Appendix T

"No Cumulative Impact" Project **Alternative – Traffic Analysis** Memorandum (May 2016)



This page intentionally left blank



MEMORANDUM

To:	Jack Henthorn Jack Henthorn & Associates	Date:	May 12, 2016	engineers & Planners
From:	Chris Mendiara LLG, Engineers	LLG Ref:	3-13-2299	Traffic Transportation Parking
Subject:	661 Bear Valley Parkway – "No Cur	nulative Impact'	'Project Alternative	Linscott, Law & Greenspan, Engineers

The Project Applicant for the 661 Bear Valley Parkway project (Project) has requested Linscott, Law & Greenspan, Engineers (LLG) determine the maximum project size that would result in no significant cumulative traffic impacts at the Bear Valley Parkway/Encino Drive unsignalized intersection. At present, the proposed Project consists of 55 single-family dwelling units and results in one (1) cumulative impact at this location.

The City of Escondido's significance threshold for intersections is a maximum of 2.0 seconds of delay added by the project during peak hour operations, if the intersection operates at Level of Service (LOS) D or worse. LLG used an iterative process to determine the maximum number of units that could be built without exceeding this threshold. The Project trip generation was reduced in increments until delay was within 2.0 seconds of without Project operations. As with the Traffic Impact Analysis report, intersection analysis was completed using *Synchro* (version 8), consistent with City standards.

Table A, on the following page, shows the peak hour operations for the Existing + Cumulative scenario "Without Project", "With Project" (55-units proposed), and with the "No Impact Alternative" (37-units). As shown in *Table A*, the 37-unit No Impact Alternative increases delay by no more than 2.0 seconds as compared to Without Project operations during both AM and PM peak hour operations.

The intersection calculation worksheets are included as attachments to this memo.

LINSCOTT LAW & GREENSPAN

4542 Ruffner Street

San Diego, CA 92111

858.300.8800 T

858.300.8810 F www.llgengineers.com

Pasadena

Woodland Hills

Irvine San Diego

Suite 100

Intersection	Control	Peak	Existi Cumula	ng + ntive ^a	Existin Proposed	g + Cumul Project (5	ative + 5 Units) ª	Exist No Impac	ing + Cumu et Alternativ	lative + re (37 Units)
	гуре	nour	Delay ^b	LOS °	Delay	LOS	Δ^{d}	Delay	LOS	Δ
Bear Valley Parkway / Encino Drive	MSSC °	AM PM	57.2 28.7	F D	61.1 35.4	F E	3.9 6.7	59.2 30.6	F D	2.0 1.9

 TABLE A

 "No Impact Alternative" Intersection Operations

Footnotes:

a. Source: Traffic Impact Analysis (LLG) 3-30-2016.

b. Average delay expressed in seconds per vehicle.

c. Level of Service.

d. Δ = Project-related increase in delay.

e. Minor Street Stop Controlled intersection. Minor street left-turn delay is reported.

UNSIGNALIZED

DELAY/LOS THRESHOLDS

 $\begin{array}{c|c} Delay & LOS \\ 0.0 \ \leq \ 10.0 & A \\ 10.1 \ to \ 15.0 & B \\ 15.1 \ to \ 25.0 & C \\ 25.1 \ to \ 35.0 & D \end{array}$

ATTACHMENTS

INTERSECTION ANALYSIS WORKSHEETS

6.8

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	4	133	111	571	984	25	
Future Vol, veh/h	4	133	111	571	984	25	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	Stop	
Storage Length	110	0	130	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	63	63	91	91	90	90	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	6	211	122	627	1093	28	

Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1964	1093	1093	0	-	0	
Stage 1	1093	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	871	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	69	261	638	-	-	-	
Stage 1	321	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	410	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	56	261	638	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	56	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	321	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	332	-	-	-	-	-	
A 1	FD		ND				

Approach	EB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	59.2	1.9	0	
HCM LOS	F			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBLn1	EBLn2	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	638	- 56	261	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.191	- 0.113	0.809	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	12	- 77.3	58.7	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	В	- F	F	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.7	- 0.4	6.3	-	-	

1.7

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Traffic Vol, veh/h	7	47	91	1186	716	12
Future Vol, veh/h	7	47	91	1186	716	12
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	Stop
Storage Length	110	0	130	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	57	57	95	95	90	90
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	12	82	96	1248	796	13

Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	2236	796	796	0	-	0	
Stage 1	796	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	1440	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	47	387	826	-	-	-	
Stage 1	444	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	218	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	42	387	826	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	42	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	444	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	193	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	30.6	0.7	0	
HCM LOS	D			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBLn1	EBLn2	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	826	- 42	387	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.116	- 0.292	0.213	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	9.9	- 122.9	16.8	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	- F	С	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.4	- 1	0.8	-	-	