltration BMPs have a media filtration rate greater than 5 in/hr. and a media surface area smaller than 3% of contributing area times adjusted runoff factor. Compact biofiltration BMPs are typically proprietary BMPs that may qualify as biofiltration.

A compact biofiltration BMP may satisfy the pollutant control requirements for a DMA onsite in some cases. This depends on the characteristics of the DMA and the performance certification/data of the BMP. If the pollutant control requirements for a DMA are met onsite, then the DMA is not required to participate in an offsite storm water alternative compliance program to meet its pollutant control obligations.

An applicant using a compact biofiltration BMP to meet the pollutant control requirements onsite must complete Section 1 of this form and include it in the PDP SWQMP. A separate form must be completed for each DMA. In instances where the City Engineer does not agree with the applicant's determination, Section 2 of this form will be completed by the City and returned to the applicant.

Section 1: Biofiltration Criteria Checklist (Appendix F)

Refer to Part 1 of the Storm Water Standards to complete this section. When separate forms/worksheets are referenced below, the applicant must also complete these separate forms/worksheets (as applicable) and include in the PDP SWQMP. The criteria numbers below correspond to the criteria numbers in Appendix F.

| Criteria 1 and 3: What is the infiltration condition of the DMA? Refer to Section 5.4.2 and Appendix C of the BMP Design Manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance. Applicant must complete and include the following in the PDP SWQMP submittal to support the feasibility Condition Infiltration Feasibility Condition Applicant must complete and include and and Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A and Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B. Applicant must complete and include all applicable sizing worksheets in the SWQMP submittal Applicant must complete and include all applicable sizing worksheets in the SWQMP submittal The required volume reduction is achieved proceed to Criteria 2. Stop. Compact biofiltration BMP is only allowed, if the target volume retention is met onsite (Refer to Table B.5-1 in Appendix B.5). Use Worksheet B.5-2 in Appendix B.5 to estimate the target volume retention (Note: retention in this context means reduction). If the required volume reduction is achieved proceed to Criteria 2. If the required volume reduction is not achieved, compact biofiltration BMP is allowed if volume retention criteria in Table B.5-1 in Appendix B.5 for the no infiltration condition is met. Compliance with this criterion must be documented in the PDP SWQMP. If the criteria in Table B.5-1 is not met, compact biofiltration BMP is not allowed. Stop. | correspond to the criteria numbers in Appendix F. | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| What is the infiltration condition of the DMA? Refer to Section 5.4.2 and Appendix C of the BMP Design Manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance. Applicant must complete and include the following in the PDP SWQMP submittal to support the feasibility determination: Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter; or Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A and Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B. Applicant must complete and include all applicable sizing worksheets in the SWQMP | Criteria | Answer | Progression | | | |
| Refer to Section 5.4.2 and Appendix C of the BMP Design Manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance. Applicant must complete and include the following in the PDP SWQMP submittal to support the feasibility determination: Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter; or Worksheet C.4-1: Form I- 8A and Worksheet C.4-2: Form I- 8B. Applicant must complete and include all applicable sizing worksheets in the SWQMP Worksheet B.5-2 in Appendix B.5 to estimate the target volume retention is met Onsite (Refer to Table B.5-1 in Appendix B.5). Use Worksheet B.5-2 in Appendix B.5 to estimate the target volume retention is met Onsite (Refer to Table B.5-1 in Appendix B.5.) Use Worksheet B.5-2 in Appendix B.5 to estimate the target volume retention is met Onsite (Refer to Table B.5-1 in Appendix B.5.) Use Worksheet B.5-2 in Appendix B.5 to estimate the target volume retention is met Onsite (Refer to Table B.5-1 in Appendix B.5.) Use Worksheet B.5-2 in Appendix B.5 to estimate the target volume retention is met Onsite (Refer to Table B.5-1 in Appendix B.5.) Use Worksheet B.5-2 in Appendix B.5 to estimate the target volume retention (Note: retention in this context means reduction). If the required volume reduction is achieved proceed to Criteria 2. If the required volume reduction is achieved proceed to Criteria 2. No Infiltration Compact biofiltration BMP is allowed if volume retention criteria in Table B.5-1 in Appendix B.5 for the no infiltration condition is met. Compliance with this criterion must be documented in the PDP SWQMP. If the criteria in Table B.5-1 is not met, compact | What is the infiltration | | Stop . Compact biofiltration BMP is not allowed. | | | |
| If the required volume reduction is achieved proceed to Criteria 2. If the required volume reduction is achieved proceed to Criteria 2. If the required volume reduction is not achieved, compact biofiltration BMP is not allowed. Stop. Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter; or Worksheet C.4-1: Form I- 8A and Worksheet C.4-2: Form I- 8B. Applicant must complete and include all applicable sizing worksheets in the SWQMP No Infiltration Condition If the required volume reduction is achieved proceed to Criteria 2. If the required volume reduction is not achieved, compact biofiltration BMP is allowed if volume retention criteria in Table B.5-1 in Appendix B.5 for the no infiltration condition is met. Compliance with this criterion must be documented in the PDP SWQMP. If the criteria in Table B.5-1 is met proceed to Criteria 2. If the criteria in Table B.5-1 is not met, compact | Appendix C of the BMP Design Manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for | □ Partial Infiltration | the target volume retention is met onsite (Refer to Table B.5-1 in Appendix B.5). Use Worksheet B.5- 2 in Appendix B.5 to estimate the target volume retention (Note: retention in | | | |
| Feasibility Condition Letter; or • Worksheet C.4-1: Form I- 8A and Worksheet C.4-2: Form I- 8B. Applicant must complete and include all applicable sizing worksheets in the SWQMP Worksheets in the SWQMP Compact biofiltration BMP is allowed if volume retention criteria in Table B.5-1 in Appendix B.5 for the no infiltration condition is met. Compliance with this criterion must be documented in the PDP SWQMP. If the criteria in Table B.5-1 is met proceed to Criteria 2. If the criteria in Table B.5-1 is not met, compact | include the following in the PDP SWQMP submittal to support the feasibility | Condition | proceed to Criteria 2. If the required volume reduction is not achieved, compact biofiltration BMP is not | | | |
| | Feasibility Condition Letter; or • Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A and Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B. Applicant must complete and include all applicable sizing worksheets in the SWQMP | - | Compact biofiltration BMP is allowed if volume retention criteria in Table B.5-1 in Appendix B.5 for the no infiltration condition is met. Compliance with this criterion must be documented in the PDP SWQMP. If the criteria in Table B.5-1 is met proceed to Criteria 2. If the criteria in Table B.5-1 is not met, compact | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Compact (high rate) | Biofiltration BMP | Checklist | Form I-10 |
|---|----------------------------------|--|---|
| Provide basis for Criteria 1 a | and 3: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Feasibility Analysis: | | | |
| Summarize findings and inclu Form I-8A and Worksheet C.4 | | | |
| | | | |
| If Partial Infiltration Condition | | | |
| Provide documentation that to SWQMP submittal). Workshelp benefits from landscape areas | et B.5-7 in Appendix B. | | |
| | | | |
| If No Infiltration Condition: Provide documentation that th B.5-2 in the PDP SWQMP sul B.5 can be used to document | omittal) in the PDP SW0 | QMP submittal. W | |
| | | | |
| Criteria | Answer | | Progression |
| Criteria 2: Is the compact biofiltration BMP sized to meet the performance standard from the MS4 Permit? Refer to Appendix B.5 and Appendix F.2 of the BMP Design Manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance. | □ Meets Flow Based Criteria | compact biofiltra based criteria. In PDP SWQMP. Use parameters manufacturer guithird party certifica loading rate | m Appendix F.2.2 to size the tion BMP to meet the flow aclude the calculations in the for sizing consistent with idelines and conditions of its cations (i.e. a BMP certified at of 1 gpm/sq. ft. cannot be a loading rate of 1.5 gpm/sq. |
| | □ Meets Volume Based Criteria | Provide docume biofiltration BMP routed) storage v and pre-filter d Appendix B.5 for times the portio retained onsite. | entation that the compact has a total static (i.e. non-colume, including pore-spaces letention volume (Refer to a schematic) of at least 0.75 on of the DCV not reliably |
| | ☐ Does not Meet | Stop. Compact b | eria 4. iofiltration BMP is not allowed. |

| Compact (high rate) | Bic | filtration BMP | Checklist | Form I-10 | |
|---|-----|---|--|---|--|
| Provide basis for Criteria 2: Provide documentation that the BMP meets the numeric criteria and is designed consistent with the manufacturer guidelines and conditions of its third-party certification (i.e., loading rate, etc., as applicable). | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Criteria | | Answer | | Progression | |
| Does the compact biofiltration BMP meet the pollutant treatment performance standard for the projects most significant pollutants of concern? | | Yes, meets the TAPE certification. | has an appropria | ntation that the compact BMP ate TAPE certification for the nificant pollutants of concern. | |
| Refer to Appendix B.6 and Appendix F.1 of the BMP Design Manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance. | | Yes, through other third-party documentation. | the discretion of engineer will con (b) representative and (c) consister claims with pollut F.1-2 and Tabl determination. If | | |
| | | No | | iofiltration BMP is not allowed. | |
| Provide basis for Criteria 4: Provide documentation that identifies the projects most significant pollutants of concern and TAPE certification or other third party documentation that shows that the compact biofiltration BMP meets the pollutant treatment performance standard for the projects most significant pollutants of concern. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Tompaot (mgm rate) | Biofiltration BMP | Checklist | Form I-10 | | |
|---|--------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Criteria | Answer | | Progression | | |
| Criteria 5: Is the compact biofiltration BMP designed to promote appropriate biological activity to support and maintain treatment process? | □ Yes | biofiltration BMP | ntation that the compact support appropriate . Refer to Appendix F for eria 6. | | |
| Refer to Appendix F of the BMP Design Manual (Part 1 of Storm Water Standards) for guidance. | □ No | Stop. Compact b | iofiltration BMP is not allowed. | | |
| Provide basis for Criteria 5: | | | | | |
| Provide documentation that appropriate biological activity is supported by the compact biofiltration BMP to maintain treatment process. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Cuitouio | Anguar | | Drawrossian | | |
| Criteria Criteria 6: Is the compact biofiltration BMP designed with a hydraulic loading rate to prevent erosion, scour and channeling within the | Answer □ Yes | biofiltration BMI consistent with r | Progression entation that the compact is used in a manner manufacturer guidelines and hird-party certification. eria 7. | | |
| Criteria 6: Is the compact biofiltration BMP designed with a hydraulic loading rate to prevent erosion, | | biofiltration BMI consistent with r conditions of its t Proceed to Crite | entation that the compact is used in a manner manufacturer guidelines and hird-party certification. | | |
| Criteria 6: Is the compact biofiltration BMP designed with a hydraulic loading rate to prevent erosion, scour and channeling within the | □ Yes | biofiltration BMI consistent with r conditions of its t Proceed to Crite | entation that the compact is used in a manner manufacturer guidelines and hird-party certification. | | |

| Criteria 7: Is the compact biofiltration BMP maintenance plan consistent with manufacturer guidelines and conditions of its third-party certification (i.e., maintenance activities, frequencies)? Yes, and the public right of way. Yes, and the BMP is privately owned, operated and not in the public right of way. Yes, and the BMP is either owned or operated by the City or in the public right of way. Asswer Yes, and the compact BMP is privately owned, operated and not in the public right of way. Yes, and the BMP is either owned or operated by the City or in the public right of way. Approval is at the discretion of the City Engineer. The city engineer will consider maintenance activities, relevant previous local experience with operation and maintenance or the BMP type, ability to continue to operate the system in event that the vending company is no longer operating as a business or other relevant factors while making the determination. Stop. Consult the City Engineer for a determination. | Compact (high rate) | Biofiltration BMP | Checklist Form I-10 |
|--|--|---|--|
| Is the compact biofiltration BMP maintenance plan consistent with manufacturer guidelines and conditions of its third-party certification (i.e., maintenance activities, frequencies)? Pes, and the compact BMP is privately owned, operated and not in the public right of way. | | | |
| Yes, and the BMP is either owned or operated by the City or in the public right of way. No Engineer. The city engineer will consider maintenance requirements, cost or maintenance activities, relevant previous local experience with operation and maintenance or the BMP type, ability to continue to operate the system in event that the vending company is no longer operating as a business or other relevant factors while making the determination. Stop. Consult the City Engineer for a determination. Stop. Compact biofiltration BMP is not allowed Provide basis for Criteria 7: Include copy of manufacturer guidelines and conditions of third-party certification in the maintenance activities, relevant previous local experience with operation and maintenance or the BMP type, ability to continue to operate the system in event that the compact biofiltration and maintenance or the BMP type, ability to continue to operate the system in event that the vending company is no longer operating as a business or other relevant factors while making the determination. Stop. Compact biofiltration BMP is not allowed | Is the compact biofiltration BMP maintenance plan consistent with manufacturer guidelines and conditions of its third-party certification (i.e., maintenance | compact BMP is privately owned, operated and not in the public right of | certification. Stop . The compact biofiltration BMP meets the |
| Provide basis for Criteria 7: Include copy of manufacturer guidelines and conditions of third-party certification in the maintenance agreement. PDP SWQMP must include a statement that the compact BMP will be maintained in | | is either owned or operated by the City or in the | maintenance activities, relevant previous local experience with operation and maintenance of the BMP type, ability to continue to operate the system in event that the vending company is no longer operating as a business or other relevant factors while making the determination. Stop. Consult the City Engineer for a |
| Provide basis for Criteria 7: Include copy of manufacturer guidelines and conditions of third-party certification in the maintenance agreement. PDP SWQMP must include a statement that the compact BMP will be maintained in | ! | □ No | Stop . Compact biofiltration BMP is not allowed. |
| | agreement. PDP SWQMP mu | ist include a statement | that the compact BMP will be maintained in |

| Compact (high rate) Biofiltration BMP Checklist | Form I-10 |
|---|------------------------------|
| Section 1: Biofiltration Criteria Checklist (Appendix F) | |
| Is the proposed compact BMP accepted by the City ☐ Yes | |
| Engineer for onsite pollutant control compliance for the DMA? | nation below |
| Explanation/reason if the compact BMP is not accepted by the City for o | onsite pollutant control |
| compliance: | origine politicarit cortiror |
| - Compilation. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Worksheet C.4-2 (Form I-8A): Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition Based on Geotechnical Conditions²

| Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions | | Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A ³ | |
|---|--|---|--|
| | Part 1 - Full Infiltration Feasibility Screenin | g Criteria | |
| DMA(s) B | eing Analyzed: | Project Phase: | |
| | | | |
| Criteria 1: | Infiltration Rate Screening | | |
| | Is the mapped hydrologic soil group according to the NRCS Web Soil Survey or UC Davis Soil Web Mapper Type A or B and corroborated by available site soil data 4? | | |
| | ☐ Yes; the DMA may feasibly support full infiltration. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 1 Result or continue to Step 1B if the applicant elects to perform infiltration testing. | | |
| 1A | ☐ No; the mapped soil types are A or B but is not corroborated by available site soil data (continue to Step 1B). | | |
| | ☐ No; the mapped soil types are C, D, or "urban/unclassified" and is corroborated by available site soil data. Answer "No" to Criteria 1 Result. | | |
| | □ No; the mapped soil types are C, D, or "urban/unclassified" but is not corroborated by available site soil data (continue to Step 1B). | | |
| 1B | Is the reliable infiltration rate calculated using planning pha ☐ Yes; Continue to Step 1C. | se methods from Table D.3-1? | |
| | □ No; Skip to Step 1D. | | |
| 10 | Is the reliable infiltration rate calculated using planning phagreater than 0.5 inches per hour? | | |
| 1C | ☐ Yes; the DMA may feasibly support full infiltration. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 1 Result. | | |
| ☐ No; full infiltration is not required. Answer "No" to Criteria 1 Result. | | | |
| 1D | Infiltration Testing Method. Is the selected infiltration testing method suitable during the design phase (see Appendix D.3)? Note: Alternative testing standards may be allowed with appropriate rationales and documentation. | | |
| | ☐ Yes; continue to Step 1E.☐ No; select an appropriate infiltration testing method. | | |

² Note that it is not required to investigate each and every criterion in the worksheet, a single "no" answer in Part 1, Part 2, Part 3, or Part 4 determines a full, partial, or no infiltration condition.

³ This form must be completed each time there is a change to the site layout that would affect the infiltration feasibility condition. Previously completed forms shall be retained to document the evolution of the site storm water design.

⁴ Available data includes site-specific sampling or observation of soil types or texture classes, such as obtained from borings or test pits necessary to support other design elements.

| | egorization of Infiltration Feasibility ion based on Geotechnical Conditions | Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A ³ | |
|---|--|---|--|
| 1E | Number of Percolation/Infiltration Tests. Does the infiltration testing method performed satisfy the minimum number of tests specified in Table D.3-2? □ Yes; continue to Step 1F. □ No; conduct appropriate number of tests. | | |
| IF | Factor of Safety. Is the suitable Factor of Safety selected for full infiltration design? See guidance in D.5; Tables D.5-1 and D.5-2; and Worksheet D.5-1 (Form I-9). ☐ Yes; continue to Step 1G. ☐ No; select appropriate factor of safety. | | |
| 1G | Full Infiltration Feasibility. Is the average measured infiltration rate divided by the Factor of Safety greater than 0.5 inches per hour? ☐ Yes; answer "Yes" to Criteria 1 Result. ☐ No; answer "No" to Criteria 1 Result. | | |
| Criteria 1 | Is the estimated reliable infiltration rate greater than 0.5 incomplete where runoff can reasonably be routed to a BMP? | ches per hour within the DMA | |
| Result | ☐ Yes; the DMA may feasibly support full infiltration. Continue to Criteria 2. | | |
| | $\hfill\square$ No; full infiltration is not required. Skip to Part 1 Result. | | |
| Summarize infiltration testing methods, testing locations, replicates, and results and summarize estimates of reliable infiltration rates according to procedures outlined in D.5. Documentation should be included in project geotechnical report. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A³ **Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions** Criteria 2: Geologic/Geotechnical Screening If all questions in Step 2A are answered "Yes," continue to Step 2B. For any "No" answer in Step 2A answer "No" to Criteria 2, and submit an "Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter" that meets the requirements in Appendix C.1.1. The 2A geologic/geotechnical analyses listed in Appendix C.2.1 do not apply to the DMA because one of the following setbacks cannot be avoided and therefore result in the DMA being in a no infiltration condition. The setbacks must be the closest horizontal radial distance from the surface edge (at the overflow elevation) of the BMP. Can the proposed full infiltration BMP(s) avoid areas with existing fill 2A-1 ☐ Yes □ No materials greater than 5 feet thick below the infiltrating surface? Can the proposed full infiltration BMP(s) avoid placement within 10 feet 2A-2 ☐ Yes □ No of existing underground utilities, structures, or retaining walls? Can the proposed full infiltration BMP(s) avoid placement within 50 feet 2A-3 of a natural slope (>25%) or within a distance of 1.5H from fill slopes ☐ Yes □ No where H is the height of the fill slope? When full infiltration is determined to be feasible, a geotechnical investigation report must be prepared that considers the relevant factors identified in Appendix C.2.1. 2B If all questions in Step 2B are answered "Yes," then answer "Yes" to Criteria 2 Result. If there are "No" answers continue to Step 2C. Hydroconsolidation. Analyze hydroconsolidation potential per approved ASTM standard due to a proposed full infiltration BMP. 2B-1 ☐ Yes □ No Can full infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing hydroconsolidation risks? **Expansive Soils.** Identify expansive soils (soils with an expansion index greater than 20) and the extent of such soils due to proposed full infiltration BMPs. 2B-2 ☐ Yes □ No Can full infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing expansive soil risks?

| | egorization of Infiltration Feasibility ion based on Geotechnical Conditions Worksheet | C.4-1: Forr | n I-8A³ |
|------|--|-------------|---------|
| 2B-3 | Liquefaction. If applicable, identify mapped liquefaction areas. Evaluate liquefaction hazards in accordance with Section 6.4.2 of the City of San Diego's Guidelines for Geotechnical Reports (2011 or most recent edition). Liquefaction hazard assessment shall take into account any increase in groundwater elevation or groundwater mounding that could occur as a result of proposed infiltration or percolation facilities. Can full infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing liquefaction risks? | □ Yes | □No |
| 2B-4 | Slope Stability. If applicable, perform a slope stability analysis in accordance with the ASCE and Southern California Earthquake Center (2002) Recommended Procedures for Implementation of DMG Special Publication 117, Guidelines for Analyzing and Mitigating Landslide Hazards in California to determine minimum slope setbacks for full infiltration BMPs. See the City of San Diego's Guidelines for Geotechnical Reports (2011) to determine which type of slope stability analysis is required. Can full infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing slope stability risks? | □ Yes | □No |
| 2B-5 | Other Geotechnical Hazards. Identify site-specific geotechnical hazards not already mentioned (refer to Appendix C.2.1). Can full infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing risk of geologic or geotechnical hazards not already mentioned? | □ Yes | □ No |
| 2B-6 | Setbacks. Establish setbacks from underground utilities, structures, and/or retaining walls. Reference applicable ASTM or other recognized standard in the geotechnical report. Can full infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA using established setbacks from underground utilities, structures, and/or retaining walls? | □ Yes | □ No |

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: ________ 45 of 68

| Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions | | Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A ³ | | |
|---|---|---|----------------------|--|
| 2C | Mitigation Measures. Propose mitigation measures for each geologic/geotechnical hazard identified in Step 2B. Provide a discussion of geologic/geotechnical hazards that would prevent full infiltration BMPs that cannot be reasonably mitigated in the geotechnical report. See Appendix C.2.1.8 for a list of typically reasonable and typically unreasonable mitigation measures. Can mitigation measures be proposed to allow for full infiltration BMPs? If the question in Step 2 is answered "Yes," then answer "Yes" to Criteria 2 Result. If the question in Step 2C is answered "No," then answer "No" to Criteria 2 Result. | | □ No | |
| Criteria 2 Result | I increasing risk of deologic or deolechnical hazards that cannot be I I I Ves I I I No | | □ No | |
| Summarize findings and basis; provide references to related reports or exhibits. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Part 1 Result – Full Infiltration Geotechnical Screening ⁵ | | Result | | |
| If answers to both Criteria 1 and Criteria 2 are "Yes", a full infiltration design is potentially feasible based on Geotechnical conditions only. | | □ Full infiltrati | filtration Condition | |
| If either answer to Criteria 1 or Criteria 2 is "No", a full infiltration design is not required. | | art 2 | | |

⁵ To be completed using gathered site information and best professional judgement considering the definition of MEP in the MS4 Permit. Additional testing and/or studies may be required by City Engineer to substantiate findings.

| | egorization of Infiltration Feasibility ion based on Geotechnical Conditions | Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A ³ | |
|---|--|---|--|
| | Part 2 – Partial vs. No Infiltration Feasibility Scr | eening Criteria | |
| DMA(s) B | eing Analyzed: | Project Phase: | |
| | | | |
| Criteria 3: | Infiltration Rate Screening | | |
| 3A | NRCS Type C, D, or "urban/unclassified": Is the mapped hydrologic soil group according to the NRCS Web Soil Survey or UC Davis Soil Web Mapper is Type C, D, or "urban/unclassified" and corroborated by available site soil data? ☐ Yes; the site is mapped as C soils and a reliable infiltration rate of 0.15 in/hr. is used to size partial infiltration BMPS. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 3 Result. ☐ Yes; the site is mapped as D soils or "urban/unclassified" and a reliable infiltration rate of | | |
| | 0.05 in/hr. is used to size partial infiltration BMPS. An ☐ No; infiltration testing is conducted (refer to Table D.3) | | |
| 3B | Infiltration Testing Result: Is the reliable infiltration rate (i.e. average measured infiltration rate/2) greater than 0.05 in/hr. and less than or equal to 0.5 in/hr? ☐ Yes; the site may support partial infiltration. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 3 Result. ☐ No; the reliable infiltration rate (i.e. average measured rate/2) is less than 0.05 in/hr., partial infiltration is not required. Answer "No" to Criteria 3 Result. | | |
| Criteria 3 Result | Is the estimated reliable infiltration rate (i.e., average measured infiltration rate/2) greater than or equal to 0.05 inches/hour and less than or equal to 0.5 inches/hour at any location within each DMA where runoff can reasonably be routed to a BMP? □ Yes; Continue to Criteria 4. □ No: Skip to Part 2 Result. | | |
| Summarize infiltration testing and/or mapping results (i.e. soil maps and series description used for infiltration rate). | | | |
| | | | |

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A³ **Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions** Criteria 4: Geologic/Geotechnical Screening If all questions in Step 4A are answered "Yes," continue to Step 2B. For any "No" answer in Step 4A answer "No" to Criteria 4 Result, and submit an "Infiltration Feasibility Condition Letter" that meets the requirements in Appendix C.1.1. The 4A geologic/geotechnical analyses listed in Appendix C.2.1 do not apply to the DMA because one of the following setbacks cannot be avoided and therefore result in the DMA being in a no infiltration condition. The setbacks must be the closest horizontal radial distance from the surface edge (at the overflow elevation) of the BMP. Can the proposed partial infiltration BMP(s) avoid areas with existing 4A-1 □ Yes □ No fill materials greater than 5 feet thick? Can the proposed partial infiltration BMP(s) avoid placement within 10 4A-2 ☐ Yes □ No feet of existing underground utilities, structures, or retaining walls? Can the proposed partial infiltration BMP(s) avoid placement within 50 4A-3 feet of a natural slope (>25%) or within a distance of 1.5H from fill □ Yes □ No slopes where H is the height of the fill slope? When full infiltration is determined to be feasible, a geotechnical investigation report must be prepared that considers the relevant factors identified in Appendix C.2.1 4B If all questions in Step 4B are answered "Yes," then answer "Yes" to Criteria 4 Result. If there are any "No" answers continue to Step 4C. **Hydroconsolidation.** Analyze hydroconsolidation potential per approved ASTM standard due to a proposed full infiltration BMP. 4B-1 ☐ Yes □ No Can partial infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing hydroconsolidation risks? **Expansive Soils.** Identify expansive soils (soils with an expansion index greater than 20) and the extent of such soils due to proposed 4B-2 full infiltration BMPs. ☐ Yes □ No Can partial infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing expansive soil risks? **Liquefaction**. If applicable, identify mapped liquefaction areas. Evaluate liquefaction hazards in accordance with Section 6.4.2 of the City of San Diego's Guidelines for Geotechnical Reports (2011). Liquefaction hazard assessment shall take into account any increase 4B-3 ☐ Yes □ No in groundwater elevation or groundwater mounding that could occur as a result of proposed infiltration or percolation facilities. Can partial infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing liquefaction risks?

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: 48 of 68

| | egorization of Infiltration Feasibility ion based on Geotechnical Conditions Workshee | et C.4-1: Form | 1-8A ³ |
|----------------------|--|----------------|-------------------|
| 4B-4 | Slope Stability. If applicable, perform a slope stability analysis in accordance with the ASCE and Southern California Earthquake Center (2002) Recommended Procedures for Implementation of DMG Special Publication 117, Guidelines for Analyzing and Mitigating Landslide Hazards in California to determine minimum slope setbacks for full infiltration BMPs. See the City of San Diego's Guidelines for Geotechnical Reports (2011) to determine which type of slope stability analysis is required. Can partial infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing slope stability risks? | □ Yes | □ No |
| 4B-5 | Other Geotechnical Hazards. Identify site-specific geotechnical hazards not already mentioned (refer to Appendix C.2.1). Can partial infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA without increasing risk of geologic or geotechnical hazards not already mentioned? | □ Yes | □ No |
| 4B-6 | Setbacks. Establish setbacks from underground utilities, structures, and/or retaining walls. Reference applicable ASTM or other recognized standard in the geotechnical report. Can partial infiltration BMPs be proposed within the DMA using recommended setbacks from underground utilities, structures, and/or retaining walls? | □ Yes | □No |
| 4C | Mitigation Measures. Propose mitigation measures for each geologic/geotechnical hazard identified in Step 4B. Provide a discussion on geologic/geotechnical hazards that would prevent partial infiltration BMPs that cannot be reasonably mitigated in the geotechnical report. See Appendix C.2.1.8 for a list of typically reasonable and typically unreasonable mitigation measures. Can mitigation measures be proposed to allow for partial infiltration BMPs? If the question in Step 4C is answered "Yes," then answer "Yes" to Criteria 4 Result. If the question in Step 4C is answered "No," then answer "No" to Criteria 4 Result. | □ Yes | □No |
| Criteria 4 Result | Can infiltration of greater than or equal to 0.05 inches/hour and less than or equal to 0.5 inches/hour be allowed without increasing the risk of geologic or geotechnical hazards that cannot be reasonably mitigated to an acceptable level? | □ Yes | □ No |

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Worksheet C.4-1: Form I-8A³ **Condition based on Geotechnical Conditions** Summarize findings and basis; provide references to related reports or exhibits Part 2 - Partial Infiltration Geotechnical Screening Result⁶ Result ☐ Partial Infiltration If answers to both Criteria 3 and Criteria 4 are "Yes", a partial infiltration design is potentially feasible based on geotechnical conditions only. Condition If answers to either Criteria 3 or Criteria 4 is "No", then infiltration of any volume ☐ No Infiltration is considered to be infeasible within the site. Condition

⁶ To be completed using gathered site information and best professional judgement considering the definition of MEP in the MS4 Permit. Additional testing and/or studies may be required by City Engineer to substantiate findings.

Worksheet C.4-2: Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions⁷

| | zation of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based roundwater and Water Balance Conditions | Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B ⁸ | |
|---------------|--|---|--|
| | Part 1 - Full Infiltration Feasibility Screen | ning Criteria | |
| DMA(s) Bei | DMA(s) Being Analyzed: Project Phase: | | |
| | | | |
| Criteria 1: (| Groundwater Screening | | |
| 1A | Groundwater Depth. Is the depth to seasonally high groundwater tables (normal high depth during the wet season) beneath the base of any full infiltration BMP greater than 10 feet? ☐ Yes; continue to Step 1B. ☐ No; The depth to groundwater is less than or equal to 10 feet, but site layout changes or reasonable mitigation measures can be proposed to support full infiltration BMPs. Continue to step 1B. ☐ No; The depth to groundwater is less than or equal to 10 feet and site layout changes or reasonable mitigation measures cannot be proposed to support full infiltration BMPs. Answer "No" for Criteria 1 Result. | | |
| 1B | Contaminated Soil/Groundwater. Are proposed full infiltration BMPs at least 250 feet away from contaminated soil or groundwater sites? This can be confirmed using GeoTracker (geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov) to identify open contaminated sites. The setbacks must be the closest horizontal radial distance from the surface edge (at the overflow elevation) of the BMP. Yes; continue to Step 1C. No; However, site layout changes or reasonable mitigation measures can be proposed to support full infiltration BMPs. Continue to Step 1C. No; Site layout changes or reasonable mitigation measures cannot be proposed to support full infiltration BMPs. Answer "No" to Criteria 1 Result. | | |

⁷ Note that it is not required to investigate each and every criterion in the worksheet, a single "no" answer in Part 1, Part 2, part 3, or Part 4 determines a full, partial, or no infiltration condition.

⁸ This form must be completed each time there is a change to the site layout that would affect the infiltration feasibility condition. Previously completed forms shall be retained to document the evolution of the site storm water design.

| | eation of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based roundwater and Water Balance Conditions Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B ⁸ | | |
|------------|---|--|--|
| | Inadequate Soil Treatment Capacity. Are full infiltration BMPs proposed in DMA soils that have adequate soil treatment capacity? | | |
| | The DMA has adequate soil treatment capacity if ALL of the following criteria (detailed in C.2.2.1) for all soil layers beneath the infiltrating surface are met: | | |
| | USDA texture class is sandy loam or loam or silt loam or silt or sandy clay loam or clay loam or silty clay loam or sandy clay or silty clay or clay; and | | |
| 1 | Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) greater than 5 milliequivalents/100g; and | | |
| 1C | Soil organic matter is greater than 1%; and | | |
| | Groundwater table is equal to or greater than 10 feet beneath the base of the full infiltration BMP. | | |
| | ☐ Yes; continue to Step 1D. | | |
| | □ No; However, site layout changes or reasonable mitigation measures can be proposed to support full infiltration BMPs. Continue to Step 1D. | | |
| | □ No; Site layout changes or reasonable mitigation measures cannot be proposed to support full infiltration BMPs. Answer "No" to Criteria 1 Result. | | |
| | Other Groundwater Contamination Hazards. Are there site-specific groundwater contamination hazards not already mentioned (refer to Appendix C.2.2) that can be reasonably mitigated to support full infiltration BMPs? | | |
| 1D | ☐ Yes; there are other contamination hazards identified that can be mitigated. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 1 Result. | | |
| | □ No; there are other contamination hazards identified that cannot be mitigated. Answer "No" to Criteria 1 Result. | | |
| | □ N/A; no contamination hazards are identified. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 1 Result. | | |
| | Can infiltration greater than 0.5 inches per hour be allowed without increasing risk of groundwater contamination that cannot be reasonably mitigated to an acceptable level? See Appendix C.2.2.8 for a list of typically reasonable and typically unreasonable mitigation measures. | | |
| Criteria 1 | ☐ Yes; Continue to Part 1, Criteria 2. | | |
| Result | □ No; Continue to Part 1 Result. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions | Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B ⁸ |
|--|---|
| Summarize groundwater quality and any mitigation measures propose groundwater table, mapped soil types and contaminated site locations | ed. Documentation should focus on . |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | zation of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based Froundwater and Water Balance Conditions | Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B ⁸ | | |
|----------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Criteria 2: \ | Criteria 2: Water Balance Screening | | | |
| | Ephemeral Stream Setback. Does the proposed full infil following? | Itration BMP meet both the | | |
| | The full infiltration BMP is located at least 250 fee AND | et away from an ephemeral stream; | | |
| 2A | The bottom surface of the full infiltration BMP is a seasonally high groundwater tables. | at a depth 20 feet or greater from | | |
| | ☐ Yes; Answer "Yes" to Criteria 2 Result. | | | |
| | ☐ No; Continue to Step 2B. | | | |
| | Mitigation Measures. Can site layout changes be propo- | sed to support full infiltration BMPs? | | |
| 2B | ☐ Yes; the site can be reconfigured to mitigate potential water balance issues. Answer "Yes" to Criteria 2 Result. | | | |
| | ☐ No; the site cannot be reconfigured to mitigate potential water balance issues. Continue to Step 2C and provide discussion. | | | |
| | Additional studies. Do additional studies support full infi | iltration BMPs? | | |
| 2C | In the event that water balance effects are used to reject rare), additional analysis shall be completed and docume indicating the site-specific information evaluated and the | nted by a qualified professional | | |
| | ☐ Yes; Answer "Yes" to Criteria 2 Result. | | | |
| | □ No; Answer "No" to Criteria 2 Result. | | | |
| | Can infiltration greater than 0.5 inches per hour be allowed without causing potential water balance issues such as change of seasonality of ephemeral streams? | | | |
| | ☐ Yes; Continue to Part 1 Result. | | | |
| Critoria 2 | □ No; Continue to Part 1 Result. | | | |
| Criteria 2 Result | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions | Worksheet (| C.4-2: Form I-8B ⁸ |
|---|---------------------|---|
| Summarize potential water balance effects. Documentation should focus on mapping and soil data regarding proximity to ephemeral streams and groundwater depth. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Part 1 – Full Infiltration Groundwater and Water Balance Screening | Result ⁹ | Result |
| If answers to Criteria 1 and 2 are "Yes", a full infiltration design is potent feasible. The feasibility screening category is Full Infiltration based on g conditions. | | |
| If answer to Criteria 1 or Criteria 2 is "No", infiltration may be possible to | | ☐ Full Infiltration☐ Complete Part 2 |
| extent but would not generally be feasible or desirable to achieve a "full design based on groundwater conditions. Proceed to Part 2. | infiltration" | _ 55p.667 4112 |
| | | |

⁹ To be completed using gathered site information and best professional judgement considering the definition of MEP in the MS4 Permit. Additional testing and/or studies may be required by City Engineer to substantiate findings.

| Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions | Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B ⁸ | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Part 2 – Partial vs. No Infiltration Feasibility Screening Criteria | | | |
| DMA(s) Being Analyzed: Project Phase: | | | |
| | | | |
| Criteria 3: Groundwater Screening | | | |
| Contaminated Soil/Groundwater. Are partial infiltration BMPs proposed at least 100 feet away from contaminated soil or groundwater sites? This can be confirmed using GeoTracker (geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov) to identify open contaminated sites. This criterion is intentionally a smaller radius than full infiltration, as the potential quantity of infiltration from partial infiltration BMPs is smaller. | | | |
| ☐ Yes; Answer "Yes" to Criteria 3 Result. | | | |
| □ No; However, site layout changes can be proposed to avoid contaminated soils or soils that lack adequate treatment capacity. Select "Yes" to Criteria 3 Result. It is a requirement for the SWQMP preparer to identify potential mitigation measures. | | | |
| □ No; Contaminated soils or soils that lack adequate treatment capacity cannot be avoided and partial infiltration BMPs are not feasible. Select "No" to Criteria 3 Result. | | | |
| Criteria 3 Result: Can infiltration of greater than or equal to 0.05 inches/hour and less than or equal to 0.5 inches/hour be allowed without increasing risk of groundwater contamination that cannot be reasonably mitigated to an acceptable level? | | | |
| Yes; Continue to Part 2, Criteria 4. | | | |
| □ No; Skip to Part 2 Result. | | | |
| Summarize findings and basis. Documentation should focus on mapped soil types and contaminated site locations. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: _______ 56 of 68

Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition based on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions

Worksheet C.4-2: Form I-8B⁸

| on Groundwater and Water Balance Conditions | Workshoot 6.4-2 | 1 OIIII 1-0B | |
|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| Criteria 4: Water Balance Screening | | | |
| Additional studies. In the event that water balance effects are used to reject partial infiltration (anticipated to be rare), a qualified professional must provide an analysis of the incremental effects of partial infiltration BMPs on the water balance compared to incidental infiltration under a no infiltration scenario (e.g. precipitation, irrigation, etc.). | | | |
| Criteria 4 Result: Can infiltration of greater than or equal to 0.05 inches/hour and less than or equal to 0.5 inches/hour be allowed without causing potential water balance issues such as change of seasonality of ephemeral streams? □ Yes: Continue to Part 2 Result. | | | |
| Summarize potential water balance effects. Documentation should focus on mapping and soil data regarding proximity to ephemeral streams and groundwater depth | | | |
| | | | |
| Part 2 – Partial Infiltration Groundwater and Water Balance Screen | ning Result ¹⁰ | Result | |
| If answers to Criteria 3 and Criteria 4 are "Yes", a partial infiltration des feasible. The feasibility screening category is Partial Infiltration based of and water balance conditions. If answer to Criteria 3 or Criteria 4 is "No", then infiltration of any volume be infeasible within the site. The feasibility screening category is No Ingroundwater or water balance condition. | on groundwater | ☐ Partial Infiltration Condition ☐ No Infiltration Condition | |

¹⁰ To be completed using gathered site information and best professional judgement considering the definition of MEP in the MS4 Permit. Additional testing and/or studies may be required by City Engineer to substantiate findings.

| | Factor of Safety and Design Infiltration Rate Worksheet | | | Form I-9 | |
|---|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|
| Fac | tor Category | Factor Description Assigned Weight (w) | | Factor Product Value (p) (v) p = w x v | |
| | | Soil assessment methods | 0.25 | | |
| | | Predominant soil texture | 0.25 | | |
| Α | Suitability | Site soil variability | 0.25 | | |
| | Assessment | Depth to groundwater or impervious layer | 0.25 | | |
| | | Suitability Assessment Safety Fa | actor, $S_A = \Sigma p$ | | |
| | | Level of pretreatment/ expected sediment loads | 0.5 | | |
| | Design | Redundancy/resiliency | 0.25 | | |
| В | B Design | Compaction during construction | 0.25 | | |
| | | Design Safety Factor, $S_B = \Sigma p$ | | | |
| Com | Combined Safety Factor, S _{total} = S _A x S _B | | | | |
| | Observed Infiltration Rate, inch/hr, K _{observed} (corrected for test-specific bias) | | | | |
| Design Infiltration Rate, in/hr, K _{design} = K _{observed} / S _{total} | | | | | |
| Sup | porting Data | | | | |
| Briefly describe infiltration test and provide reference to test forms: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Factor of Safety and Design Infiltra W | tion Rate /orksheet | Form I-9 |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|
| The Geotechnical Engineer certifies they complete | ed Form I-9 (s | see Appendix C.4.3). |
| Professional Geotechnical Engineer's Printed Name: | | [SEAL] |
| Professional Geotechnical Engineer's Signed Name: | | |
| Date: | | |

ATTACHMENT 2

BACKUP FOR PDP HYDROMODIFICATION CONTROL MEASURES

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 2.

☐Mark this box if this attachment is empty because the project is exempt from PDP hydromodification management requirements.

Indicate which Items are Included behind this cover sheet:

| Attachment | Contents | Checklist |
|---------------|--|---|
| Sequence | | |
| Attachment 2a | Flow Control Facility Design, including Structural BMP Drawdown Calculations and Overflow Design Summary (Required) See Chapter 6 and Appendix G of the Storm Water Design Manual | □Included □Submitted as separate stand- alone document |
| Attachment 2b | Hydromodification Management Exhibit (Required) | □Included See Hydromodification Management Exhibit Checklist on the back of this Attachment cover sheet. |
| Attachment 2c | Management of Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas See Section 6.2 and Appendix H of the Storm Water Design Manual. | □Exhibit depicting onsite and/or upstream sources of critical coarse sediment as mapped in the WMAA AND, □Demonstration that the project effectively avoids and bypasses sources of mapped critical coarse sediment OR, □Demonstration that the downstream system is not sensitive to preservation of Coarse Sediment Supply (Form I-11). □Demonstration that project does not generate a net impact on the receiving water. |
| Attachment 2d | Geomorphic Assessment of Receiving Channels (Optional) See Section 6.3.4 of the Storm Water Design Manual. | □Not performed □Included □Submitted as separate standalone document |
| Attachment 2e | Vector Control Plan (Required when structural BMPs will not drain in 96 hours) | □Included □Not required because BMPs will drain in less than 96 hours |

Use this checklist to ensure the required information has been included on the Hydromodification Management Exhibit:

| The Hydromodification Management Exhibit must identify: |
|---|
| □Underlying hydrologic soil group |
| □Approximate depth to groundwater |
| □Existing natural hydrologic features (watercourses, seeps, springs, wetlands) |
| □Critical coarse sediment yield areas to be protected |
| □Existing topography |
| □Existing and proposed site drainage network and connections to drainage offsite |
| □ Proposed grading |
| □Proposed impervious features |
| □ Proposed design features and surface treatments used to minimize imperviousness |
| □Point(s) of Compliance (POC) for Hydromodification Management |
| □ Existing and proposed drainage boundary and drainage area to each POC (when necessary create separate exhibits for pre-development and post-project conditions) |
| ☐Structural BMPs for hydromodification management (identify location, type of BMP, and size/detail) |

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: 61 of 68

Form I-11 Downstream Systems Requirements for Preservation of Coarse **Sediment Supply** When it has been determined that potential critical coarse sediment yield areas exist within the project site, the next step is to determine whether downstream systems would be sensitive to reduction of coarse sediment yield from the project site. Use this form to document the evaluation of downstream systems requirements for preservation of coarse sediment supply. Project Name: Project Tracking Number / Permit Application Number: Go to 2 Will the project discharge runoff to a ☐ Hardened MS4 system hardened MS4 system (pipe or lined channel) or an un-lined channel? Go to 4 ☐ Un-lined channel 2 Will the hardened MS4 system convey Go to 3 \square Convey sediment (e.g., a concrete-lined channel with steep slope and cleansing velocity) or sink sediment (e.g., flat slopes, constrictions, treatment BMPs, or ponds with restricted Go to 7 □ Sink outlets within the system will trap sediment and not allow conveyance of coarse sediment from the project site to an un-lined system). What kind of receiving water will the ☐ Un-lined channel Go to 4 hardened MS4 system convey the sediment to? Go to 7 □ Lake ☐ Reservoir □ Bay Go to 6 ☐ Lagoon □ Ocean Is the un-lined channel impacted by Go to 7 □ Yes deposition of sediment? This condition must Go to 5 \square No be documented by the local agency. 5 End – Preserve coarse sediment supply to protect un-lined channels from accelerated erosion due to reduction of coarse sediment yield from the project site unless further investigation determines the sediment is not critical to the receiving stream. Sediment that is critical to receiving streams is the sediment that is a significant source of bed material to the receiving stream (bed sediment supply) (see Section 6.2.3 and Appendix H.2 of the manual). End – Provide management measures for preservation of coarse sediment supply (protect beach sand supply). 7 End – Downstream system does not warrant preservation of coarse sediment supply, no measures for protection of critical coarse sediment yield areas onsite are necessary. Use the space below to describe the basis for this finding for the project.

ATTACHMENT 3

Structural BMP Maintenance Information

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 3.

Indicate which Items are Included behind this cover sheet:

| Attachment Sequence | Contents | Checklist |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Attachment 3a | Structural BMP Maintenance Plan (Required) | □Included |
| | | See Structural BMP Maintenance Information Checklist on the back of this Attachment cover sheet. |
| Attachment 3b | Draft Storm Water Control Facilities Maintenance Agreement (SWCFMA) (when applicable) | □Included □Not Applicable |

Use this checklist to ensure the required information has been included in the Structural BMP Maintenance Information Attachment:

Attachment 3a must identify:

| □ Specific maintenance indicators and actions for proposed structural BMP(s). This must be based on Section 7.7 and Appendix E of the Storm Water Design Manual and enhanced to reflect actual proposed components of the structural BMP(s) |
|---|
| ☐ How to access the structural BMP(s) to inspect and perform maintenance |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| ☐ Features that are provided to facilitate inspection (e.g., observation ports, cleanouts, silt |
| posts, or other features that allow the inspector to view necessary components of the structural BMP and compare to maintenance thresholds) |
| ☐ Manufacturer and part number for proprietary parts of structural BMP(s) when applicable |
| ☐ Maintenance thresholds specific to the structural BMP(s), with a location-specific frame of reference (e.g., level of accumulated materials that triggers removal of the materials, to be identified based on viewing marks on silt posts or measured with a survey rod with respect to a fixed benchmark within the BMP) |
| □Recommended equipment to perform maintenance |
| ☐When applicable, necessary special training or certification requirements for inspection and maintenance personnel such as confined space entry or hazardous waste management |

Attachment 3b: For all Structural BMPs, Attachment 3b must include a draft maintenance agreement in the City's standard format (PDP applicant to contact City staff to obtain the current maintenance agreement forms or download from City's website).

ATTACHMENT 4

City of Escondido PDP Structural BMP Verification for Permitted Land Development Projects

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 4.

| City of Escondido Storm Water Stru | ictural BMP Verification Form Page 1 of 3 |
|--|--|
| Project Sum | mary Information |
| Project Name | |
| Permit Number (e.g., grading/improvement plan number) | |
| Project Address | |
| | |
| Assessor's Parcel Number(s) (APN(s)) | |
| Project Watershed | |
| (Complete Hydrologic Unit, Area, and Subarea Name with Numeric Identifier) | |
| Maintenance Notification / Agreement No. | |
| Responsible Party | for Construction Phase |
| Developer's Name | |
| Address | |
| | |
| Email Address | |
| Phone Number | |
| Engineer of Work | |
| Engineer's Phone Number | |
| Responsible Party t | or Ongoing Maintenance |
| Owner's Name(s)* | |
| Address | |
| | |
| | |
| Email Address | |
| Phone Number | |
| | ation for principal partner or Agent for Service of ne Board or property manager at time of project |

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: ________65 of 68

| Stormwater Structu | ral Pollutan Lis') | t Control & Hydro t all from SWQMI | omodification Control P) | I BMPs* |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------|
| Description/Type of Structural BMP | Plan Sheet # | Structural BMP ID# | Maintenance Agreement Recorded Doc# | Revision |
| _ | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Note: If this is a partial verification of Structural BMPs, provide a list and map denoting Structural BMPs that have already been submitted, those for this submission, and those anticipated in future submissions.

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: 66 of 68

City of Escondido Storm Structural BMP Verification Form Page 3 of 3

Checklist for Engineer of Work (EOW) to submit to Field Engineering:

| Copy of the final accepted SWQMP and any accepted Copy of the most current plan showing the Storm Williams/cross-section sheets of the Structural BMPs a built Structural BMP. Photograph of each Structural BMP. Photograph(s) of each Structural BMP during the coproper construction. Copy of the approved Structural BMP maintenance | Vater Structural BMP Table, and the location of each verified as- |
|---|--|
| By signing below, I certify that the Structural BMP(s) for the all BMPs are in substantial conformance with the approve understand the City reserves the right to inspect the above approved plans and Storm Water Ordinance. Should it be constructed to plan or code, corrective actions may be necessary please sign your name and seal. | ed plans and applicable regulations. It is BMPs to verify compliance with the edetermined that the BMPs were not |
| Professional Engineer's Printed Name: | [SEAL] |
| Professional Engineer's Signed Name: | |
| Date: | |

Template Date: October 2022 Preparation Date: _______ 67 of 68

ATTACHMENT 5

Copy of Plan Sheets Showing Permanent Storm Water BMPs, Source Control, and Site Design BMPs

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 5.

Use this checklist to ensure the required information has been included on the plans:

| The plans must identify: |
|---|
| □Structural BMP(s) with ID numbers matching Step 5 Summary of PDP Structural BMPs |
| ☐ The grading and drainage design shown on the plans must be consistent with the delineation of DMAs shown on the DMA exhibit |
| □Details and specifications for construction of structural BMP(s) |
| ☐ Signage indicating the location and boundary of structural BMP(s) as required by City staff |
| ☐ How to access the structural BMP(s) to inspect and perform maintenance |
| □ Features that are provided to facilitate inspection (e.g., observation ports, cleanouts, silt |
| posts, or other features that allow the inspector to view necessary components of the structural BMP and compare to maintenance thresholds) |
| ☐Manufacturer and part number for proprietary parts of structural BMP(s) when applicable |
| ☐ Maintenance thresholds specific to the structural BMP(s), with a location-specific frame of reference (e.g., level of accumulated materials that triggers removal of the materials, to be identified based on viewing marks on silt posts or measured with a survey rod with respect to a fixed benchmark within the BMP) |
| □Recommended equipment to perform maintenance |
| ☐When applicable, necessary special training or certification requirements for inspection and maintenance personnel such as confined space entry or hazardous waste management |
| □Include landscaping plan sheets showing vegetation requirements for vegetated structural BMP(s) |
| □All BMPs must be fully dimensioned on the plans |
| □When proprietary BMPs are used, site-specific cross section with outflow, inflow, and model number must be provided. Photocopies of general brochures are not acceptable. |
| □Include all source control and site design measures described in Steps 3 and 4 of the SWQMP. Can be included as a separate exhibit as necessary. |

*Note: Plan sheets included in this attachment can be full size or half size.